

# Election for 12 Disciplinary Tribunal Members

**Candidates Name** ..... **PETER BAILEY**  
**Department** ..... **International History  
& Politics**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1972**  
**Proposed by** ..... **Peter I. Brown**  
**Seconded by** ..... **Simon J. Wakefield**

Union positions held: None.

In any large body of people I believe a certain degree of discipline is necessary. The enjoyment of the majority must not be spoilt by a small number of people who wish to disrupt the activities of the LUU, a body established for our collective benefit. If I am elected I intend to see that justice is carried out, tempered however with patience and understanding. I see the position of the Disciplinary Tribunal, not as a body of judges passing arbitrary decisions, but as another channel of communication between the students and the Executive of the Union. The power of suspension is not one I wish to see enforced, except in the most extreme

cases as I feel student dissent is more often symptomatic of a fault in the Union itself, than in the individual.

The Union exists to serve all the students, and I sincerely hope that if I am given a post on the D.T., I will succeed in serving the interests of the majority without ignoring the feelings of the individual. Nevertheless, I shall not be afraid to act with firmness when dealing with any offences deliberately directed against the interests of the Union. If you agree with these principles, I hope you will give me your support, by voting for me, in the election.

Yours sincerely,  
Peter H. Bailey.

**Candidates Name** ..... **DIANA BAIN**  
**Department** ..... **Mathematics**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1971**  
**Proposed by** ..... **P. Goulden**  
**Seconded by** ..... **B. MacDonald**

Union positions held: None.

Although the Disciplinary Tribunal's services are not often required, I feel it is very necessary that it should exist, otherwise breaches of good

order may be brought before external authorities.

I do not allow politics to influence my decisions and I will decide impartially in all cases brought before the tribunal.

Diana Bain.

**Candidates Name** ..... **LYNNE M. BANNISTER**  
**Department** ..... **English**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1970**  
**Proposed by** ..... **J. R. Beer**  
**Seconded by** ..... **J. Sweetman**

Union positions held: None.

I am standing for Disciplinary Tribunal because I believe that in a University, as in Society, true freedom cannot exist without a system of Justice and rules for the good of the community as a whole. I believe that the Tribunal should be entirely unbiased in its decisions and not used as a platform for extremist views. The Disciplinary

Tribunal, composed of students from differing departments, backgrounds, and of differing views is a valuable link between students and the Authorities and should be respected as such. The Tribunal should be dedicated to the constructive criticism of student affairs and, as a moderate candidate, I hope to take part in this.

Lynne M. Bannister.

**Candidates Name** ..... **NIGEL BROWN**  
**Department** ..... **French**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1969**  
**Proposed by** ..... **Adrian Bennett**  
**Seconded by** ..... **Pam Fair**

Union positions held:  
Staff/Student Committee  
member 1972/73.

I LOVE YOU.

Nigel.

**Candidates Name** ..... **ROGER BROWN**  
**Department** ..... **Law**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1971**  
**Proposed by** ..... **J. Fisher**  
**Seconded by** ..... **M. Copestake**

Union positions held: None.

If it is ever necessary to convene the Disciplinary Tribunal I believe that I can

give unprejudiced opinions on any matter that may be brought before it.

**Candidates Name** ..... **GEOFFREY DAUNT**  
**Department** .....  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** .....  
**Proposed by** ..... **R. MacCrone**  
**Seconded by** ..... **R. C. A. Brown**

**Candidates Name** ..... **IAN G. EDDISON**  
**Department** ..... **Electrical Engineering**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1970**  
**Proposed by** ..... **J. Algar**  
**Seconded by** ..... **L. I. Wilson**

Union positions held: None.

If elected I would endeavour to be totally impartial. I can say this honestly having previously not held any Union position. I have none of the usual political "axe's to grind."

My political ideals are moderate having no strong bias towards any one particular group. This means that I could make judgements without prejudice but with a realistic detached and balanced frame of mind.

Ian. G. Eddison.

**Candidates Name** ..... **RHIANNON GRIFFITHS**  
**Department** .....  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** .....  
**Proposed by** ..... **J. Labbett**  
**Seconded by** ..... **T. Waples**

**Polling will take place on Monday, 27th November and Tuesday, 28th November, 1972 in:—**

<b>The Union Building</b> .....	<b>10 am.—7 p.m.</b>
<b>The Medical School Common Room</b> .....	<b>12 p.m.—2 p.m.</b>
<b>The Engineering Department</b> .....	<b>12 p.m.—2 p.m.</b>
<b>The Parkinson Court</b> .....	<b>12 p.m.—2 p.m.</b>

**Candidates Name** ..... **ANDREW JAROSZ**  
**Department** ..... **Economics**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1968**  
**Proposed by** ..... **Hilary Wright**  
**Seconded by** ..... **Neil Taggart**

Union positions held:

Union Secretary 1971/2/3.  
 Voting Member of Union Council 1970/71/72/73.  
 Editor of Union Handbook 1971/72/73.  
 Disciplinary Tribunal 1971/72.  
 Rag Publicity Manager — 1968/69.  
 Past and Present member of over 30 Union and University Committees and Working Parties.

As a participating and involved member of the Union, I believe that I would be well qualified to serve the Union as a member of Disciplinary Tribunal.

Although the A.G.M. voted in favour of this Tribunal, I do not believe that students

should sit in judgement upon other students under any circumstances. The existence of a Disciplinary Tribunal in a Union whose members are expected to conduct themselves in an adult manner is an anomaly.

Nevertheless, as long as a Tribunal exists, we must ensure that those elected to it act in a fair manner and do not abuse their power.

Disciplinary powers have not been used for over two years. I hope that this socially divisive institution is never used in the future. If it is, I hope that I will be allowed to use my experience of Union affairs and deal with matters as my socialist and Christian principles dictate.

Andrew R. Jarosz.

**Candidates Name** ..... **PHILIP LOW**  
**Department** ..... **International History & Politics**

**Year of entry to Leeds**

**University** ..... **1972**  
**Proposed by** ..... **Peter Brown**  
**Seconded by** ..... **Nicholas J. Burnett**

Union positions held: None.

I believe that in such a large organisation as the Leeds Students Union, some sort of discipline is necessary, and it is good that in this University there is a body elected by you to deal with such matters should they arise. Whilst freedom of the individual is essential to our outlook on life, that freedom must not interfere with the rights of others. So here, in this University Union no student has the right, for

example to damage property when he knows that, apart from anything else, it will prevent other students from using that same property.

Anyway, if I am elected to the Disciplinary Tribunal, I will strive to see that such rights are protected, but also, that there is fair play all round, that there is a good sense of understanding and justice to all, qualities which should be valued in our all too unjust present day world.

Yours sincerely,  
 Philip Low.

**Candidates Name** ..... **ROBERTA MacCRONE**  
**Department** ..... **Law**

**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1971**  
**Proposed by** ..... **P. Williams**  
**Seconded by** ..... **B. Cannell**

Union positions held: None.

I shall be totally impartial.  
 Roberta MacCrone.

**Candidates Name** ..... **CHRISTOPHER J. WATTS**

**Department** .....  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** .....  
**Proposed by** ..... **R. C. A. Brown**  
**Seconded by** ..... **R. MacCrone**

**Candidates Name** ..... **JANE MOSES**  
**Department** ..... **Economics**  
**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1971**  
**Proposed by** ..... **R. N. Webb**  
**Seconded by** ..... **W. McLellan**

Union positions held: None.

Although the idea of students passing judgement on fellow students doesn't particularly appeal to me, I realise that in any society some sort of discipline is necessary. Freedom need not mean irresponsibility, and it is my hope that the very ex-

istence of a disciplinary tribunal such as this might eliminate, or at any rate minimise the need for its implementation. However, should the need arise for the tribunal to sit, I feel I could be sympathetic and fair in any decisions I would have to make.

C. J. Moses.

**Candidates Name** ..... **KEITH PARSONS**  
**Department** ..... **Economics**

**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1970**  
**Proposed by** ..... **P. A. Ludlow**  
**Seconded by** ..... **G. Gow**

Union positions held:

WELFARE SECRETARY.  
 AS A MEMBER OF THE TRIBUNAL I SHALL DO MY BEST TO SEE THAT THE DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL CARRIES OUT ITS DUTIES, AND

FOLLOWS THE PROCEDURE LAID DOWN IN THE UNION CONSTITUTION, AND I WILL ENDEAVOUR TO BE TOTALLY IMPARTIAL IN ALL MATTERS.

Keith Parsons.

**Candidates Name** ..... **DENNIS WHITAKER**  
**Department** ..... **Geography**

**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1972**  
**Proposed by** ..... **S. Wakefield**  
**Seconded by** ..... **V. Joynson**

Union positions held: None.

I BELIEVE WE MUST GUARD AGAINST ALLOWING SITUATIONS TO DEVELOP AT LEEDS UNIVERSITY SUCH AS THE ONE WHICH HAPPENED AT STERLING.

WHETHER OR NOT THE STIRLING DEMONSTRATIONS WERE JUSTIFIED, WE SAW THAT THE STIRLING UNION PROVED INEFFECTIVE IN DEALING WITH THE DEMONSTRATORS SO THAT THE WHOLE STUDENT BODY WAS DEPRIVED OF PRIVILEGES IN ORDER TO SATISFY PUBLIC OPINION.

IF THE UNION AT LEEDS IS SEEN TO BE CAPABLE OF TAKING ACTION AGAINST ITS OWN MEMBERS JUSTLY AND EFFECTIVELY, THERE WILL BE LESS CHANCE OF INTERFERENCE IN STUDENT AFFAIRS BY THE AUTHORITIES.

I BELIEVE WE CAN ACHIEVE THIS WITHOUT SACRIFICING THE INTERESTS OF INDIVIDUALS.  
 IF I AM ELECTED I SHALL TRY TO OBSERVE THESE PRINCIPLES.

Dennis Whitaker.

**Candidates Name** ..... **ANDREW JOHN WILDE**

**Department** ..... **History & Religious Studies**

**Year of entry to Leeds**  
**University** ..... **1970**  
**Proposed by** ..... **C. Page**  
**Seconded by** ..... **D. Sharp**

Union positions held:

Secretary — Conservative Association 1971.

Committee Member Conservative Association 1971/72.

Cultural Affairs Committee — Political Secretary 1972/73.

Although the Disciplinary Tribunal has not met for some considerable length of time, it is important that in

case it does have to discuss some important matter at a future date all shades of opinion are represented. It is clear that certain students, because of their political views are in grave danger of being excluded from the Union; and if elected I shall uphold the right of the individual to hold any views which are not directly contrary to those included in the Union Constitution.

**USE YOUR VOTE**