

attempts to  
I had some  
introduction my  
g.

of directing  
common edu-  
tion to meet  
Now for the  
is needed in  
was a note  
and received  
from general

thoroughly  
my work  
how he has  
in the last  
is a pro-

all under the  
ed by the  
ing majority

not should  
and alone  
and not yet

Even.

often -

XLV.

of James

a second

sch-38

# STUDENTS' UNION COMMITTEE, 1903-4.



D. J. Kay, Jr.	C. Gast	E. M. Larkins	J. J. Ellis	F. Deane	W. H. A. Ellis	H. McLean	J. H. Legg	R. H. Vail	E. C. Hurd
A. C. Wood	C. Holman	M. Shizen	W. Anson	W. E. Webb	W. H. Davis	Mr. E. M. Conrad	W. H. Seashie		Professor Chapman
			J. H. Wake	(Chairman)	(Hon. Sec.)	G. E. Stewart	G. S. Richardson	F. W. Stiles	Chief Representative



*"The Gryphon never spreads his wings in the house when the hawk says idle feathers; yet have we ventured to present our exercises before your judgments when we knew them full of waste matter; yielding ourselves to the certainties which we have ever found them to the pretensions which we ought to fear."—LALY.*

Vol. VII.

JUNE, 1904.

No. 6.



THERE is not much to chronicle this month. Everyone seems to be marking time, and to be casting long lingering looks at the horizon, waiting anxiously for the dawn of the long vacation to appear and give light to the people who sit in darkness. Apart from the suspicion of mixed metaphors, we express our hope that all those who are taking examinations will not be ploughed, for surely no well-wisher of the students could desire that. In return for these disinterested desires, we hope that all present students who are resident at the University during the next session will buy the *Gryphon* themselves, and do something to make the journal more deserving of the students' attention, for which we confess there is still much scope.

THERE is another thing to which we should like to call the attention of students. It is perhaps not generally known that a book is kept in the Porter's Office expressly for the purpose of containing the names of past students of the College. It would be well, then, if those who are leaving the College this year would insert therein their names, so that the connection with the College might not wholly be broken.

\*\*\*

THE election for the Students' Union Committee has come and gone, though in truth it did not raise to white heat the political passions of students. Each election possesses somewhat of the same dull character, but this year certain additional circumstances have worked towards that same end. All enthusiasm was taken out of the election by the breach of the regulations, which resulted in eleven members being elected for the Committee without a vote having been taken. It was very unfortunate, but the blame rests with the person who infringed the rules by signing more than four nomination papers, and not with the scrutineers who carried out

the regulations. That "rules are only made to be broken" is a popular error, though I have heard it freely said since the election that the scrutineers would have acted more wisely in raising the telescope to the blind eye. The supplementary election for the two further places on the Committee was, however, quite vigorous, and the ease with which the two chosen members secured their election was a surprise pocket to the ignorant, although it is by now pretty well known that their supporters carried canvassing to a fine art.

The newly elected Committee have since met and chosen the officers for the coming session *sanctis manibus*. A full list of these will be found within our pages. I am glad to see that tribute has been paid to Mr. Ward's untiring and ungrudging efforts on behalf of the students' interests during the present session by electing that gentleman to the important office of Chairman of the Union.

\* \* \*

We are glad to be able to present the readers of this number with a photograph supplement of the Students' Union Committee for the present session, 1903-04. We hope that those concerned will make this a precedent to be followed in each succeeding year. When we grow old and dim and peace and oblivion have succeeded books, that dull and endless strife, the personalities of those with whom we were associated in our College days will yet be loving forces within us, kept fresh by the desire of the old to live in the past, and second only in interest to the realities themselves. How pleasing then to take out the accompanying photograph and view those who were leading students in 1903-04. Would that we could then do the same with all the students who were our College friends at that time!

\* \* \*

We are sure that all our readers will join with us in extending our hearty congratulations to Mr. Connal, Mr. Kendal, and Dr. Cohen, on their appointment as Professors in the new University.

\* \* \*

With this number Messrs. F. W. Skeels, F. B. Fisher, and W. Arnott sever their connection with the *Gryphon*.—R.L.P.

### "Loyalty."

It will be remembered that when Mrs. Currier Beiggs distributed the prizes at the recent University sports, she made reference to the reception which the Prince of Wales had received at Bradford a week or two before, and expressed her opinion that if Leeds would show those of Bradford that the people of Leeds could express their loyalty in a more marked fashion than their neighbours had done. The audience cheered her remarks lustily.

The Lady Mayoress and her bearers, Mr. Editor, have evidently a conception of loyalty far different from mine, a state of affairs which seems to me a sufficient justification for writing to your journal.

My view of the matter is briefly as follows. Loyalty, as its etymology shows, is a conformity to the *lex*. Now all history shows us that wherever the personal ruler of the state is strong, be that ruler King, Queen, Kaiser, or Czar, then the power of the people is commensurably small.

To state a pure case. When the people have no representative assemblies at all, then the *lex* of the king would be the only *lex* and supreme. On the other hand, when the power of the people in the state is supreme, theirs is the only *lex*. Between these two limits the *lex* is that of the personal ruler and people combined, though the constituents in this combination may exist in diverse and innumerable proportions.

During the period of the Norman rule in England the king was, for all practical purposes, and for the argument before us, the sole ruler in the State. The loyalty of individuals then was synonymous with an obedience to a king whom they had neither freely created, and whom they had not in practice the power of removing. In the case of an ideal Republic, on the other hand, loyalty would be in line with the desire of each one in the State, and would be synonymous with a feeling of reverence, respect, and admiration for the ruling powers which were the result of their own creation.

One often hears it said that the people have no more real power in a Republican State than in one which possesses a Constitutional Monarchy. This may or may not be the case, for, from the point of view of the people's interests, the Republic may or may not be a better Republic than the Monarchy is a good Monarchy. But this has nothing whatever to do with the theoretical argument. If such a Monarchy in practice is better for the people's interests than a Republic, this is because the Republic is far from reaching the ideal, and because the Constitutional Monarchy cannot fly either from having its wings cut too much, or having had them clipped to such an extent that the personal ruler thinks it more politic for his own welfare not to use the flying powers he still retains. For surely no one is such a fanatic king-worshipper as to imagine that kings, if they had the power, would use it. For no one would expect such virtue from ordinary mortals. Why should they, then, from an illustrious Kaiser or Czar? Witness the effect a rise in worldly position has on most men. They seem as though intoxicated



with a mixture of delight and conceit at their success in deceiving the world into believing them too good for their former position.

From a theoretical point of view the most corrupt and violent Republic—and there are such—is better than the purest and most peaceable Monarchy, for the former belongs to a higher genus of progress. An ideal Republic is the most ideal form of government, and a Monarchy to reach that ideal has to pass through two broad stages of struggle. The people have first to rid themselves of all Monarchical power, wholly replacing that by popular forms of government. They have then to enter a perhaps longer and more difficult struggle, namely, the striving to get possession of that popular form of government which they themselves have ushered into being.

Corruption can be as rank in a popularly elected government as in a quasi-monarchical one, but as we have said above, the former is on a higher plane of progress.

We have seen what loyalty means in the two extreme cases of an ideal Republic, and of an exclusive Monarchy. Let us examine its nature in the intervening stages, and first let us do so in the case of a Republic which of course, in practice, will not be ideal. Even if the executive be corrupt in a State which has such a form of government, yet, if our definition of loyalty be correct, viz., a conformity to the law, the people will, nevertheless, be loyal to that executive which they have freely elected. It may be a soul-less sort of loyalty, with more of fear than of love in it, due to the nature of the case, but yet it will be loyalty. The ancients grasped this idea, as is seen by the provision which they made, that an appointed official of the State should not be made to answer for his misdeeds until his year of office was over. We, in England, however, contravene this principle in our no-rate and passive resistance movements, for when once a government has been freely elected, we should, *ipso facto*, obey its enactments, aside from any question as to those laws harmonising with our "conscience" or not.

It is obviously a more difficult question to decide to whom the loyalty is due when a personal ruler and popular representatives are joined together in the government, owing to the very fact that they are so joined together. Hence, this is the place where controversy will especially arise. Our opponents will argue as follows on the present condition of affairs in England. The government directs the country; the king is the representative of the government, and being such, loyalty is due to him from the people. But according to our view, this is a mere quibble conveniently made use of for the purpose of disconcerting the disagreeable truth that the king has by this time ceased to possess the fact of power. It is needless to point out that the king has so lost the fact of power, for numerous confirmations of this condition of affairs will at once arise in the mind. The following instance is very symptomatic. Formerly, when the king's power was very great in England, on the king's death, the King's Peace, which had been operative so long as he had been alive, became *ipso facto* null and void; that is to say, the

existence of law was inconsistent with the non-existence of the king. Consistent with this incompatibility of law and no-kingship the Parliament, which we now-a-days associate with law above all things, became dissolved *ipso facto* on the king's death. No one, then, will deny that Parliament possesses to-day the fact of power; for it directs the soul of legislation. According to our view of the term, loyalty is a voluntary obedience on our part to those representatives of ours—for we have created them—who do control the government. An M.P. excites in us a thousand times more loyalty than any member of the Royal Family, inasmuch as they are incapable, from the very circumstances of the case, of touching in us any springs of loyalty whatsoever. But our opponents will tell us that if we hold such views we ought, in order that consistency may be shown, to dispense with royalty altogether. We agree that this we should do if we were perfectly logical. But so one is perfectly logical from the very fact that he is a human being, and being such has all the ills that flesh is heir to. One is inclined to be shocked on discovering that a man is inconsistent, but perhaps the most consistent thing about human beings is that each one does possess inconsistent traits of character. Were that not so he would be a God, and this world a Heaven. Not only are we prevented from being logical by the opposition views of sentimental king-worshippers, but even our own touches of sentiment incline towards the same result. For we are of extreme antiquarian tastes, and would run ten miles to see the King or the Prince of Wales, simply because our passionate love of the past stirs in us a desire to see the remnants of those great men of whom we read. Alfred the Great, Athelstan, the Henrys, the Edwards, and the Georges; that is we are prompted in our eagerness to see a member of the Royal Family by the same antiquarian interest which would make us almost kiss the pavement whereon some great Englishman of the past has walked, or scrutinise, with inner glee, the decrepit remains of some battlement, strong in times of old, or become instinctively silent within the precincts of some old Abbey Church. The past, in short, is ever with us.

No doubt by so doing we relegate the Royal Family to a very low plane of actual usefulness. Such a criticism would be justifiable were they not in full possession of that plane already. Of what use, indeed, are they, unless it is an useful work to open bazaar, military tournaments, etc., or be one of the *claque* at the first presentation of a play, although no doubt some will say that they are doing a real work for society in holding a certain number of drawing rooms during the season, or in uttering the mechanic formula, "Rise, Sir John!" to one who has satisfied their merest whims. In short they are a mere figure-head, not of the State, but *in* the State. It would not be a difficult thing to show that these strictures are also applicable to all that great Society of England, which is just as numerous as there are persons who do no work for the welfare of society. But our purpose here is to speak of loyalty, which is usually associated with the Royal Family alone, and moreover Society has been well, if not sufficiently, belaboured already, and will in time die a natural death, though to be sure, as someone once

said of the Jesuits, "They are a long time in dying." To sum up then, loyalty cannot in truth be associated with Royalty, not only because the latter are performing no essentially useful work for the State, but also and mainly because they are not the Government, nor the representatives of the Government, except as they are such in legal phraseology, for that profession is notorious for worshipping the body after the spirit has fled.

According to the definition we have made use of in speaking of loyalty, Royalty and loyalty are quite non-convertible terms.

But it may be objected that to limit "loyalty" to meaning "a conformity to law" is to put far too narrow a construction on that term, for "loyalty," it may be said, means far more than that, in that it is rather a conformity to the best spirit of the State. But I incline to the view that even were the scope of "loyalty" widened, and rightly so, it would be even a harder task than before—in my opinion an insurmountable task—to show that Royalty have under these changed conditions any claim whatever to loyalty, and moreover on this occasion they would be wanting the strong support of the lawyers. Again, in an ideal State the expression "a conformity to law" would be convertible with the expression "a conformity to the best spirit of the State," for in such an ideal State ideal men would be chosen to direct its Government, ideal laws would result, and hence such as would be representative of the best in the State from the very fact that they are ideal. Accordingly we can accept the broader definition of loyalty, for it contains the narrower one within itself as an active root-principle. We affirm, then, that in a progressive State loyalty does not consist in a hypocritical clamorous reception of Royalty, but in each man's striving to leave the world better than he found it, and this he can do by seeking to do the best he can in that position which it has pleased God to call him. In other words, one works out his own salvation by striving towards a complete functioning of himself. This is true loyalty, for it is a working out of the active principle of the State which is, or rather should be, Progress. But to be perfectly loyal, in this view, one needs to make use of his fellow beings, that is, true loyalty necessitates Brotherhood; and this is a state of thing which is not dependent on accidental circumstances of wealth, birth, etc., a thing which I should like to emphasise once more to my students of this College.

F. B. F.

### Vivant Professores.

I HAVE heard a rumour that the question of Yorkshire College students wearing academic dress is arising.

I do not propose to give my views on this subject, though, with me, the idea goes much against the grain. But on the introduction of this question, another idea, which would seem to me to come forward before the former, should be considered. You propose that students should wear gowns—then what about the Professors?

These worthies, we are led to believe, are our intellectual superiors, and, considering the fact that academic dress denotes our present position in life,

ought not the Professors to be wearing them now? No need to talk to me of the disadvantages of this. I grant that Professor R\*\*\*s might find cycling to College a difficulty, but ladies' dress guards are always on the market, and waterproof gowns and mortar boards would, no doubt, be obtainable. I grant, also, that the sound of Mr. W\*\*\*s walking along the passages might just be detected by the rustling of his garments; that Mr. C\*\*\* might experience trouble in keeping his robes out of the dirt; and that Professor G\*\*\*d\*\*\* would find his watch calculator difficult to get at.

But how is anyone in the street to know that maybe every day in their lives they are passing Yorkshire College Professors?—though, to me, their ignorance is bliss. Picture Dr. S\*\*\*d in gorgeous red apparel, here and there dabbled with gold, cigar in mouth, umbrella under arm, with hands thrust round the edges of his gown into his trouser pockets, swaying across the Moor to College after his mid-day meal, with something of the order of a millionth of a triumphant look on his face! Think of Professor R\*\*\*s on a pouring, wet, blustery day, battling with the elements on his faithful—aye, and long-suffering velocipede—enveloped in loose flowing robes, gracefully floating out around him.

A convenience it would be to shield the College books of Mr. G\*\*\*s in their undignified position over the rear mud guard of his 17th century bicycle.

Would it not be a pleasure to us to meet such garbed people in the streets? Would it not be a stimulus to those who wish the students to take up the academic dress? And, finally, would it not be sufficient to draw the attention of our townsfolk to the fact that there is a College, a University, in Leeds, which needs support?

"DOUBLE SHEAR."

### University Charivari.

#### SPORTS AND PASTIMES OF THE LOWER ANIMALS.

<i>The Wolf</i> .. ..	"Loops the Loup."
<i>The Lamb</i> .. ..	"Gambles on the Turf."
<i>The Lynx</i> .. ..	"Plays at Golf."
<i>The Frog</i> .. ..	"Plays at Croquet."
<i>The Ant</i> .. ..	"Has various antics."
<i>The Microbe</i> ..	"Plays the Dickens."

#### SPORTS AND PASTIMES OF THE "HIGHER" ANIMALS.

*Mathematician*—Indulges in the sport of Angling.  
He is always dis-entangling lines, and sometimes is a wr-angler too.

#### RESULTS DAY.

1st Student: "What are you shivering for?"

2nd Student: "Cold! no Degrees!"

1st Student: "H' M—er—curious for such a hot day."

2nd Student: "And you'd be cold too if you had been plucked."

Many feel her little pet  
On College papers;  
Many's tears are flowing yet  
It was so sudden.

OLIVER JIGGER.

## All in a Day's Work—A Mining Sketch.

OUR hero, Ben, was a deputy on a coal mine not a hundred miles from Newcastle. He was an abnormally tall man, being quite six feet five in height. He was also very stout, and, it is said, that for many years he used to walk twenty miles every Sunday to reduce his weight.

About seven o'clock one morning the engine which drove the fan broke down. There were two engines to run the fan, one to be used when the other broke down. Unfortunately, the engine that had just broken down was the reserve one, the other having broken down a day or two before, and had not yet been repaired. At about the same time a big escape of fire damp occurred in what was known as Salter's Exc. So severe was this that the colliers had to turn their lamps nearly out to prevent it firing. They could still see the blue flame surrounding the old flame in their lamps, which was the fire damp burning. The men had noted the loss of the air current, and therefore made the best of their way to the shaft bottom. This was probably a distance of a couple of miles.

Ben met them at the shaft bottom, and asked them what the matter was. They told him. He then asked them if anybody had warned the men in the low level. They answered him no. The way to the low level, I may explain, branched off about half-a-mile before Salter's, and after that it was about two miles to the working face.

Without another word on either side Ben picked up his lamp and went to warn the men; he well knew from the description of the miners that it was no safe thing to do. At the end of about three-quarters of a mile he came to the gas. It was thick; the colliers had not exaggerated. Around the flame in his lamp, which was almost turned out, the blue flame played, and from the oil flame itself very tiny bright sparks darted into the blue flame.

When he reached the turning to the low level he stopped, and heard the curious moan of the gas. He then went and warned the colliers, and brought them safe to the pit bottom. There was no chattering such as military heroes receive. All went on as before, except an announcement was made that owing to a severe escape of fire damp, the pit was closed until further notice.

Not that the colliers were ungrateful, but it was all in a day's work. Ben most probably in the future would be a more popular deputy.

P.S.—Ben is not an imaginary character, for he is a deputy on a mine near Leeds, and is as fine a man as ever one would wish to meet. Again, the description of the meaning of the gas is a true one. Those who have had experience of coal-mines will know that the sound produced by gas escaping in large quantities, in deserted workings, is a most weird one.

[The writer of the above article is a mining student.]

## The Sports.

THURSDAY, May 19th, 1904, was the date on which our sports were held for the first time under the title of the University of Leeds. In writing accounts of out-of-door functions one naturally has to revert to a well-worn topic—the weather. Fine, but none too warm, was the verdict up to 4.30 p.m., when we were visited by that most annoying storm. It threw a damper in every sense of the word on everybody and everything, but fortunately it was over in half-an-hour. It left the ground, however, in a very slippery condition. The number of spectators was about the same as last year, a large proportion being of the fair sex. This year the Committee engaged the band of the 3rd W.B.P.W.O. West Yorkshire Regiment, and an excellently rendered programme they gave.

Entries for events this year, from a numerical point of view, were miserable. It may seem a strong term, but when 30 men out of 450 to 500 enter, and I hear on the best authority that this was the number, it does not say much for the interest of athletics in the University of Leeds. And the attendance—300 (reckoned by tickets sold and money taken at the gate), of which number fully 50 were friends of the students. Approximate total of regular students, both sexes, 600. Total number at sports, 220!!! Where were the remaining 380? I know it is said that the *Gryphon* always contains articles grumbling about the lack of enthusiasm as shown by the support of the students. Who is to blame? Organisers and Secretaries are often told their entertainments, etc., are feeble, and students won't come unless you give them better fare. Better fare costs money, and these last sports will show it. Certainly, as far as the comfort of the spectators was concerned, the Committee worked hard this year. The arrangements for refreshments were excellent, unfortunately rather spoilt by the rain. I feel confident that if you asked any of the men who have acted as Sports Secretaries, they would tell you that the only disagreeable part of their work was the possibility of getting few entries, and the certainty of having to declare an adverse balance. Now the question immediately arises—is the Committee to be blamed when a heavy adverse balance on the Sports is declared at the end of the year, as I am told by one who should know that such will be the case?

But to turn to brighter topics, although the entries were very small, the quality was quite as good as usual, and I think in many races rather better. Certainly in men like H. F. Renton, N. Reader, B. W. Marchant, and A. Richardson, we should see some fine running for some years to come. H. F. Renton easily claimed the Championship Cup, having to his credit four firsts and one second, and consequently by rules had to give up certain prizes.

This year the Committee had the satisfaction of offering an Inter-Departmental Cup, through the generosity of our worthy Pro-Chancellor, Mr. A. G. Lupton. Owing entirely to the first-year men, the medicals were claimants of this trophy with 37 points. The fight for the shield was not quite so intense as last year, although many excellent pulls were brought off. The Textiles were the most formidable opponents of the Engineers—the champions of the past two years.

In the final however, the lasting power of the Engineers showed itself, who, after an exciting pull uphill, came out victors. At the conclusion of the sports the Lady Mayoresse kindly distributed the prizes to the winners, the Lord Mayor and Lady Mayoresse having arrived about 4.30 p.m. At the close of the distribution the Hon. Sec. of the Sports, Mr. A. C. Ward, proposed, and the Pro-Chancellor, Mr. A. G. Lennox, seconded, a hearty vote of thanks to the Lord Mayor and Lady Mayoresse for being present, especially to the latter for having so graciously handed the awards. The vote was most heartily accorded. The Lord Mayor and Lady Mayoresse both made very appropriate replies.

Below is appended a full list of results.

**Putting the Weight**—1st, W. H. A. Elliott, length 32 ft. 11 in.; 2nd, H. F. Renton, length 29 ft. 1 in.  
**100 Yards Flat Race**—1st, H. F. Renton, 10½ secs.; 2nd, H. E. Seangill.

**Long Jump**—1st, H. F. Renton, 20 ft. 2½ in.; 2nd, N. Reader, 18 ft. 3 in.

**Tag-of-War (1st round)**—Textiles pulled Engineers B. **Hurdle**—1st, H. F. Renton; 2nd, N. Reader.

**1000-Yards Mile**—1st, A. Greenwood (Liverpool), 4 min. 24 sec.; 2nd, E. D. Apjohn (Liverpool).

**220 Yards Flat Handicap**—1st, B. W. Marchant; 2nd, A. Richardson.

**High Jump**—1st, N. Reader, 5 ft. 4½ in.; 2nd, J. P. Norfolk.

**Half-Mile Scratch**—1st, A. Richardson, 2 min. 14½ secs.; 2nd, B. W. Marchant.

**Sack Race**—1st, J. J. Ellis; 2nd, G. S. Richardson; 3rd, J. H. Waite.

**Throwing the Cricket Ball (Ladies)**—1st, Miss M. Airey, 120 ft. 6 in.; 2nd, Miss E. Bateman.

**220 Yards Scratch**, S. U. C. and S. R. C.—1st, W. H. Davis; 2nd, G. E. Steward; 3rd, F. A. Buthe.

**Tag-of-War (2nd round)**—Engineers A pulled Medicals; Textiles pulled Arts.

**Quarter Mile Scratch**—1st, H. F. Renton; 2nd, B. W. Marchant.

**One Mile Handicap**—1st, G. E. Steward (scratch), 5 min. 17 sec.; 2nd, F. A. Battle (30); 3rd, R. A. Christie (35).

**Consolation Race**—1st, F. W. Dickinson; 2nd, P. Davies.

**Tag-of-War (final)**—Engineers A pulled Textiles.

**Championship Cup**—H. F. Renton.

**Inter-Departmental Trophy**—Medicals.

**Tag-of-War Shield**—Engineers.

## College Athletic News.

### Leeds University Rugby Football Club.

A MEETING of the Club was held in the committee room on Friday, May 27th, for the election of officers for 1904-5 season. Thirty-two members were present, Mr. W. H. A. Elliott in the chair.

The following gentlemen were elected to fill the vacancies:—

1st XV, **Captain**: J. W. CAMERON.

Hon. Sec. (College Road): J. E. VICKARS.

Hon. Sec. (School): A. RICHARDSON.

2nd XV, **Captain**: H. P. ARMES.

Hon. Sec. (College Road): B. W. MARCHANT.

Hon. Sec. (School): C. N. SMITH.

County Representative: J. N. CAMERON.

### Northern Universities Representatives:

A. RICHARDSON, J. N. CAMERON (ex-officio).

A resolution was unanimously carried opposing Mr. Ward's amendment to rules submitted by Manchester University with regard to the Challenge Cup and Shield.

## Cricket.

### UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. HORSFORTH HALL PARK.

Played at Horsforth on Saturday, 30th April, and ended in a draw.

THE 'VERDITY.		HORSFORTH HALL PARK	
S. T. Crump, not out....	71	C. Hardisty, not out....	69
E. C. Hood, b Smith....	50	F. Wilkinson, b Gregory....	52
J. R. T. Kewick, b Smith....	57	H. Brooks, not out....	15
J. S. Richardson, b Smith....	3		
R. Wilkinson, c Charley, b Newstead.....	6		
Jas. Ferguson.....			
H. W. Elliott.....			
A. M. Tatham.....			
S. R. Gloyne.....			
J. Dixon.....			

Extras..... 15 Extras..... 1

Total (for 4 wickets) 162\* Total (for 1 wicket) 99

\* Innings declared closed.

### BOWLING.

	Overs.	Maiden Overs.	Runs.	Wickets.
Crump.....	11	1	30	0
Hood.....	11	2	30	0
Gregory.....	5	0	10	1
Ferguson.....	5	0	12	0
Gloyne.....	3	0	5	0
Richardson.....	1	1	0	0

### UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. LEEDS SPRINGFIELD.

Played on Tuesday, 3rd May, and resulted in a draw.

LEEDS SPRINGFIELD.		THE 'VERDITY.	
R. D. Chorley, b Crump....	1	S. T. Crump, not out....	51
C. Hays, b Ferguson.....	72	G. C. Foulds, b Murray....	5
W. O. Fox, b Ferguson....	15	J. S. Richardson, b Mar-	
A. Murray, b Ferguson....	1	RAY.....	20
H. Sanders, b Crump....	15	J. Ferguson, c Hays, b	
A. Barrett, b Ferguson....	25	Barrett.....	24
A. E. Mosser, not out....	0	B. W. Elliott, run out....	6
A. Winclear, b Crump....	0	W. A. Whiting, not out....	3
F. W. Dell, b Crump....	0	A. M. Tatham.....	
G. Hind, not out....	20	F. M. Gregory.....	
E. H. Woodhouse, did not bat.		S. R. Gloyne.....	
		C. Harrison.....	
		H. S. Barnett Jones.....	

Extras..... 10 Extras..... 14

Total (for 8 wickets) 192\* Total (for 4 wickets) 125

\* Innings declared closed.

### BOWLING.

	Overs.	Maiden Overs.	Runs.	Wickets.
Crump.....	15	4	59	4
Gregory.....	5	0	20	0
Gloyne.....	4	0	25	0
Richardson.....	3	0	16	0
Ferguson.....	11	4	27	4

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. HOORSFORTH HALL PARK.

Played Saturday, 7th May, and ended in a win for the 'Verity by 5 runs.

THE 'VERITY.		HOORSFORTH HALL PARK.	
S. T. Crump, b Newstead	27	C. H. Hardisty, b Gloyne	34
E. C. Hood, b Newstead	0	P. Wilkinson, b Crump	7
J. B. T. Kewick, c Grey	0	T. Cooper, c Kewick	7
b Newstead	0	W. Hood	12
J. S. Richardson, c Char-	0	H. Brooke, b Crump	25
ley, b Lily	6	C. E. Daniel, c Wilkin-	0
W. H. A. Elliott, b Lily	0	son, b Ferguson	9
R. Wilkinson, c Wilkin-	0	G. H. Chamley, b Crump	7
son, b Newstead	24	T. A. Lily, c Kewick, b	0
J. Ferguson, st Light, b	15	Ferguson	0
Lily	0	H. M. Newstead, not out	4
B. W. Elliott, lbw, b	0	C. E. Grey, b Crump	0
Lily	6	A. Lloyd, b Crump	2
A. M. Tatham, c Cooper,	0	W. Nunn, b Crump	0
b Lily	10		
F. M. Gregory, run out	4		
S. R. Gloyne, not out	5		
Extras	5		
Total	127	Total	128

BOWLING.		Overs.		Maiden Overs.		Runs.		Wickets.	
Crump	13-4	2	40	5					
Hood	11	1	39	1					
Gloyne	5	0	41	1					
Ferguson	7	2	17	2					

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. LEEDS SPRINGFIELD.

On Wednesday, 11th May. Lost.

THE 'VERITY.		LEEDS SPRINGFIELD.	
E. C. Hood, c and b Sand-	6	H. Sandes, b Wood	0
ers	0	A. K. Masser, lbw, b	0
W. H. A. Elliott, c J. W.	12	Wood	15
Kaye, b Murray	0	P. W. Dell, c B. W.	14
S. T. Crump, lbw, b Bur-	0	Elliot, b Hood	3
rett	0	H. Towers, b Crump	3
J. S. Richardson, c Sand-	0	W. G. Fox, c and b Fer-	40
ers, b Barrett	0	guson	0
G. A. Watson, c Sandes,	0	A. Barrett, b Gregory	0
b Barrett	0	J. D. Wood, st Elliott,	4
J. Ferguson, c Dell, b	3	Wood	0
Murray	0	J. W. Kaye, b Ferguson	8
B. W. Elliott, b Murray	3	A. Quinney, c Crump	0
H. Sandes, c Fox, b San-	0	Ferguson	0
des	0	A. Wiese, b Gloyne	0
T. P. Tomlinson, st Wood	0	A. Murray, not out	0
b Murray	0		
S. R. Gloyne, not out	0		
F. M. Gregory, b Murray	0		
Extras	2	Extras	4
Total	35	Total	100

'VERITY.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		11	11	15	18	29	31	33	35	35											

SPRINGFIELD.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		17	33	35	60	79	95	95	100	100											

BOWLING.		Overs.		Maiden Overs.		Runs.		Wickets.	
Crump	8	0	26	1					
Hood	11	4	34	1					
Gloyne	10	2	21	2					
Ferguson	4	0	10	3					
Gloyne	2-1	0	5	1					

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. ELSTON.

On Thursday, 12th May.

ELSTON.		THE 'VERITY.	
A. Midgley, b Crump	0	S. T. Crump, lbw, b Clark	2
G. R. Richardson, b	0	F. W. Dickinson, c Mar-	5
Gregory	0	ray, b Stabler	0
A. E. Masser, b Crump	0	J. S. Richardson, st Wood,	7
F. Clark, c Wilkinson,	16	b Stabler	16
b Gregory	1	R. Wilkinson, b Mar-	0
A. Murray, b Gregory	1	ray, c Clark	0
J. Masser, c Richardson,	0	b Stabler	0
b Gregory	20	J. Ferguson, st Wood,	14
W. Gale, not out	15	b Stabler	0
J. D. Wood, b Barrett	0	B. W. Elliott, b Stabler	5
H. H. Stabler, b Barrett	0	J. R. Stabler, run out	1
H. Tempest, c Steward,	2	G. E. Wikead, not out	0
b Barrett	0	F. M. Gregory, b Stabler	4
Crossfield, b Barrett	7	Extras	4
Extras	62	Total	78
Total	62		

ELSTON.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		4	4	14	16	24	35	35	61	62											

'VERITY.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10										

BOWLING.		Overs.		Maiden Overs.		Runs.		Wickets.	
Crump	13	2	27	2					
Gregory	9	2	16	4					
Barrett	1-5	1	11	4					

UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. RIFON.

Played at Huddersley, 14th May.

RIFON.		THE 'VERITY.	
T. Wright, run out	0	S. T. Crump, b Wiley	32
H. Walford, c Richard-	0	J. R. T. Kewick, b Wal-	15
son, b Hood	0	ford	0
H. W. Wray, c B. W.	12	E. C. Hood, c Wray, b	8
Elliot, b Hood	27	Walford	0
S. Craven, not out	0	J. S. Richardson, b Wal-	7
E. G. Payne, c Crump,	0	ford	0
b Hood	0	R. Wilkinson, b Wright	10
J. C. Turner, b Hood	0	W. H. A. Elliott, c Scott,	6
R. Austin, lbw, b Crump	12	b Payne	10
A. Orlon, b Hood	0	G. A. Watson, not out	0
J. Pearson, b Gregory	9	R. W. Elliott, not out	0
W. H. Scott, c Ferguson,	5	S. R. Gloyne	0
b Gregory	5	F. M. Gregory, did not bat.	0
F. Wiley, b Gregory	11	J. Ferguson	12
Extras	110	Extras	127
Total	110	Total	127

RIFON.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		8	9	21	21	33	52	69	94	104	110										

'VERITY.		1		2		3		4		5		6		7		8		9		10	
Fall of Wickets		62	78	88	89	113	125														

BOWLING.		Overs.		Maiden Overs.		Runs.		Wickets.	
S. T. Crump	13	2	29	1					
E. C. Hood	14	2	43	5					
Gregory	6	0	32	3					
Ferguson	4	2	10	0					

## UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. MANCHESTER UNIVERSITY.

Played at Headingley on the 13th May.

MANCHESTER.									
THE 'VERMITY.'					THE 'VERMITY.'				
F. W. Miller, c Ferguson, b Hood.....	8	S. T. Crump, c Porter, b Miller.....	12						
G. M. Denton, c Kewick, b Crump.....	1	J. B. T. Kewick, c Lees, b Miller.....	3						
W. Lees, Rse, b Hood.....	23	E. C. Hood, c Lees, b Miller.....	4						
H. T. Atkinson, b Crump.....	21	W. H. Elliott, b Miller.....	12						
C. J. Day, b Crump.....	0	J. S. Richardson, b Miller.....	15						
W. S. Miller, c and b Hood.....	2	W. H. A. Elliott, b Miller.....	12						
G. F. Porter, b Crump.....	0	J. Ferguson, b Miller.....	15						
J. A. Crump, b Hood.....	0	J. S. Richardson, b Miller.....	15						
H. R. Eaton, not out.....	20	G. C. Foulds, c and b Miller.....	4						
A. G. Parker, run out.....	9	W. H. Elliott, not out.....	9						
W. H. Perkins, c Foulds, b Crump.....	0	A. M. Tatham, b Miller.....	9						
		S. R. Gloyne, c Eaton, b Parker.....	7						
Extras.....	8	Extras.....	5						
Total.....	95	Total.....	70						

MANCHESTER.									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Fall of Wickets.....	2	15	48	48	63	64	64	68	95

LEEDS.									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Fall of Wickets.....	2	14	10	19	25	44	46	55	57

BOWLING.				
Ovns.	Maiden Ovns.	Runs.	Wickets.	
Crump.....	12	2	40	5
Hood.....	13	1	48	4

## UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. LASKY.

Played at Headingley on Saturday, May 21st.

LASKY.									
THE 'VERMITY.'					THE 'VERMITY.'				
H. Crowther, not out.....	74	S. T. Crump, b Richardson.....	6						
W. Foster, c Kewick, b Gregory.....	24	J. B. T. Kewick, c Richardson, b Crowther.....	26						
J. Hanson, c J. S. Richardson, b Hood.....	7	E. C. Hood, b Richardson, b Williams, b Richardson.....	7						
H. J. Handley, b Gloyne.....	1	W. H. A. Elliott, b W. Hanson.....	9						
M. W. Godley, not out.....	18	J. Ferguson, c Sutcliffe, b Richardson.....	0						
B. L. Taylor.....		J. S. Richardson, b Richardson.....	11						
T. M. Hood.....		H. W. Elliott, b Richardson.....	11						
W. Hanson.....		T. F. Tomlinson, not out.....	19						
A. Richardson.....	did not bat.	S. H. Gloyne, not out.....	31						
E. S. Handley.....		F. M. Gregory, not out.....	7						
H. Sutcliffe.....		Extras.....	7						
Extras.....	7	Total.....	111						
Total.....	158	Total.....	111						

BOWLING.				
Ovns.	Maiden Ovns.	Runs.	Wickets.	
Crump.....	18	1	25	0
Hood.....	16	1	52	1
Ferguson.....	4	0	12	0
Gloyne.....	8	0	29	1
Elliott (W. H. A.).....	5	0	18	1
Richardson.....	2	0	10	0
Richardson.....	1	0	4	0

## UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. LEEDS POLICE.

Played at Headingley on June 2nd. The University won by 11 runs.

THE 'VERMITY.'				
S. T. Crump, c Whincup.....	8	Lindley, c Elliott, b Crump.....	24	
J. Ferguson, b Whincup.....	0	Smith, c Steward, b Ferguson.....	7	
H. Wilkinson, b Whincup.....	4	Thompson, c Tomlinson.....	2	
G. C. Foulds, b Whincup.....	6	b Ferguson.....	9	
B. W. Elliott, b Lindley.....	4	Thevella, b Crump.....	0	
A. M. Tatham, b Whincup.....	1	Milton, b Crump.....	0	
T. P. Tomlinson, b Whincup.....	4	Whately, b Crump.....	0	
S. R. Gloyne, b Whincup.....	4	Thompson, c Tomlinson.....	21	
G. E. Steward, b Whincup.....	3	b Gloyne.....	1	
F. M. Gregory, not out.....	11	Wilson, c Steward, b Gloyne.....	3	
W. F. Humble, b Lindley.....	11	Watson, not out.....	7	
Extras.....	13	Extras.....	7	
Total.....	52	Total.....	59	

BOWLING.				
Ovns.	Maiden Ovns.	Runs.	Wickets.	
Crump.....	11	2	38	6
Ferguson.....	9	0	16	2
Gloyne.....	1-5	0	8	2

## UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS v. YORKSHIRE GENTLEMEN.

Played at York on June 4th.

THE GENTS.				
Capt. Seaford, b Crump.....	0	S. T. Crump, b Carter.....	2	
S. G. Squire, b Crump.....	5	J. B. T. Kewick, lbw, b Squire.....	14	
H. Van Strawburgh, c Williamson, b Hood.....	10	E. C. Hood, c and b Carter.....	24	
A. Forbes, run out.....	4	R. Williamson, b Van Strawburgh.....	24	
Col. Davidson, b Hood.....	2	J. Ferguson, b Squire.....	0	
A. H. Cuthell, c and b Hood.....	3	H. Sutcliffe, c Cuthell, b Carter.....	13	
Capt. Lowe, b Crump.....	3	Carter.....	13	
Capt. Cliffe, b Crump.....	3	G. C. Foulds, b Squire.....	24	
A. W. Maple, lbw, b Hood.....	9	A. M. Tatham, b Carter.....	24	
D. J. P. Davis, not out.....	1	F. M. Gregory, c Maple, b Carter.....	11	
W. Carter, b Hood.....	0	S. R. Gloyne, b Davis.....	5	
		F. H. Alkin, not out.....	0	
Extras.....	4	Extras.....	7	
Total.....	75	Total.....	125	

BOWLING.				
Ovns.	Maiden Ovns.	Runs.	Wickets.	
Crump.....	10	0	29	4
Hood.....	10	1	42	5

## Tennis Club.

LEEDS UNIVERSITY v. VICTORIA UNIVERSITY (Manchester).

The match at home had to be cancelled owing to being unable to obtain a ground.

The match at Manchester was played on Wednesday, June 1st. It was a miserable day, for in addition to the rain, there was a strong wind, and the courts were also very soft.

## RESULT:

Manchester won by 5 matches to 2, 10 sets to 4, and 76 games to 17, and two matches were not played.

C. L. and W. E. Brierley lost to E. W. Oliver and C. H. Massey, 6-2, 6-2.

C. L. and W. E. Brierley lost to Alkin and Barker, 6-4, 10-8.

Did not play with Fox and E. Wharton.

G. S. Richardson and K. Lightfoot beat Fox and E. Wharton, 6-3, 7-5.

G. S. Richardson and K. Lightfoot lost to E. W. Oliver and C. H. Massey, 6-4, 6-4.

Did not play with Alkin and Barker.

W. Brown and J. P. Norfolk lost to Alkin and Barker 6-4, 6-4.  
 W. Brown and J. P. Norfolk beat Foot and E. Wharson 6-2, 6-2.  
 W. Brown and J. P. Norfolk lost to E. W. Oliver and C. H. Macey, 6-1, 6-1.

## LEEDS UNIVERSITY v. CARDIFF L.T.C. (Hyde Park).

On Saturday, June 28th. AUGH.

The weather for this match was ideal, and a good game ended in favour of the University, by 6 matches to 3, 12 sets to 9, and 84 games to 98.

## TEAM:

C. L. Brierley and W. E. Brierley.  
 G. S. Richardson and W. Brown.  
 J. P. Norfolk and J. E. Vicars.  
 C. L. and W. E. Brierley lost to C. E. Fox and A. E. Brown, 6-4, 6-4.  
 C. L. and W. E. Brierley beat F. G. Ferrans and E. G. Duttons, 7-5, 7-5.  
 C. L. and W. E. Brierley beat A. D. Bailey and W. F. Thonger, 7-5, 6-3.  
 G. S. Richardson and W. Brown beat F. G. Ferrans and E. G. Duttons, 6-2, 6-2.  
 G. S. Richardson and W. Brown beat C. E. Fox and A. E. Brown, 1-6, 6-2, 6-4.  
 G. S. Richardson and W. Brown beat A. D. Bailey and W. F. Thonger, 6-4, 1-6, 6-3.  
 J. P. Norfolk and J. E. Vicars lost to A. D. Bailey and W. F. Thonger, 4-6, 1-6.  
 J. P. Norfolk and J. E. Vicars beat F. G. Ferrans and E. G. Duttons, 6-2, 1-6, 6-4.  
 J. P. Norfolk and J. E. Vicars lost to C. E. Fox and A. E. Brown, 1-6, 1-6.

Other matches—Saturday, June 28th, Headingley, away: Wednesday, June 22nd, Pottenstone, away.

Matches are also, if possible, going to be arranged with Dewsbury and Carlisle (Rotams).

F. H. ARKIN, Hon. Sec.

## Overheard at the Sports.

"Isn't it annoying? So nice and kind as many of them are! Not at all bad-looking either! Of course they can afford it too—or they ought to be able to. Just think! they mostly live in couples!" Hopped in, and anxious to keep my seat, what could I do, Mr. Editor? I turned slightly and noticed that the speaker was a tall, handsome young lady, who had evidently forgotten, in her indignation, how easily her neighbours might share her ideas. This is interesting, thought I, but of whom is she speaking? Certainly we all know many nice men at Leeds University; rather handsome too, now and then. But why do they abstain from doing something which they can well afford to do? There must be some unique undergrads, at our Northern University. And why, oh why, might they not live in couples? Here it occurred to me that the fair speaker was not quite so reckless as might at first appear, for she had not made it clear who "they" were. As these thoughts were quickly passing through my mind, she went on, "Such an example to set in a University! do you know we counted at least ten the other day, beginning at the very top! The only consolation is that perhaps some of them wish to and can't. I mean they have lost the faculty, because they have put things off too long, for selfish reasons. When the reasons are not selfish I have a theory that the faculty is not lost. So it is difficult to judge individual cases. And perhaps some of the poor creatures have not been

treated well by some of us. I should like to say to those 'try again.' And, in my case, they could not misunderstand."

A light now broke in on me. The undergrads, were not in question, neither men nor women—oh dear no—I know some who are engaged, and not yet twenty years old. It was the unmarried professors and doctors and lecturers who had aroused this ire in an evidently newly-married young setter-to-rights of the universe. As I looked over the field and observed the athletic, yet languid form of Professor —, the massive figure and kindly face of Professor —, the polite and jovial Professor — I fully agreed with my fair neighbour, and her animadversion.

Have I not heard or seen, Mr. Editor, that suggestions for the improvement of the new University are invited? It is unnecessary for me to do more than simply ask this question.

"VERBUM SAP."

### Proceedings of Students' Union Committee.

THE fifteenth meeting of the Committee was held on Friday, the 25th May, 1904.

Mr. W. H. Davis in the chair.

Mr. Mundy having presented a frame for the photographs of the Union Chairmen, Prof. Clapham proposed and Mr. Ward seconded, that Mr. Mundy be heartily thanked for his present.

Prof. Clapham briefly indicated the new rules for the Christie Challenge Cup and Whitworth Shield, to be competed for by the Universities of Leeds, Liverpool and Manchester. The Committee suggested that the same rules shall hold for the Shield as for the Cup.

Mr. Ward proposed, and Mr. Waite seconded, "That no person shall be eligible to play in these matches after he has severed his connection as a registered student."

An amendment was proposed by Mr. Elliott, "That no person shall be eligible to play for more than two years after severing his connection as a registered student." This was seconded by Mr. McLaren, but lost. The original motion was carried by five votes to four.

Mr. Ward proposed that the Committee recommend that the name of the Union shall be the Leeds University Union. This was seconded by Mr. Skeley, and carried.

Mr. Connal proposed, and Mr. Dobson seconded, "That the Boys' Modern School be allowed to use the ground on payment of the usual fees."

The balance sheet of the Victoria University C.C. having been presented, Mr. Elliott moved, and Mr. Richardson seconded, that a grant of £5 be made. The motion was carried.

A communication was read from the Registrar, requesting the Committee to appoint four members on the Refectory Committee. Miss Barton, Miss Foggitt, Mr. Davis, and Mr. Keith were appointed.

Mr. Dobson proposed that a grant of £2 be made to the Gymnasium for an open competition. Mr. Skeley seconded, and the motion was carried.

## The Gryphon.

The sixteenth meeting of the Committee was held on Tuesday, 7th June, 1904.

Mr. W. H. Davis in the chair.

A communication was read from the S.R.C. of Manchester re Conference. Prof. Clapham moved, and Mr. Ward seconded, that six delegates be sent. The following members were elected as delegates:—Prof. Clapham, Miss Linforth and Miss Barton, Messrs. Smiles, Davis, Ward, and Cameron.

Mr. Hood moved that the agreement with the Springfield C.C. be terminated. Mr. Elliott seconded.

Mr. Ellis moved an amendment that the matter be referred to the Cricket Sub-Committee for further consideration. Mr. Connal seconded. The amendment was put and lost, the original motion being carried by seven votes to five.

The colours submitted by Hyam & Co. were then considered. The standard shade of maroon and white was first decided on. The design of the tie was approved, but the Committee decided that the shade should be nearer the standard. The design and shade of the blazer and stockings were approved.

The Committee decided that the scarf should be reversible, and that the white should be white, and not grey. The design of the hand-band was approved, but the shade was not correct.

Mr. Elliott moved, and Mr. Legge seconded, that order books be obtained, and that these should be left in the hands of the porter.

### The "Tell-Tale" of the Students' Union Committee for Session 1903-4.

W. H. Davis (16); J. H. Waite (16); F. W. Battle (15); A. C. Ward (14); B. M. Connal, Esq. (13); H. McLaren (13); Miss Holmes (12); E. Dobson (12); J. J. Ellis (12); W. O. Ross (11); F. W. Skelley (11); Miss Skinner (10); Miss Linforth (10); D. J. Kay (10); W. E. Keith (8); W. H. Garioth (7); W. H. A. Elliott (7); G. E. Steward (7); Prof. Clapham (6); G. Thorp (6); W. H. Smiles (5); R. C. Gant (5); E. C. Hood (4); W. Arnott (4); J. H. Legge (3); R. H. Veske (2); G. S. Richardson (2); S. T. Crump (1).

### The Students' Union Elections.

The following persons were duly nominated for the 13 positions on the Students' Union Committee for the Session 1904-5:—

J. H. CAMERON.	P. RAWLINS.
W. N. GOODMAN.	W. O. ROSS.
K. LIGHTFOOT.	G. E. STEWARD.
H. E. LITTLE.	J. E. VICCARS.
H. H. NIXON.	A. C. WARD.
C. M. WHITEHEAD.	

The following nomination papers were rendered invalid owing to one student having signed all of them:—

A. P. DUKEANT.	J. S. RICHARDSON.
B. W. ELLIOTT.	F. T. TOMLINSON.
G. C. TURNER.	

The above 11 persons were therefore elected without a vote being taken. A bye-election for the two remaining seats on the Committee was therefore rendered necessary. The following persons were nominated, of whom the first two were elected:—

	Votes.
J. A. S. MORRISON .. ..	145
M. BOOTH .. ..	118
H. M. HEALD .. ..	102
A. P. DUKEANT .. ..	62
W. WARBURTON .. ..	22
G. J. DENBIGH .. ..	21
H. P. ARMES .. ..	11

Scrutineers { W. H. DAVIS, *Chairman*.  
J. H. WAITE, *Secretary*.  
H. M. DAWSON.

### Officers, 1904-05.

#### President :

THE CHANCELLOR (The Marquis of Ripon).

#### Vice-Presidents :

THE PRO-CHANCELLOR (A. G. Lupton, Esq.).

THE VICE-CHANCELLOR (Dr. Bodington).

THE DEAN OF THE MEDICAL SCHOOL  
(Dr. De Burgh Birch).

*Chairman of Committee :* A. C. WARD.

*Hon. Secretary :* W. O. ROSS.

*Hon. Treasurer :* Mr. B. M. CONNALL, M.A.

*Staff Representative :* Professor SMITHIELLS.

#### Committee :

M. BOOTH, *Refectory and Debating Society Representative*.

J. N. CAMERON, *Rugby Representative*.

W. N. GOODMAN, *Refectory Representative*.

K. LIGHTFOOT.

H. E. LITTLE, *Gymnasium Representative*.

J. A. S. MORRISON, *Tennis Representative*.

H. H. NIXON.

P. RAWLINS, *Gryphon Representative*.

W. O. ROSS, *Cricket Representative*.

G. E. STEWARD, *Association Representative*.

J. E. VICCARS. C. M. WHITEHEAD.

#### Union Rooms Committee :

M. BOOTH. W. N. GOODMAN.

J. N. CAMERON. P. RAWLINS.

C. M. WHITEHEAD.

#### Entertainments Committee :

W. N. GOODMAN. J. E. VICCARS.

J. A. S. MORRISON. H. H. NIXON.

K. LIGHTFOOT.

#### Athletics Committee :

J. N. CAMERON. H. E. LITTLE.

K. LIGHTFOOT. J. E. VICCARS.

C. M. WHITEHEAD.



## Real (?) Conversations—No. 1.

Dr. Griffith.

Dr. G.: "Ah! Good-morning, Mr. Gryphon! And how are you to-day?"

G. R.: "Quite well, thank you, Dr. Griffith."

Dr. G.: "That's good! That's good! Sit down on this chair. You wished to see me about something, I understand. Say on!"

G. R.: "You were kind enough some time ago—"

Dr. G.: "About how long ago? a week? a month?"

G. R.: "I should say a month or two ago."

Dr. G.: "Yes! yes!"

G. R.: "You were kind enough to promise—"

Dr. G.: "Yes! I understand."

G. R.: "—our Editor an interview."

Dr. G.: "Did I? Very foolish of me! Ah! Yes! of course! I recollect your case quite clearly now. Your Editor wrote me about you. You want my opinion on things in general, don't you?"

G. R.: "If you will be so good."

Dr. G.: "Of course, I don't guarantee to have any ideas, you understand; but I shall try to bring a mind absolutely free from bias to bear upon your questions."

G. R.: "What, Dr. Griffith, is your favourite recreation?"

Dr. G.: "Well, I'm very fond of a game of cricket or tennis; not particularly good at them, you understand, but I can always enjoy an afternoon at either. But my favourite recreation, I think, is drawing. Had I not drifted into a somewhat more dignified position, I am convinced I should have preferred decorating the pavement with coloured chalks to sweeping the proverbial crossing. Although I'm very fond of drawing, you understand, I'm a shockingly bad hand at it, really."

G. R.: "Could you favour me so far as to sketch something?"

Dr. G.: "Well, I don't mind trying. Here goes for a head and neck. There's the forehead—too flat, but it will do. Round the back of the head, and here we sweep down to the shoulders—bit vague at the bottom—mustn't mind that! Now for the nose, mouth, chin—scarcely out of proportion, but it will answer our purpose. Ah! We must give the poor chap an eye! Don't blame me for this as a drawing; it's purely diagrammatic, you understand. Shockingly badly drawn. However—"

G. R.: "Really, Dr. Griffith, you do yourself an injustice. It is a beautiful drawing. The sweep of the forehead, the delicate moulding of mouth and chin—why, sir, you've missed your vocation! The thing is splendidly drawn. I think it excellent."

Dr. G.: "Well, to tell you the truth, Mr. Gryphon—mind, this is strictly confidential between you and me and the post—this, with deep regrets, we must omit."

G. R.: "And what, Dr. Griffith, is your *hôte-noire*?"

Dr. G.: "My *hôte-noire*? Come, what shall I say? I'm a pretty contented man on the whole, you understand, but if I have a *hôte-noire* I think it's the

man who will tack a gratuitous 's' on to my name. Having been blessed with a singularly uncommon name, it is extremely annoying to have it pluralised into the distressingly ordinary 'Griffiths.'"

G. R.: "Is Griffiths a common name, then?"

Dr. G.: "Oh, yes! quite common. Oh, yes! You don't meet with it quite so often as Smith or Jones you understand, but it not at all infrequently occurs. Oh! not at all infrequently. Oh, yes! Now 'Griffiths'—but that's quite another story."

G. R.: "It would interest our readers very much to have your opinion on the Russo-Japanese War."

Dr. G.: "Well, the history's a little indefinite, isn't it? Still, I think we may go so far as to say that, if the Japanese continue to enjoy victory after victory without intermission, the Russians' case will probably assume a more or less serious aspect. At the same time, you understand, I'm quite prepared to admit that, if the reverse should be the case, the outlook might be entirely different."

G. R.: "In fact, I may report you as being prepared—in popular parlance—so back the winner?"

Dr. G.: "Back the winner? Is that the technical expression? Interesting phrase that, when you come to think of it, Mr. Gryphon! Can you tell me who first used the expression? I won't be quite sure, you understand, but I think it was Jean of Arc who used the phrase as an encouragement to the followers, who were giving her a little kindly assistance in scaling the walls of Orleans. Wasn't that so, Vea—I mean Mr. Gryphon?"

G. R.: "I have an idea somehow, Dr. Griffith, that the phrase is a little more modern than that. Don't you think it might have some connection with horse-racing?"

Dr. G.: "A very interesting question, Mr. Gryphon! A very interesting question! I'm always pleased to meet with a difference of opinion; it gives one an opportunity for discussion. Do you mind repeating what you said over again? I'm afraid I didn't quite grasp your meaning."

G. R.: "I said that the expression 'back the winner' might possibly be rather later than the time you suggest."

Dr. G.: "Yes! I'm with you there. It most certainly might. Yes! Good! Yes?"

G. R.: "And I also suggested that it might have some connection with horse-racing."

Dr. G.: "Ah! Now there I'm afraid we must agree to differ. But I should like to discuss the point quite without prejudice, you understand. Let us first look at the question from your point of view. Let us assume that, as you say—excuse me one moment, will you? I hear the telephone. (At telephone—Hello! Yes! Yes! No! Really! Yes! Good! 1.20? No? All right! 12.5? Yes! Midland? All right! Good-bye!) I'm extremely sorry to run away, Mr. Gryphon, but I shall be obliged to leave you. Important appointment. Only a few moments to catch my train. Good morning! Good morning! Come again in a fortnight—Good morning!"

### Literary and Historical Society.

This first excursion of the session took place on Saturday, June 4th, when Knaresboro' was visited by an enthusiastic, if somewhat meagre, body of students. The weather was glorious, for June 4th was one of those very rare occasions in England when we do get a near approximation to an Italian sky. Very fortunately for our enjoyment, too, the train did not go beyond Harrogate, so that a very charming walk was in store for us before we reached our destination.

Then was the time

In yestern scenes, to pass an idle hour  
Fell photo friends plied their tawny gaze,  
Of purpose not to flatter nature's charms  
But learn themselves in their fond meadows art.

When Knaresboro' was at length reached, everyone seemed to be in a state of *exhaust* fast bordering on collapse, with the exception of our admirable President, Mr. Comal, who thought the day too precious to spend in aimless dalliance, so with bag athwart like the poor Oxford scholar, he went far afield to take just another one. Rumour has it that he "took" two photographs on one plate, but that's by the way, for if that was the case, it didn't seem to mar his own imperturbable serenity.

Next came tea, which I heard one wit say bordered on the riddle-iculous.

After tea was over, we boated on the river, a thing which was very enjoyable. Then a walk and a peep at the Castle before station-wards. By this time some epidemic had greatly ravaged our ranks, but nevertheless the remnants still held on in fixed determination to end in best wise a very pleasant day's outing.

N.B.—Arrangements are being made to have the next excursion to Helmsley, on Saturday, June 25th.

Will those who are interested keep an eye on the notice-board for further particulars.

### Christian Union (Men's).

The Annual Camp and Conference of the British College Christian Union will be held this year at Conishead. This is a convenient centre for the Lake District and throughout the stay there, excursions will be organised to the many "loans" of the neighbourhood. There will be facilities for cycling, hill-climbing, fishing, boating, swimming, together with cricket, tennis, etc., in the afternoons. Meetings will be held morning and evening. A separate tent, or tents, will be devoted to the use of Leeds men, provided sufficient men turn up. We give a hearty invitation to all our students, members or non-members of the C.U. to join us in this pleasurable outing. Those who were at Matlock last year will need no persuading; those who were not may take our word for it as regards that splendid experience.

Full particulars will be found in a small pamphlet to be had gratis on application to the President or Secretary, or by note left at the Enquiry Office.

The following were elected at the Annual General Meeting on May 6th as officers for the forthcoming Session:—

Staff President: Prof. PHILLIPS.

Vice-Presidents:

Dr. MOORMAN, Mr. LAWSON, B.Sc., A.I.C.

Student President: Mr. S. T. JARROLD.

Hon. Secretary: Mr. W. O. ROSS.

Treasurer: Mr. A. SMAILES.

Bible Circle Secretary: Mr. M. BOOTH.

W.P.M. Secretary: Mr. A. JORDAN.

Missionary Secretary: To be elected next session.

Council:

The above and Messrs. J. A. S. MORRISON, and

J. E. APPLEBYARD.

### An Episode.

I HOPE this won't attract the attention of our Editors, for scandal has commissioned me to make public the following incident, in which they were concerned.

Besides our two Editors, A and B, who are concerned solely with College Road, there is a *Gryphon* representative, C, at the Medical School. Now before the publication of each number it is fitting that C should keep in touch with A and B, in order that just the proper amount of copy can be collected. Whilst preparations were in progress for the issue of the last number, A handed to B a letter which he had received from C. It read as follows:—

Dear A,

I wish you could find space for the whole of that article I told you of, seeing that it would be more satisfactory to print the whole of it, rather than a part as you suggested. I propose that you do this by omitting the Poem "Thoughts prompted by our New University," for, as far as I am concerned, it is mere twaddle. I really cannot see a good point in it.

Yours, &c., C.

The reader, B, who prides himself on being somewhat of a poet, had composed the poem referred to. Of course A and C were quite unaware of the fact.

"What's mine? a fancied life in others' breath:  
A being beyond us 'ere before our death."

### A Dream.

It was a fine spring morning of the year 1907 when I walked up Woodhouse Lane towards the University of Leeds. When a student of the Yorkshire College I had often gone the same way, but never with such lively feelings of interest as those which moved me now in coming to visit the new University for the first time. On turning the corner of what was once College Road, a splendid and stately pile of buildings met my eyes, commencing with an imposing entrance gate, and

ending with the Textile buildings, seen almost dimly in the distance.

Passing through the main gates, I found myself inside a quadrangle with a broad sheltered passage in the form of cloisters, running round it. All about were the students in their caps and gowns.

Looking at them closely I was agreeably surprised to see no longer the jaded looks and worried demeanour of the victims of over-crowded time-tables, martyrs to mental indigestion. The freshness and interest of happy youth seemed universal.

To my right was a Science Museum, in which days could have been spent. However, reluctantly striding through it, I entered the magnificent laboratories of the School of Chemistry, so fascinating with their many rows of mysterious bottles, and legion of yet more mysterious odours. Here, they told me, a close connection existed between the more advanced students and the local manufacturers. The latter frequently sent their products to be examined, took much interest in the work carried on (for they realised the dependence of commerce on science), and not infrequently took into their employment students of whose intelligence they had had experience, giving them salaries which showed the high value they set upon them.

"What about the Scientific Society?" said I to a distiller in steam. "Flourishing!" he replied; "we especially enjoy the stirring debates on scientific subjects; last Thursday, for example, Mr. X made a slashing attack on the Ionic Theory, simply pulling it to pieces, and showing how one could explain everything much better without it; and then came Dr. D.—and set it all up again, and proved that Mr. X did not know what he was talking about!"

Much pleased with what had been told me, I betook myself to the Arts School, now one of the most vigorous and important sections of the University.

A bevy of young ladies passed me on the way, bewilderingly fascinating in their picturesque caps and gowns. Immediately after (while progressing backwards way down the corridor) I met an old friend, a freshman when the writer left the University.

"Hello," I cried, "Very glad to see you, old man." We shook hands.

"Come to the debate," he said, "We are just going to have a discussion on 'Should the land belong to the State?'" It was a capital debate, carried on, not as of old, by a few habitual speakers, but in a very general way, the young and inexperienced being in every way encouraged to gain confidence and improve their style. The discussion was characterised by a very sincere and thoughtful tone, and those who spoke (though not always eloquent or much at ease) had evidently prepared their subject carefully, and were well informed on one of the questions which as future citizens they were destined to decide.

"Not all the debates are like this, you know, we have our times of humour—you should just have heard our last impromptu!" said my companion.

Tearing myself away, I rushed off to get tea at the Refectory. As I sat at the window, pleased at the fact (which was announced upon the wall) that the S.U.C.

had just decided not to allow alcoholic drinks to be sold within the University, my eyes fell upon four tennis courts crowded with players, and shouts of "My 'vantage!" "Forty love!" "Game and—!" etc., were borne to my ears.

Then my friend came in with the Gryphon, still in its pretty red cover, and offered it me.

I found it much changed; there was less of the formal reporting of societies, less of the rather elaborate humour, of older days; more interesting (and often controversial) contributions on topics of interest to the student.

"There is more life in it than there used to be," I remarked. "And, in fact, the whole place is much improved. I have been tremendously impressed by the way in which the students enter into things, and the interest they take in their work; what is the explanation of it?"

"Well," answered my companion, "it's partly due to the staff, who have worked very hard to give the University a good start, partly to a growing spirit of *esprit de corps* among the fellows, and largely also due to the new examination system, which works splendidly, and is an example to all the other Universities and Colleges."

"Oh," said I, "I do not know anything about that."

"It consists essentially," he said, "in judging a man by his general level of work during his whole time at College. Fellows do not worry so much now about their 'Finals' and 'Inter's,' they know that if they are keen on their work, and keep steadily at it during their three years, they need not fear disappointment in the exams., because they are not equal to a terrific spurt at the eleventh hour. The examiners know their man, and place him accordingly."

The sun came through the window and woke me.

"Ah," I exclaimed, jumping out of bed, "A dream with a moral!"

ANONYMOUS.

### De Rebus Medicabilibus.

THE Medicals have played so great a part in College athletics in general, and the Sports in particular, that it was not inappropriate that the first resting place of the new inter-departmental trophy should be at the Thoresby Place institution. A score of 37 points out of a possible 71 would seem to show that there are giants even in the days of jeremiads over the degeneracy of the bedding medicals, and to beat the total of all other departments put together is a feat which should satisfy the most dismal of croakers. Messrs. Renton and Reader were top-scorers in a list of successes at running, jumping, heaving, and waddling widal. The tug-of-war was the fly in the ointment, the Jesty Engineers repeating their triumph over the mussy Meds.

We would like to take this opportunity of suggesting that in future arrangements be made to prevent so much depending on the spin of the coin, the slope of the ground being quite enough to turn the issue

between two teams at all evenly balanced, especially with a strong wind blowing downhill. Although defeated in their first round by the ultimate winners, the team showed no mean prowess, taking into consideration the hasty manner of their enlistment, and their short period of training. The coaches were a remarkable feature of the entertainment, the favourite method being to retire to a remote distance from the scene of conflict, assume their most dramatic pose, and then fixing on their sight a look of intense determination, indulge in a series of strenuous heaves at apparently haphazard intervals, finishing up fortissimo by calling on the team to pull 45 to the minute, and only ceasing from their whirling evolutions when their men were pulled over the line. We were informed that the coach was the brain of the team, as the general is said to be of the army, though exactly how they helped did not appear. As the band sings—

"Oh let us never, never doubt,  
What nobody is sure about."

The ladies' throw was a charming event invested with a delicious uncertainty which sustained the interest right to the end, and a pleasing variety of action, direction, and trajectory which, however, resulted in no serious accident to any who took adequate cover.

It is reported that the offer of one of Professor Stroud's range-finders was not accepted by the competitors.

The prizes were distributed with much aplomb by the Lady Mayoress. The Hon. Sec. was in excellent voice, and stirred the emotions of his audience in apologizing for the absence of a certain gentleman, as "he was not as well as he would have been if he had been as well as he would have liked to have been." Mr. Renton, in addition to his own stock of pots, was entrusted with the task of receiving the Lupton Cup on behalf of the Medicals. Long may they keep it!

[CONTRIBUTED.]

We omitted to mention in our last number the high honour which has recently been conferred upon our Senior Surgeon, Mr. Ward, in being chosen by the Royal College of Surgeons as the recipient of an Honorary Fellowship. Mr. Ward is in good company, for, as our readers will remember, there was elected for the same honour not long ago another "Teddy," but one who is not in the habit of operating in hospital every day continuously for weeks together—Illis Majesty the King.

After interesting competitions, the following awards have been made:—

*McGill Prize in Clinical Surgery:* W. E. Brierley, M.R.C.S., L.R.C.P.

*Hardwick Prize in Clinical Medicine:* H. Tomlin.

We congratulate Mr. Brierley and Mr. Tomlin on their successes.

Mr. Douglas Holroyde, M.A., M.B., has been appointed H.P. to Dr. Churton.

The sympathies of all are with Fearnley in the unfortunate outcome of his visits to Manston, but since personal experience is incomparably the best way to learn one's medicine, we would recommend to him the consolation that no examiner will ever be able to "pip" him on scarlatina simplex.

Though the disappointment, occasioned by Sir William Broadbent's unfortunate inability to open the new session of the Medical Society in October was great, the Society is to be congratulated on securing to fulfil that pleasant office Mr. Edmund Owen, Surgeon to the Great Ormond Street and St. Mary's Hospitals.

We are happy to be able to end the year as we began it, by reporting the wedding of a former President of the S.R.C.

SYKES—TOULSON.—May 17th (by special licence) at Leeds, Joseph Harvey Kemp, only son of the late Rawdon Sykes, of Cleckheaton, and of Mrs. Sykes, Roundhay Road, Leeds, to Amy Louise (Cassie), only daughter of Haley Toulson, Pontefract.

On behalf of all of our readers who knew him, we offer Mr. Sykes our sincere congratulations and good wishes.

May we appeal for contributions to be put in the *Gryphon* box, or addressed to the Medical Representative of the Gryphon, Medical School, between now and October, so that they may be ready to the hand of next year's representative, and so greatly facilitate his work for the first few numbers of next year's volume.

The following gentlemen have contributed to the *Gryphon* this year:—Drs. Helber, Griffith, and Telling, Messrs. Collinson, Sykes, Smalles, W. B. Hill, Thomas, Crawford, Elliott, Gloyne, Birtwhistle, Tomlin, Sedgwick, G. S. Richardson, Middlemiss, W. O. McKane, C. C. Pickles, and S. B. Gadgil. To these, our warmest thanks.

The library nuisance, we are glad to be able to assure our readers, is being dealt with by the S.R.C. May it be fully rectified, and that quickly!

*Exam. Results, London Univer., M.B. Exam.*—H. H. Greenwood.

## From the Patient's Point of View.

(Concluded.)

The patients have no official refreshment at this time (4 a.m.), but the man who happens to have most limbs at his disposal for the time being, kindly consents to make tea at the cost of the company there assembled.

Soon after, the chronicler was wont to succumb once more to nature and wake no more until a late breakfast-time. If one had not washed earlier in the morning, now was the time for this and for making tidy against the coming of the doctors and students—bless 'em. From 9 a.m. until 5 p.m. once more you're just a patient; you lie in bed with all the glory of a clean counterpane, and are to consider

yourself at the disposal of the visiting surgeon, if he happens to come, your H.S. and dresser, and any stranger whom an unkind fate compels to visit you.

I suppose it would be hardly possible for anyone possessed of a pair of eyes to be in a ward even a few days without remarking one or two of the patients who impressed themselves more vividly on one's mind.

The old Irishman, for instance, in the next bed, who, from coming in to going out never ceased to inveigh in forcible language against the hospital and all its ways. It had been found necessary in operating upon him to remove some affected glands in the neck. On partially regaining consciousness he soon became aware of the bandage on his neck and grumbled querulously and incoherently about the unpardonable liberty which had been taken. Another unreasonable act of tyranny was the deprivation of food before the operation, an arrangement which had not entered into his scheme of things. It seemed that he had once before been in a workhouse hospital—at Doncaster, I believe, and he spent most of his time comparing the Leeds institution with the other, greatly to the disparagement of the former. Humbly and diffidently I tried to raise my voice in favour of Leeds, not claiming a superiority, but just a meek equality, but he would have none of it.

Then there was the jaundiced man near the bottom of the ward, who commanded me to fetch him some books from the other end. I had private designs upon one of the books—there were not many—but I magnanimously offered them all for his inspection, and, of course, in the nature of things he chose the one I wanted, telling me in a manner rather supercilious that he had read the others long ago. He was not exactly a pleasant man, but he suffered under grievous physical disadvantages, and one could forgive him much. He was, moreover, nothing loth to discuss the matter with you, entering with gusto into all the—well, grim details, as if it concerned anyone else but himself. Truly the human mind is a curious thing, even in its morbidities.

Then there was the old gentleman, a veteran of eighty-some years, who, with the facetious but kindly assistance of another patient, "progressed" around the ward at intervals. True, it was a somewhat perilous undertaking, piloting him around the ward, but he was quite the wonder of the place.

As for the sister, and the nurses, how can I do justice to their qualities of heart and mind? Briefly then, they defy description. But this is to be ambiguous, unpleasantly so—compliments very often are. Here, then, formally, ceremoniously, but metaphorically, do I make a humble obsequiousness to their manifold and varied charms. If this be not sufficient, I hope the Editor\* will repair my omission, and render up a compliment worthy of the theme. As for me in the presence of things so lofty, I am overcome with diffidence; alas and alack! who am I—poor miserable wight, to attempt such things. My role be it to bow, a humble worshipper at the shrine of beauty, grace, and goodness, and the embodiment of all womanly virtues.

\*We feel relieved by the assurance that the author of this article was this time in the most pleasant sense possible.—*MAN. REP.*

## The Leeds Public Dispensary.

READERS of the *Gryphon* will be interested to learn that the work of this important Charity is now being carried on in the new premises in North Street, and that the removal from the old buildings has been effected with singularly little disturbance in the routine business of the Institution. Little more, we understand, than a temporary suspension of culinary operations which put the resident staff in some anxiety as regards dinner, if indeed it did not drive them to the necessity of dining out.

It is not our intention to compete in any way with the local press in describing the opening ceremony. Dr. Clifford Allbutt, at the conclusion of an interesting speech, delivered with all his customary grace, declared the buildings open; Mr. Wheelhouse was received with well deserved signs of enthusiasm, and was generally reminiscent, while Dr. Eddison, the best of ready orators, was even more than usually amusing.

An admirable pamphlet, copies of which may be seen in the Library of the Medical School, had been drawn up by Mr. Rowe, and in this the history of the Dispensary is summarised, and a description is given of the new buildings. The working part has been planned with special regard to convenience in dealing with a large number of patients. Suites of rooms are provided for medical and surgical and for ophthalmic and aural patients, and there is a well equipped casualty department. A fine suite of rooms has been provided for a dental department, and negotiations are being carried on with the dentists of Leeds and district which we hope may result in a thoroughly efficient Dental Charity being instituted in Leeds.

The necessity for these new premises arose as a direct consequence of notice being received from the Corporation that the Dispensary Buildings in New Bridge were required for street improvement. A substantial sum was paid by the Corporation, and a comparison of the new buildings with the old, good though these were, and fulfilling their purpose efficiently since 1867, will suggest the thought that compulsory eviction may be a blessing with as thin a disguise as the disruption of a University.

## Correspondence.

To the Editor of the "*Gryphon*."

SIR,

Prompted by "A Kresnik's" letter I should like to make another suggestion with regard to the times for the Medical Examinations of the University of Leeds. Would it not be better that the examinations should be held in July and December, rather than October and March?

Firstly, take the examinations as held in March and October. The student has either the whole of the winter or the whole of the summer to work through. Now everybody knows how much easier it is to work through the winter than the summer, especially if July, August, and September are hot. I am quite aware that the library is often too cold to work in with comfort in the winter, but this is fully counterbalanced by the fact that it is not nearly so

hard to do a good night's work ; so that, on the whole, the March man has the advantage over the October man, as the majority of reading is done at night. (Clinical work is not much affected by the seasons.)

Secondly, take the examinations as held in July and December.

Whichever time the student goes up he has half the winter and half the summer to work through, and this is fair to both sets of candidates. Also at Christmas and Midsummer—times when everybody wishes to be as little burdened with anxieties as possible—he knows whether he has puzzled the examiners or they him, so that he can enjoy himself much more than if he had the thought in his mind every now and then that he must not waste too much time as there were only two and a half months to the exam.

It may be urged, as regards the Intermediate Examinations, that this, in the case under discussion, could not be taken in the normal course till two years after passing the first M.B., thus spending three more months than at present in the dissecting room and physiological laboratories, but no one need grudge three extra months spent on Anatomy, as it is of all subjects the most useful. At the same time appointments could be commenced at the Infirmary at the same time as at present ; for the first duties at the Infirmary are not so arduous as to prevent a man keeping up his Anatomy and Physiology sufficiently to satisfy the examiners in the Intermediate Examination, rather they might impress them by application.

B.



**The Primacy of Mind.**

I.

Above the glow of molten steel  
The roar of furnace, forge, and shed,  
Protectress of the City's weal,  
Now, Learning rears her loftier head;

II.

That Progress may at length descry  
It hath no clue to guide aright,  
And, conscious of its blindness, cry  
Unto the Muse, "More light! More light!"

III.

That Wealth may fitly yield the throne  
To Letters, Science, artist-skill,  
And Matter, willing subject, own  
Mind must be lord and master still.

ALFRED AUSTIN.

THE UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS,  
*October 6th, 1904.*

1831. Leeds School of Medicine founded.  
1874. Yorkshire College of Science founded.  
1878. Name changed to Yorkshire College.  
1880. Victoria University (consisting of Owens College) founded.  
1884. University College, Liverpool (founded 1881) incorporated in the Victoria University.  
1884. Leeds School of Medicine amalgamated with the Yorkshire College.  
1887. Yorkshire College incorporated in the Victoria University.  
1902. University College, Liverpool, petitions for a separate University.  
1903. Charter granted to the University of Liverpool.  
The Victoria University became the Victoria University of Manchester.  
1904. Charter granted to the University of Leeds, April 25th.