THE FOLLOWING ARE CANDIDATES' MANIFESTOS FOR THE SIX VACANCIES ON UNION COUNCIL (including post of Union

Secretary) and three vacancies on UNION EXECUTIVE (including post of Internal Vice-President and House Secretary).

Polling on Monday 16th and Tuesday 17th November in Union Building, Medical School, Common Room and Engineering Dept.

MANIFESTOS FOR

HOUSE SECRETARY

Candidates Name: J. C. CHRISTIAN

Candidates Dept.: Politics

sta

of

tate

ce.

eme

is n

nclu

is is

tude

sons e to

or

only sura a ha

con is 1

vera Thi ntil

D

Year of Entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year)

Proposed by: Miss I. Murray

Seconded by: Neil Gegson

My reason for standing for HOUSE SECRETARY is simply that I think I can ensure a more efficient and satisfactory running of the Union Building.

The Union Building faces two main problems at the present. One is of a short term nature, namely that it fails to function at a full effectiveness for its members. This problem can be overcome by a rationalization of the present organisation.

The second problem is a long term one, and that is the need to expand. Already the Union Building is overcrowded, yet the University Authorities are planning to double the number of students by the end of the decade. Hence there is an obvious need to start expanding the Union Building and its facilities now, in anticipation of future needs, rather than waiting for the overcrowding to deteriorate.

Finally the organisation at the present time is prevented from working smoothly by the fact that it is run by committees full of people playing at politics for its own sake. Consequently I am adopting a non-partizan approach, and if elected, I will only use politics as a means to an end. The end being the more efficient and satisfactory running of the Union Building.

Candidate's Name: ABDUL HAI

Candidate's Dept.: Mining and Mineral Sciences

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1961 (Post Graduate)

Proposed by: John Tough

Seconded by: W. Keleghan

1961-1964—B.Sc. in Mining 1964—1967—Member of N.U.M. C.C.M. 1967-1968—Conversion degree L.U. 1968- — Post Graduate Candidate's Name: ANDREW PETER WARD Candidate's Dept.: History Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by: Andy Jarosz Seconded by: Jill Perks Positions held: Member of Shop Board 1970. Member of Bar Board 1970. Catering Secretary 1970. Member of Network Four Editorial Board 1970.

In standing for the post of Secretary of the Union, I do not intend to give a long and exciting list of election promises. All too often these prove to be impossible or irresponsible, and I have had sufficient experience in Union administration to know that most of my brilliant and scintillating ideas turn out to have been rejected as unworkable the year before.

Instead, I simply undertake to carry out the duties of Secretary to the best of my ability, in the interests of all Union members.

The position of Union Secretary is not one in which one can introduce radical reforms. All that the Secretary has are seats on Exec. and U.C. Still, the job of Secretary is, or rather should be, more than just attending committee meeting and taking O.G.M. minutes. Secretary is really what the occupant makes of it. If elected, I would try to make my views and those of the members I represent felt as widely as possible.

In conclusion, I hope to be a considerably better Union Secretary than some previous ones, and at least as good as the best.

MANIFESTOS FOR

INTERNAL VICE-PRESIDENT

Candidate's Name: DAVID P. ROLFE Candidate's Dept.: Social Science Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by: John Allsop Seconded by: Martin Evans Positions Held: Union Publicity Secretary, March - November 1970 Union Council 1970-71 News Editor Union News February 1970.

SEVEN MONTHS ago I "got off my fat backside to do something positive for the Union." During that time I have been busily engaged sticking posters and other pieces of paper in every place conceivable. I enjoyed myself to the full, and felt that I was doing something useful to let students know what was going on in their Union.

AS I.V.P., I wish to continue this work in a more specialized way. In my frequent travels around Departments I have found students very willing to talk and ask questions about the Union, and I would have liked more time to answer them.

THE I.V.P. has this time because his commitments are not excessive elsewhere. He should spend time in a concerted effort to explain to ordinary student members what the Union is doing and why. Also, he should explain, if that is possible how students may influence policy in the Union.

THERE ARE OTHER TASKS that the I.V.P. should undertake in the near future. He should undertake a special publicity campaign so that we can have a quorate Union A.G.M. this year. He should also co-ordinate the efforts of Staff-Student Committees over the Constitutional Proposals put out by the University. I AM NOT ONE of those who believes that students are naturally apathetic. Rather, I think they are disillusioned and disgusted with all the "Hot Air and Humbug" which belches forth from certain brands of Union politicians. I share their view to the full.

MANIFESTOS FOR MEMBERSHIP

OF UNION COUNCIL

Candidate's Name: CHARLES LANGLEY Candidate's Dept.: Economics Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Bob Davey

Seconded by: Paul Iveyard

Positions held: Union Publicity Secretary

I am standing for Union Council because I wish to be of more use to the Union than my present position as a Publicity Secretary allows. I see the major problem of our Union at the moment as its need to deal with the problems which our much larger membership entails.

Fair rents. Good 'Ents.' Good food. Grants ,not loans. Improved parking. Longer drinking hours. 'Leeds Student.' More Services. Nursery. Sabbatical Exec. Sports facilities. Union expansion. Well staffed bars.

All these and many other improvements will be pressed for by me if elected to the Union Council. Our Union is one of the best in the country; policy and administration must have a considered and progressive style if it is to stay that way.

So please vote for me on the 16th and 17th.

Candidate's Name: JOHN DAVID BOOTHBY

Candidate's Dept.: Ceramics

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year)

Proposed by: John Allsop

Seconded by: R. Tomecki

Disciplinary Tribunal: 1970-71

Freshers Conference Working Party: 1970-71

Union Council is the body involved in the running of the Union. It should not be used as a political platform as many people use it.

Union Council exists to assist every Union member. and to do what the ordinary member wants done.

To this end I ask that you give me your vote.

Candidate's Name: HUGH MINOR Candidate's Dept.: Biochemistry Year of entry to Leeds University: 1967 (4th Year) Proposed by: Alistair Crinson Seconded by: Andrew Jarosz

I have been an active member of the Union since 1961 until now including the years I spent in Industry.

I have been responsible for the organization of various functions held in the Union by different Societies. I have been on various sub-committees of N.U.M. I have been editing 'Pragati' a widely read magazine. I have been working as a Cultural Affairs Secretary in L.U.U. since July 1970. These above experiences inspire me to think about the suitability of being House Secretary. If I am elected I want to provide better facilities for meetings and functions for societies of our Union and better and more suitable opening hours during vacations. A lot of things can be mentioned regarding the shortage of places, etc., but considering the time and limitation of House Secretary I do not want to make any promise in this respect. However ,if circumstances permit, I will do my best.

MANIFESTOS FOR

UNION SECRETARY

Candidate's Name: HUGH MARTIN EDWARDS

Candidate's Dept.: Zoology

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year)

Proposed by: Norman Kay

Seconded by: Stephanie Dixon

The Job of Union Secretary is a twofold position, one bureaucratic and one informative. The first is a matter of experience, of which I have obtained a fair amount, on U.C. various sub-committees (e.g.) SCARD) and joint university committees. The second, I feel, is much more important. The Secretary must be able to impart information of any kind to students, or direct them to the people who will know. Again this is a matter of experience and requires a good knowledge of the Union.

Executive is the representative Body of this Union, it must be able to put forward a true image and true policies to the outsider, above all socialist policies passed and supported by an O.G.M. last June. I am a socialist and therefore, I feel, well qualified to put forward these policies and put them into practice. Candidate's Name: JILL E, PERKS Candidate's Dept.: Combined Arts Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Judy Lea Seconded by: Dave Teale Positions held:— Union Council May 1970-? Accomodation Committees Disciplinary Tribunal Academic Planning Vice-Chairman of Action March 1970 - October 1970 1st year Rep on Department Staff-Student Committee

In the past the part played by the I.V.P. has been rather like a grandiouse publicity secretary and this has led to the neglect of many of their other responsibilities. We now have two publicity secretaries to do the bulk of the work involved in letting Union members know what is going on, and future I.V.P.'s should now be able to turn their attention to their other responsibilities which include:—

EDUCATION: much of the original motivation has disappeared from the staff-student committees where students can attempt to do something about the workings of their course and the examination system, and this Union can also back-up N.U.S. policy on education.

WELFARE: one of the first priorities must be the actual establishment of the long awaited nursery, and better provisions need to be made for students mental and physical health within the actual Union building.

ACCOMODADTION: something has to be done to provide decent inexpensive accomodation for students in Leeds without the restrictions that exist at present with scheduled accommodation.

CATERING: prices continue to go up while the food maintains a dubious standard and some reorganisation must go in 'catering' and the motivation should be coming from the consumers i.e., US.

All these facets of the Union services need to be developed and their existance publicised and I hope that as I.V.P. I would be able to build up these services and the internal communications within the Union.

Positions held:

General Athletics Council Executive 1970-1971 Indoor Sports Representative 1970-1971 Secretary of Swimming Club 1968-1969 Treasurer of Swimming Club 1969-1971 Garstang Flats Committee 1970-1971

When I am elected I will do my best to ensure that Union money — your money — is not wasted, but is used for the good of all Union members in expanding the activities of Societies and Sports Clubs, and in extending the facilities and services of the Union. With the present high rate of inflation, firm control and reviewing of Union expenditure is essential if these facilities and activities are not to be reduced as the recent increase in Union fees is eaten into. For instance, money can be saved by economies in money-frittering delegations which achieve little except boost the egos of their members.

In spite of what those candidates claiming to be "Ordinary students" may say (and what virtue is there in being ordinary?) relations between the Union and the University are important, especially in regard to:-

Catering — A long term appraisal of catering services is needed. Need I expand on the gloom of Refec? Its unappetising food? The high prices in Salad Bar? The stifling heat of Gryphon Grill?

Accommodation — The University is expanding enormously but the planned expansion of accommodation will only house a small proportion of the extra students; the rest will be forced into more and more slummy flats unless action is taken immediately.

Rents — As demand increases for flats from private landlords, rents will rise. The University must restrain its own rents in order to counteract this.

Libraries — A start is supposed to be made this year on the new under-graduate library. Student participation in the planning is essential to make sure that it serves undergraduates.

I WILL PRESS FOR ACTION IN THESE MATTERS and for implementation of the report on changes in the University Constitution, to give Students representation in University government. This will be increasingly important as the University grows.

I ask You to vote for me so that I can protect the interests of Societies and Sports Clubs, and help make the right short and long term decisions in a rapidly expanding Union.

LEEDS STUDENT - Friday, November 13th, 1970

UNION COUNCIL MANIFESTOS (Continued)

Candidate's Name: JAMES WOODS

Candidate's Dept.: Mechanical Engineering Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: John Allsop Seconded by: John White

Union Council is responsible for the day-to-day running of the Union and as such it cannot afford to carry layabouts and extremists of any shade of opinion. U.C. members have got to work for the Union and its members first and foremost, ignoring any personal factionism. The only claim I can make in advance is that, if elected, I will "work for the Union and its members first and foremost".

Candidate's Name: MICHAEL A. YATES

Candidate's Dept.: Maths./Phil.

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year)

Proposed by: David P. Rolfe

Seconded by: Ken Hind

UNION COUNCIL is that part of our Union organisation concerned with the smooth and fair running of the Union.

IT IS NOT a political platform. Neither is it a place for scoring "points" over the Exec. or personal rivals.

THE AIM of any member of U.C. should be to help keep our Union as the best in the country. To improve our facilities and to help all clubs, societies and committees as equally as possible.

THIS YEAR there are three vacant places on Exec.

WE SHALL NEED a strong U.C. to back up Exec. people who know how to get things done. Whilst working on Union News I hope that I have gained this knowledge, and I intend to see that it is put to good use.

I HAVE had enough of the silly reports of censures, constitutional muttering and time consuming nonsense. Let's have a U.C. that gets on with the job that it's supposed to. Candidate's Name: JOHN MENDZELA Candidate's Dept.: Mathematics Year of entry to Leeds University: 1970 (1st Year) Proposed by: Dennis Preston Seconded by: K. B. Evans

If elected, I intend to do my best to improve Union services and facilities for all members of the Union, not just a particular group or political party. I will also press for reforms giving students greater participation in matters which affect both them and the University such as course structure and examinations.

I believe that the purpose of the Union Council is to deal with matters of specific importance to Union members. I will therefore concentrate on the running of the Union and the formulation of Union policy in accordance with the view of the majority of the students as expressed at general meetings; instead of playing petty politics and squabbling with other Union Council members as seems to be the case at present.

The position at the moment is that it is difficult for an ordinary student member to find out exactly what happens in Union Council meetings in any detail at all without sitting through a meeting. To rectify this, I pledge that anyone who stops me and asks what is going on will find out what he or she wants to know.

In conclusion I do not stand for any particular group of students, but for all members of the Union who want Union services improved and who want a voice in the way the Union and the University is run.

Candidate's Name: NIGEL Q. BROWN Candidate's Dept.: French Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Pete Bunning Candidate's Name: BRIAN MELLOR

Candidate's Dept.: Civil Engineering Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by Philip Sykes Seconded by: A. Landy

I have been at Leeds for over two years and think that I am in a good position to understand the needs of the average union member. Being non-politically motivated I will use my voting capacity in a sensible manner which will not be diametrically opposed to the requirements of the Union and its members. As a resident of Charles Morris Hall and an Engineer I promise to do my best for the people whose interests I will represent if elected to Union Council.

Candidate's Name: JOHN F. DAWSON Candidate's Dept.: Metallurgy Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by: D. Taylor Seconded by: Patricia Bailey

The main reason I am standing for Union Council is because of increasing disgust with the way in which our Union is run. The Union claims to exist to serve the interests of the whole University. The opinion of most students seems to be that it rarely approaches this ideal.

Take O.G.M.'s for example. The O.G.M. is supposedly where the 'ordinary student member' makes his views known, and can 'exercise his democratic rights' by voting for this motion or that motion. But is this what happens? At best, one or two motions are dealt with, and the meeting breaks up with the feeling that little of constructive use has been achieved. And who bothers to turn up at O.G.M.'s? Usually the same old familiar faces every time. And these few get motions passed which purport to represent opinion of the entire University! It is only when some issue of burning importance, like contraceptive machines, or the closing of the Union on Fridays, do people feel sufficiently incensed to come in from the distant territories of the University and make their voices heard. Normally it is just not worth making the effort. Many students, such as the often-quoted Engineers and Scientists like myself, are not apathetic towards politics, only towards the people they see running them in the union. This then is why I am standing for Union Council. In addition to working for improvements in the Union facilities, etc., which hopefully all candidates intend to do anyway, I shall work towards making the Union administration more attentive to the views of the average student, who has little time for union politics, and try to see the Union more representative of the University as a whole.

Members of U.C. are there to help you, not to remain aloof in their own little sphere. If you do vote for me, and I gain a seat on Union Council, then remind me of all that I have said.

It will do us both good.

Star - ----

Candidate's Name: JOHNNY FINESTEIN Candidate's Dept.: Law Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Neil Corre

Seconded by: Kathy Prendergast

I believe that it is entirely unrealistic to dissociate politics from Union affairs. The Union cannot stand as a separate entity isolated from the numerous problems which our society is facing.

Our struggles for higher grants, decent accommodation and fair rents run parallel with the struggle of the miners, council workers and busmen as well as all those who are fighting for justice and social equality.

The present situation demands that progressive voices are heard in Union Council so that the reactionaries both inside the Union and outside are defeated. Hence Union Council as well as performing the vital task of running the everyday affairs of the Union, can align itself with the fighting of injustices inherent in our society today.

I will not fall prey to the pettiness and stupidity so often seen at Union Council meetings. Instead, I will act positively and get on with the business of striving for the improvement of life of the student body in general, never forgetting our role in society at large. Seconded by: Dave Taylor

I'M JUST AN ORDINARY BLOKE.

So if it wasn't for the fact that ordinary people don't usually bother to vote, I would win by 7,000 votes. PLEASE . . . VOTE FOR ME.

Candidate's Name: P. F. S. BROWN Candidate's Dept.: Law Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: I. K. Bennett Seconded by Martin Evans

I CLAIM TO REPRESENT THE GENUINE INTERESTS OF THE GENUINELY DISINTERESTED.

I AM A CO-FOUNDER OF THE AS YET EMBRYONIC ASSOCIATION OF MODERATE STUDENTS.

FRESHERS' NOTE:

This is your first Union Election. You probably do not know most of the candidates from Adam. A lot of the other manifestos are lies; behind their moderate facade hides a raving leftie who is only too ready and willing to waste Union money on fabulous schemes and donations. Safeguard your interests — put your vote against my name. Candidate's Name: RICHARD H. MOLYNEUX Candidate's Dept.: Mechanical Engineering Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: J. Allsop Seconded by: N. Higton Treasurer — Engineering Society

Unlike many 'non-political' candidates who have stood for Union Council, I would not make this claim, considering myself to be of the moderate left, and not the fascist that some sections of the community seem to think all Engineers are. I can't make any amazing claims as to action I shall perpetrate, but just hope to be one of those who ensures that the Union offers the best service possible to students.

UNION COUNCIL MANIFESTOS (Continued)

Candidate's Name: NICHOLAS COCKS

Candidate's Dept.: Text. Inds. Year of entry to Leeds University: 1970 (1st Year) Proposed by: Rick Turner Seconded by: M. J. Pulsford

As a first year student, I realise that there are many problems facing new members of the Union. I would like to be on Union Council throughout my three years at the University, and so gain a useful working knowledge of Union administration. Being strictly non-political, I believe that my motives for being a member of Union Council are more relevant to the individual needs of Union members.

Thus it is my intention to take a very active interest in Union Council activities, and not become just another resignation in the minutes.

Candidate's Name: NORMAN KAY Candidate's Dept.: Social Studies Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by: Hugh Edwards Seconded by: Chris Greenfield

There are responsible functions to be performed on U.C. and I believe that I'm able to contribute to them. I've set out my attitudes to several current issues of concern to us as students; my social philosophy should provide an indication of the way I should think on other issues:

1. TUC/NUS. It has been the policy of NUS to try and gain admission to the TUC for several years now. It's time that students divested themselves of the 'ivory tower' image and realise that they are an integral part of society. We are a Union like any other and should be part of the Trade Union movement. Furthermore, the TUC has a £16-10-0 minimum wage policy; alignment with the TUC would pro_vide us with the full backing of TUC in our own grants campaigns.

Candidate's Name: HILARY WRIGHT Candidate's Dept.: English/Rel. Studies Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Norman I. Kay Seconded by: Gillian Cumming

My concern on Union Council would be for such issues as the children's nursery, that is a necessary and essential institution that should by now have been built and in use. Much must be done to make the Union facilities more efficient, such as a larger number of bar staff and a better catering department that would primarily improve output and quality.

The University should be more democratic by increased representation of the student body on committees, since students comprise nine-tenth's of the academic community, it is simple democracy that they should occupy far more of the places on any committee.

Are we ALL members of a democratic community or not? The University should also radically alter their disciplinary functions reducing them to matters concerning academic misdemeanours only, and not being able to withold grants etc., from students who have already incurred civil disciplinary action.

In regards to Union Council itself, far more internal elections should be decided on at O.G.M.'s, the only fair means of ascertaining students opinions, as Union Council's power seems to be too widespread.

I believe that this Union cannot be efficiently run unless it has complete Financial Autonomy, which at the moment it has not got, and does not in fact have easy access to Union funds which are held by the University.

The major concern of Union Council is the welfare of the students, and if I were elected as a member I would try to make sure that this was maintained.

CO-OPERATION

Students should not be an insular body cut off from the rest of Leeds. Co-operation with the Polytechnic in the production of Union News must be only the first stage in greater cooperation between the two bodies. Eventually I hope to see the merger of more societies of the respective Unions.

Co-operation with organisations such as the Community Relations Council, and Leeds Trades Council is essential, for the University in an integral part of Leeds and students should be encouraged to play a full role in the life of the City.

SERVICES

Services to Union members must not be neglected. Catering services must be improved as our first priority, and I should like to see Union societies get greater assistance from the Union 'to go off and do their thing'.

Union Council needs people prepared to work for the benefit of all Union members. U.C. is there to serve you. Don't waste your vote.

Candidate's Name: JOHN HARWOOD TIPPLE Candidate's Dept.: Ceramics Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: John Allsop

Seconded by: Janet R. Jurica

Member of:

University Flats Executive Sub-Committee Union Flats Working Party Union Accommodation Committee

John, as a member of Union Council and Flats Executive Sub-Committee, would be able to further the aims of students in university accommodation, especially those relating to the latter committee.

Bridgid Kingham, Norman Cavill, Members of Flats Exec. Committee. The decisions and recommendations made at the above mentioned Committees, of which I am a recently elected

2. THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE UNI-VERSITY CONSTITUTION are an insult. The proposals are for a miniscule representation of students on Senate (13 out of a total of 143) and similar meaningless representation on other committees. The proposals will do nothing to alter the arbitrary authority of the University authorities over 10,000 supposedly adult members of the academic community (i.e. us). If we are indeed equal members of an academic community, we should have representation on committees in proportion to our numbers.

3. FINANCIAL AUTONOMY for the Union. For instance, the University are holding £42,000 of Union money in University reserves, which we can't remove without permission. Unless the Union has financial autonomy it has no real autonomy.

4. There is need of a nursery for the children of some students; The University has been stalling on this for ages. Until a permanent site is obtained the Union could provide a temporary facility.

5. THE POWERS OF UNION COUNCIL are too wide. Its powers of self-nomination onto University committees and its appointment of secretaries for Union Sub. Cttes, are undemocratic, and ought to be performed at O.G.M.'s or by universal ballot. As a socialist I find the monopoly of such powers in a few hands distasteful. Candidate's Name: DAVID TEALE Candidate's Dept.: History Year of entry to Leeds University: 1968 (3rd Year) Proposed by: Chris Greenfield Seconded by: Hugh Edwards

Posts held:

S.C.A.R.D. Secretary Former Chairman Liberal Society Ex-officio member Union Council Member Disciplinary Tribunal Union delegate Leeds Trades Council Delegate N.U.S. conference November 1970

For the past six months I have been ex-officio member of Union Council. I have worked on numerous Union subcommittees, including S.C.A.R.D. on which I am secretary.

Union Council exists to serve all Union members, your representatives should be prepared to work on your behalf. If elected I will fight for

PARTICIPATION

Too often student representation on University committees is pitifully in adequate, and there are many changes of representation without authority. Students should have a full voice in the planning of courses, and in all decisions affecting the management of the University. The failure of Staff — Student committees to achieve any genuine measure of reform results from the insufficient powers given to these committees, and from the inadequate student representation on them. Facilities provided by the University (e.g. lodgings office and catering services) could be improved by greater student representation on the appropriate committees with a view to their eventual takeover by the Union. member, can be better conveyed to this student's Union by a member of Union Council — a member who, incidentally, would be able to summon the backing of the Union and student members on accommodation problems.

I want your vote for these purposes — so that I can properly represent your attitudes and wishes on all accommodation matters.

(My views on other facilities for students e.g. catering, are probably written on most of the other manifestoes so I will not waste your time and mine by writing them here).

Candidate's Name: DAVID V. MAYNARD Candidate's Dept.: Civil Engineering Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: J. Allsop

Seconded by: R. L. Orzechowski

I feel that anybody elected to Union Council should concern himself solely with the smooth running of the Union and ensure the maximum possible benefit to its members. If elected that is what I would attempt to do: in particular I feel that the Union facilities could be improved in many ways, and I think that I might have some useful ideas to offer on this subject.

I also strongly believe that Union Council members should stop indulging in Union politics and get on with the job they are elected to do.

UNION COUNCIL MANIFESTOS (Continued)

Candidate's Name: W. G. N. JAMES

Candidate's Dept.: Nuffield Centre

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1970 (Post Graduate)

Proposed by: J. E. Dougill

Seconded by: J. Tubbs

L.S.E. Sociology Graduate Libertarian. No "left-ticket" man, non-ego tripper, meglomaniac etc.

My experience of this institution is just over a month long and the outstanding feature of "liberal" Leeds University is its wholesale mystification process that has as its core, the Union, less than 100 students out of 9,000 control power and money out of all proportion to their responsibility for it.

To be told this is in participation in the running of the Union, let alone the university is to me, an insult. The bureaucracy checks any attempt as regards action and change.

The new Constitution proposals with fanatical enthusiasm for "appointments" as against election is a dangerous irresponsible but characteristic trend that must be rejected.

Much more involvement amongst students on co-operative lines, as at present exist in Scandinavia is possible I believe in such fields as food, housing and Union shop. Indeed our existence depends upon building up and strengthening the structures on these lines if "student life" is not to deteriorate further.

Finally, this Union is living in a vacuum, stultifying and complacent, it cannot ever begin to improve unless it regards itself as part of a community. These lines must be pursued and extended. This will I believe mean only beneficial consequences for us in the culture and human relations.

If you want this Union to stay the same don't vote for me.

If it is the same in 6 months, it won't be because of me.

Candidate's Name: SIMON ANDREW BOOTH Candidate's Dept.: Politics/ Social Studies Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: David Rolfe

Seconded by: R. Mason

TIME. INTEREST. HONESTY. IDEAS.

TIME. I have the time to devote my full attention to Union Council problems. Let's have less petty wrangling and more work.

HONESTY. Without a block vote behind me I can be properly independent.

INTEREST. Politics is a vocation not a burdening chore.

IDEAS. Students can now vote in local elections.

There should be student representation on the City Council. The Education, Housing and Welfare Committees at least should have a student on them.

This is my policy:-

The Union should nominate and support an INDEPEN-DENT representative in a student populated ward.

LET TOWN AND GOWN COME TOGETHER. I want a vote of confidence for this idea from you.

LET STUDENTS REALLY PARTICIPATE.

Candidate's Name: DAVE JAMES Candidate's Dept.: Law Year of entry to Leeds University: 1969 (2nd Year) Proposed by: Jill Perks Seconded by: Chris Greenfield Member of Welfare Committee Member of S.C.A.R.D. **Candidate's Name: MARTIN JOHN EVANS**

Candidate's Dept.: Agriculture

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1967 (4th Year)

Proposed by: P. Walsh

Seconded by: D. Rolfe

Catering Secretary --- 1968-1970

Member of Working Party on Frozen Food. - 1970. Member of Working Party on Day Nursery Chairman departmental Staff/Student Committee — 1969

Over the last two years I have worked in areas concerned with student welfare.

I hold definite views on the role of the Union in relation to the City of Leeds and to N.U.S.

I would like to see greater participation by students in staff/student committees.

I try and undertake only those offices to which I can give full attention.

I firmly believe that the Union is here for the welfare of students.

Candidate's Name: PHIL WALKLEY

Candidate's Dept.: Electrical Engineering

Year of Entry to Leeds University: 1966 (Post Graduate)

Tim

lwa

lents

Tris

Proposed by: Bill Cave

Seconded by: Colin Nugent

Member of: Internal Action (ex group leader)

Soc. for Social Responsibility in Science

Candidate's Name: BILL (W. G.) ALLEN

Candidate's Dept.: Electrical Engineering

Year of entry to Leeds University: 1970 (1st Year)

Proposed by: John Webb

Seconded by: Adrian Tavey

NO I'm not a shit-stirring leftist!

But I do normally say exactly what I think.

Some people call me candid and outspoken, others describe me as blunt and uncouth.

However, you can be sure that if you have a grievance (and God knows you have plenty of scope), I will give the Union politicos a good kick up the Arse to get them moving.

You have the choice,

VOTE for BILL,

or vote for Apathy.

Chairman of Liberal Society

Most of the decision making that interests **you** is made by the Union sub-committees. However Union Council has the power to ratify or veto these decisions. To make sure progressive moves are not blocked by reactionary elements we must have a radical membership on Union Council.

Catering and Accommodation: We have for long demanded Union control of these two important sectors — I will work for a firm stand against the University Authorities on this point, and will try and get something done.

Welfare: Who has heard of welfare committee? This year I hope to see it doing something: its main role should be to keep students informed about facilities that are available legal aid schemes; student advice schemes; state benefits; possibilities of taking a year out; etc., etc.

Also it must work on new projects: the day-nursery plan would not have lain in abeyance for 10 years if the Union hadn't neglected it.

N.U.S.: Besides the facilities like cheap travel and insurance which NUS provides, it must also campaign on behalf of students at national level: fight for higher grant levels; oppose Tory attempts to introduce crippling student loans; work on plans for fairer systems of assessment; attempt to abolish the binary system which discriminates against the majority of students in higher education.

N.U.S. must also put the student's weight behind important campaigns: the anti-apartheid movement; aid to the third world; the needs of the neglected in Britain.

S.C.A.R.D. must continue to fight the evils of racialism, not only abroad but in Britain and around Leeds.

I will work for your interests and oppose those who will and who have sold us out. Soc, Soc;

Conservative Assn. (not schizo, just broad minded).

Twice I have missed U.C. by about nine votes. (Snarl)

I think I can be helpful on U.C. because:-

1. I have observed its meetings many times.

2. I am familiar with the whole complicated Union set-up.

3. I have worked hard for Internal Action and know a lot about student welfare from the student's point of view.

4. I am not a political extremist — I support the ideals (N.B.) of the Labour Party.

5. I oppose ideology, propaganda and militancy, and I

value original thought, tolerance, free speech and respect for the other man's views. I respect most people.

6. I do not belong to a party inside the Union.

7. In spite of my political moderation, I have definite opinions and many ideas. I am not the sort of moderate who does nothing but vote against motions he considers extremist.

If elected I shall — if I can — work for the Education and Welfare Committees. I shall not waffle, stab any backs or vote against my principles as part of a deal, and besides talking I am ready to do the more mundane work in the day-today running of the Union.

Something concrete? O.K. X was thrown out of his University flat for sleeping with a girl. Let us establish our right to sleep with whom we like* without this monstrous interference! It's hard enough to find a girl at all in this dump.