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# JOHN EVELYN'S ENGLISH GRAMMAR 

By Albert B. COOK III

INTRODUCTION
John Evelyn's The English Grammer, subtitled "The First Key", is found on folios 94-98r of British Library Additional Manuscript 15950. The manuscript measures approximately $21 \times 28 \mathrm{~cm}$, and is written in a hand matching in all particulars the holographs of the diarist which are reproduced in Sir Geoffrey Keynes' John Evelyn: A Study in Bibliophily with a Bibliography of his Writings. ${ }^{1}$

According to Sir Frederic Madden's notice in the Catalogue ${ }^{2}$ of additional manuscripts acquired in 1846-7, this manuscript is part of "the large and valuable collection of diplomatic and private correspondence in the $16 \mathrm{th}, 17 \mathrm{th}$, and 18 th centuries, and of autograph letters of most of the reigning houses of Europe and leading statesmen, from the 15 th to the 19 th century, purchased at the sale of the library of the late William Upcott". Specifically, MS Add. 15950 is one of four which comprise the papers of the Evelyn family of Wotton, Surrey. It is made up, according to the aforementioned Catalogue, of "Miscellaneous Notes, Memoranda and Extracts, on historical, literary, and scientific subjects, chiefly in the handwriting of John Evelyn, of Wotton". There follows a long list of the specific materials included in the manuscript volume, the only entry relevant to the present material being "Treatise on English and Latin grammar, f.94". ${ }^{3}$ Although the Catalogue links together the present English grammar with a Latin one, subtitled "The Second Key", the two works do not seen to be interrelated beyond the subtitles (and the author's occasional reference to Latin to explain a point of English grammar) and it can only be conjectured that the two treatises were perhaps intendea to be the raw material for a synthesis which was never undertaken.

The handwriting comparisons mentioned above, plus the circumstances of its preservation, are clear proof, if any is needed, that the author is the diarist John Evelyn (1620-1706). However, the grammar is not mentioned in any of the editions of the diary; and so overwhelmed are his biographers and editors by the vast bulk of the fruits of Evelyn's passing interests that it receives scant attention in the attempts to catalogue his papers. The following passing mention is typical:

With horticulture, botany, arboriculture, literature, theology, poetry, philology, mathematics, music, bookcollecting, painting, engraving, sculpture, numismatics,

> chemistry and astronomy the range of Evelyn's interests and occupations is by no means completely covered. Among the papers and notes from his library, which are now in the British Museum, there is a chapter on Metaphysics and a paper on the Art of Stenography, extracts, notes, tables, Iists on historical geographical subjects, on Greek grammar, on English grammar, and some leaves of a letter or paper on marital relations and sexual intercourse. ${ }^{4}$

According to one tradition, reported by Ponsonby, the Evelyn papers were rescued by Wiiliam Upcott from a state of neglect and family indifference and possible imminent destruction. ${ }^{5}$

The date of the work can be conjecturally established, although there is no date on the manuscript. On f.94 (i.e., p.l) of the manuscript, in the heading, Evelyn wrote, "Herein I haue (after a manner) wholy followed B: Jonson", and thus it may be inferred that it was written after 1640 , when Jonson's English Grammar was published as part of the folio edition of his collected posthumous pieces. However, a later marginal note on the same page, probably in the same hand, but with a very poor pen, adds, "This is now more accuratly set forth by Dr. Wallis". The reference here is to John Wallis and his Grammatica linguae Anglicanae, published at oxford in l653, which Evelyn probably discovered shortly after its publication, and would have used in preference to Jonson. Thus, with 1640 and 1653 as terminal dates, the British Library conjecture of 1650 would seem to be a reasonable guess as to date of composition. ${ }^{6}$

The manuscript is almost entixely taken from Jonson's grammar, being basically an abridgement of it, and has understandably excited littie interest among those who have had occasion in recent years to consult it professionally. After discussing the inclusive dating outlined above, E.J. Dobson continues, "it contains no evidence of value, except for the statements that $o$ is pronounced as if it were a in nought, \&c., and, following Jonson, that sayest, should are abbreviated to sest, shoud". ${ }^{7}$ In fact, the examples are ali based on Jonson, although the evidence given here for the pronunciation of $o$ is a rare instance of additional material. Evelyn usually did no creative linguistic introspection, except for the occasional substitution of an example. This becomes clearly evident in Ian Michael's English Grammatical Categories, ${ }^{8}$ in which every discussion of the linguistic procedures of Evelyn links him inseparably with Jonson.

Consequently, the material presented in Evelyn's English Grammer is of little independent interest apart from its close connection with Jonson's work, and therefore 1 have discussed the significant comparisons of the two in the notes. Generally, however, such comparison demonstrates that Evelyn's work is Jonson's grammar not only drastically abridged but sometimes misunderstood. We may finally note the following general variations or differences from Jonson in Evelyn:

1. Evelyn apparently mistakes the sounding of the name of the letter of the alphabet for the sound which it symbolizes. See especially his discussion of $y, d, g, k$, and $z$.
2. As noted above, he has a tendency to make appeals to Latin to explain, without further comment, some crux of English grammar.
3. Jonson gives reasonably complete exampies of grammatical patterns; e.g., of the varieties of ablaut in verb conjugations. Evelyn picks and chooses at random among them, without trying to mention them all.
4. Evelyn often seems to be taking notes at random, as typified by his use of "etc." even in the midst of a discussion, and not just when listing examples.

On the other hand, Evelyn begins to be more independent with his examples in Part 2, making up examples of his own to accompany the grammatical commentary gleaned from Jonson, often, unfortunately, with disastrous results. But it must also be said that Evelyn made a few traditional attempts to be thorough, even to including for the sake of completeness very brief sections on participles and interjections, neither of which are in Jonson. But the final impression one gets is how derivative the manuscript is, useful for what it tells us about Jonson's work - and of the uncritical observations of John Evelyn - but not very illustrative of independent observation of the English language in the midseventeenth century.

## NOTE ON THE EDITION

Every attempt has been made to reproduce the manuscript with its minor inconsistencies, and except as indicated here, all substantive changes are noted in the textual notes. Obvious omissions, established from a comparison with Jonson, are restored in square brackets.

The following are the silent emendations which I have made in the course of this edition. I have added sentence end stops, which Evelyn often omits at the end of a paragraph, and capitalized the beginnings of sentences, which he does not always do, but have not otherwise attempted to modernize his punctuation. All common abbreviations of grammatical terms are expanded, except in paradigms where space is a consideration. Commas in series, often omitted at the ends of lines, are added, as are stops after those abbreviations which are retained. Catch-words are omitted. Though Evelyn vacillates between round and square brackets in setting off letters and words (where quotation marks would now be used) round are used here exclusively. Evelyn's lineation has not been followed though an attempt has been made to start a fresh line for a fresh topic as he does. The lack of indentation also follows Evelyn's practice. Line-numbers have been added throughout,

$$
1
$$

paradigms being numbered as a single line, and the start of a fresh folio is noted in square brackets. Finally, for the reader's convenience, letters and words used as examples are italicized.

## NOTES TO THE INTRODUCTION

1 (Second edition, Oxford, 1968). The manuscript is not mentioned in this bibliographical study, probably because most of the fugitive manuscripts were silently omitted from bibliographical consideration.

2

3

4 Arthur Ponsonby, John Evelyn (London, 1933) p. 121 (emphasis supplied).
5 Ibid. . pp. 183-5.
6 Within this time span, the most likely periods when Evelyn had the leisure to write this manuscript would have been October 1641 through October 1643; october 1647 through July 1649; and after January l652. During these periods, he was managing the family estate at wotton (and later, Sayes Court, near Deptford) while keeping as low a profile as a Royalist could in Parliamentary times. Prior to late 1641 he was at Oxford and the Middle Temple, with brief military service in Holland. During the periods 1643-7 and 1649-5l he was touring, sight-seeing, and studying on the continent, eventually joining the Royalist exile community in Paris, where he was married in June 1647. For a lively biographical account of his activities during this period, see John Bowle, John Evelyn and his World (London, 1981) chs. 2-8.

7
English Pronunciation 1500-1700 (2nd ed., Oxford, 1968) I, p. 371.
(Cambridge, 1970) pp.187, 217, 298, 354, 355, 357, 362, 373, 375.
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# The English Grammer. 

This is now more accuratly set forth by $\mathrm{D}^{r}$ Wallis.

Herein I haue (after a manner) wholy followed B: Jonson, who hath taken singular paynes to Methodize our Language: In the meane while if I appeare lesse prolix and acurate it hath not bin without purpose, seing it is *our Mother-Tongue and in that respect might indeede haue bin omitted, but that beesides the number and order of Tongues proposed as necessary; something likewise may be obserued out of it, which neither by bookes nor common discourse might otherwise peraduenture come to our knowledg.

```
*Not that it is a mother tongue
but a daughter of the Dutch:
but in order to our learning it
first in which respect why haue
I dessigned it this place.
```

The Letters are


Wee likewise vse the Latine and Roman Characters both Capital, and other, as likewise the figures; we likewise beginne and poynt as the Latine.

## Vowells

Are also fiue, sounded, long, short, flat, sharp: [long,] as: Delāying, congēling, Expüring, oppōsing, endūring: [short, as:] stomăching, swĕruing, vanquishing, ransơming, pictüring: sharpe, as: Háte, méte, bîte, nóte, púle. Flatt, as: Hàt, mèt, bìt, nòt, pù工.
A, is pronounced with a meane tone: vnlesse beefor 1: there more fully: and where a Consonant followeth 1.
$E, w^{\text {th }}$ a smale opning the mouth: at the termination of words it serues to produce the word: for else Máde, would sound Màd. It corrects c. g. s. as in hence, else henc, swinge from swing, Vse, from vs, or after $v$ consonant or ss. In compounds and deriuitiues sharpe, as Agreeing, fore-seeing: Somtymes it passeth seacretly vnheard, as saith: gotten.
$I$, we sound fuller then other Nations, sometymes yet more like them; as in, little. It is a Consonant found twixt 2 vowells, and must be spelled with the latter, and before Dipthongs: as joy. $O$, with a Round mouth: sometymes it soundeth hollow and deepe as in thrôte, sometymes like $v$, as in dosen, don, sometymes like $A$ as
nought', bought.
$V$, hath a very perticular sound: more full, and not so neate as the French. It never terminats; when it is found twixt vowells leading the Syllable tis a Consonant: as love.
$W$ seemes to be reteyned from the Saxon and Dutch: it is geminated $V$, full sounded yet is a Consonant, as is pronounced like the
greeke ov: suppose in ovine, hov.
$Y$ is pronounced like ovi; we chose $y$ sometymes to distinguish it from $J$ consonant: In Dipthongs it sounds $i$ as say, \& end of words: where two ii be sounded the first wil be $y$ [f.94v] as in defying. B. as the Latines.
$C$ : much like $K$, $w^{\text {th }} \mathrm{B}$ : Ionson is naturally in our Language in place wheroff, before $E$ and $I$, an hissing sound, as in center, ciuill.
D: with us like Di in Diuill.
$F$, wh $^{\text {th }}$ the teeth somewhat pressed on the neither Lip.
$G$. as $D$. before $a, 0, u$ strong, and $h, l, r$ as gate, got, gut: ghost, glad, grant: \& in the end as long: but if e qualifie it following then more tender as Age: Before $u$, like gud in Scotch: as guile.
$H$ though an Aspirat, of greate vse, and accounted with us a letter. In some it hath no powre as humble: it commeth neerest the Aspirat after vouells, as in ah. In ch it sounds sometymes like $X$ as in character: in church like (c) simple amongst the Italian: in $G h$ little as in might: therefore $B$ : Ionson would in such places expunge it. $P h: \& R h$ in greeke deriuatiues: $S h$, hissing: $T h:$ sometymes like $\theta$ as in thing, sometymes like $\delta$ as in those: and This most of all troubles forraigners: who pronounce as if it were dose.
$K$ is our propper $c$ : which yet we sound like $\chi$ o greeke, it preceeds any vowell but no consonant except $n$, as knife, and $I$ : as tickle: $w^{c h}$ kind of words some thinke best to write without the (c). It follows s aptly: and better then $c$ in words like skape, skuller \&c. $L$ an halfe vowellish letter: tis seldome dubled, but where the vouell without it sounds hard as in full. The dubble is to much used through hast in writing: it onely ought to be dubled where a syllable follows.
$M$. hath an humming sound.
$N$. something in the nose.
$P$ : as the Latines.
Q. some would haue our $k$ serve in his place: euer attended $w^{\text {th }} u$ : the English Saxons rarely vsed him.
$R$. like the Latine.
S. Hissing: at the beginning of some words little different from
(c) as in Salt, Sea; sometymes like $z$ : as in muse.
$T$. sometymes not vnlike $s$ as in Faction.
$X$, like aks: it beginneth no English word.
$z$. a letter oftner heard then seene, and is pronounced like $\zeta$ : or らad. Rustike people vse it instead of (S).

## Dipthongs

Ai as in aide $\& \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Au}$, in Author \&c: Ea in Eate $\& \mathrm{c}$ : Ei, as weight \&c: Ew, as few, \&c: Oi or oy, as boy, point, \&c: oo, as good: Ou, as Rout, \&c: Vi \& Vy: as buie, buye \&c.

Syllables

Our syllabls are made either of vowells onely, or consonants joyned with them: as Aiding \&c. strengths hath the most consonants in it. Touching the quantity of them or position, wee haue hithertoo for $y^{e}$ most part neglected them, or at least not reduced them in such an art as peradventure they are capable off.

## The Accent

Besides the tuning of the voyce in particular words, there is desiderable in our language the Accent of whole Sentences, which $S^{r}$ Fr: [f.95] Bacon wishes had bin thought of: something there is of this nature in the distinction and poynting of sentencys, as Interogations ? notes of Exclaimation \&c. but this is not perfect, nor doth it so fully comprehend the Cadences.
The Accent of some words doth much differ from their collation: such are differ, différ, object, and objéct \&c. In dissyllabick nownes in the first: as súrety, síluer \&c as also in Nowns of Three Syllables, and all compounds: as chîmney-sweeper. words simple in able: as sóciable. or compounded, as insóciable: vnlesse by way of comparison, as sósiable some men are, others insosiable. the Accent being on that Syllable which put differenc. Nowns ending in tion, or sion, as infúsion, condítion in the last save one. as also in ty: from the Latine, as vérity, and ence, as ábstinence.
All dissyllable verbs terminat in er, el, ry, ish: are accented in $y^{e}$ first: but verbals, follow the Accents of their Nounes, as to blánket.
All Verbes derived from the Latine supine or other, haue their Accent, as tis found in the first person present of those Latine Verbes, as from ánimo, Ánimate: Except compounds of facio, as Liquifácio, Liquefí. statuo, as Constítuo, constitúte. In all variations, they keepe the Accent of the Theme: as ánimate, thou ânimatest \&c: not animátest.

## Notation

Of words consist in kind, and Figure: from the first we know the primitive from the derivatiue: such are Loue, lovely. From the second, whether the word be simple or compound: as wise, other-wise, in which kind of words the English tongue is esteemed as fortunat as any of her Neighbours whatever.

## Numbers

Are two, singular, as Man, plurall Men, and these words are of number finite, or Infinite: as man, good.

Parts of Speech.
We haue Eight, and wth

| 1. Nowne | 5. Adverb |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. Pronoune | 6. Conjunction |
| 3. Verbe | 7. Praeposition |
| 4. Participle | 8. Interjection |

Article
Is either Finite, as The, Infinite, $A$. Proper Nownes, and pronounes, but for Emphasis sake, as The Richard of Richards \&c. refuse Articles. The infinite $A$, signifies as much as the prepositive one in other Tongues. Neither doth it admit inflection, in which it differs.

## Noune

Hath his Accidents, gender, case, and declension: They are also
common, proper, personall $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { substantiue. } \\ \text { Adjective. }\end{array}\right.$
The Masculine and Foeminine gender, agree with the Latine, and the Newter, save in the word ship, which though it haue a Masculine badg, as the Charles, yet wee say she is fayrely built. The Epicoene and Doubtfull, much like the Latine: saue that in Cosin and friend, servant \& [Theefe] wee vse them promiscuously: The Common of three as the Latine.
Nounes also amongst us some suffer diminution, as words wh from their primitives make ell, as part, parcell, from et Baron, Baronet, ock, from hill hillock, Ing, as from duck, dukling \&c. and in proper names not a few, for miniardizing sake, as Jake for John \&c. George excepted. The Adjectiue diminish in ish, as whitish, divlish \&c: and some have the forme of lessning, wh can be deriv'd from no primitiue peevish, dublet \&c.

## Comparatives

Our Adjectives haue their 3 degrees of Comparison, as Fayre, more fayre, or fayrer, most fayre, or fayrest; all wh is done by $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ particles [f.95v] more, er, and est. Yet some fewe refuse this rule, as good, Il, little, much: Renownd \&c: haue no comparison, some want the positive, as former, formost, vnlesse first will stand: some forme out of themselus as lesse, lesser \&c.

## Declensions

Two declensions suffice vs. The first makes the plurall by putting (s) to the singular, as Horse, Horses \&c: yet haue they their signes or praepositives, $A$, of, to, the, $o$, from \&c: Nounes betokning possession are best written in the genitive case without the pronoune his, as the princes house: not the prince his house.
Some take not (s) in the plurail, as Mouse: plural Mice, Goose, Louse, Foot, Tooth. Gold, Rest, silver, Bread \&c. want the plural: Riches and goods the Singular.
The second Declension formeth the plurall from $y^{e}$ Singular by putting to $n$ : as Oxe, Oxen, Hose, Hosen. but man and woman make Men, and Women, Cowes, kine: Brother Brethren, child, addeth r: as childern, in genitive plural childerns.
Some Nounes have the plural of both declensions; as House, houses, housen: Eye, eyes, eyn: shoo, shooes, shooen.

## Pronounes

Nom: $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { I } \\ \text { Accu: Mee }\end{array}\right\}$ Plur. $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { Wee } \\ \\ \text { Vs }\end{array} \quad\right.$ Sing. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Thou } \\ \\ \text { Thee }\end{array}\right\}$ plur. $\begin{cases}\text { you. } & \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Hee, she, That, } \\ \text { in ye plural }\end{array} \\ \text { yee. } \begin{array}{l}\text { make They, Them. }\end{array}\end{cases}$
Possessives My, myne: plural our, ours. Thy, thine. plural your, yours. His, Hers: plural Their, Theirs.
Demonstratives. This, Plural These. That, plural Those: yonne, or yonder.
Interrogatives. who? whose? whom? being both genitive and
Accusative. What, whether.
Relative which: Articles. A, the. Reciprocats, selfe, selves. Pronounes are frequently amongst us compounded, as my selfe, our selus \&c.

## Verbe.

Hath his Tences of present, past, Future, Imperfect and perfectly past $w^{\text {th }}$ circumlocution for most part.
In formation, the future is made of the present: as of the future the Infinite: of the present and preterit, the participle present by addition of ing.
The passiue is syntacticaly expressed.
The persons are three: the 2 d , and 3 d singular of the present, are made of the first, by adding est, and eth; or $z, s$. The tyme past is varied by est in $y^{e} 2 d$ person singular. The 2 persons of the future terminal alike.
The persons plural reserue the terminations of the first singular,
but in old tyme they added this particle en to them not inelegantly, as loven \&c.
Our verbs be likewise personal, as you se: and Impersonal as 1. Love: 2. louest: 3. Loveth: Impersonal behoveth, yrketh.

Active, and Neuter: the first when (am) is joyn'd wth the participle
past, as $I$ am Loued, thou art \&c. The $2 d w^{\text {ch }}$ may not be so joyned as Live, dye.
Foure Conjugations we haue: The first bringeth the preterit from the present, by adding ed: Thus:

| Present:Loue, Lovest, <br> Loveth. | Loue \&c. | Infinitive: Love. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Preterit: Loued, loued'st, plural: | Loved \&c. |  |  |
| loued. | throughout | part.pres. Ioving. |  |
| Future: | Loue, loue. | Loue \&c. | preterit: Loued. |

[f.96] Many Verbes suffer abreviation: as for sayest, sest, should, shoud: \&c. Some leave out e, as in lovedest, loved'st. Som in the preterit haue $t$, for ed, as blush't for blushed, and sometymes $d$ is rejected if they end so: as Lent for Lend. Many vary not at all in the preterit as cast, cost, hurt \&c.
The 2nd Conjugation changeth the present into the preterit by chang of vouels, or consonants: as

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \text { present: shake. } } \\
{ \text { preterit: shoke. } } \\
{ \text { Future: shake. } } \\
{ \text { Part. present: shaking. } } \\
{ \text { Part. past: shaken. } }
\end{array} \quad \text { plural } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { shake. } \\
\text { shooke. } \\
\text { shake. } \\
\text { and divers others. }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Except: Am, art, is: plural: are \&c: be \&c: preterit: was, wast, was, or, Were, wert, were: plural: were \&c: Future: Be: plural: be: Infinitive: Be. participle present: being, Participle past, bene.
A into $O$, as breake, broke, brake: i into a, give, gave, participle: given. i into ea, as reed, read \&c. Some make aw: as see, saw, participle: seene. oo, makes o: as choose, chose. participle: chosen, etc.
The 3 d conjugation is of $a j, y, a w, o w$, all which are changed into ew.
aj $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { Present: } & \text { slay. } \\ \text { past: } \\ \text { Participle: } & \text { slew. } \\ \text { slaine. }\end{array} \quad y\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { fly. } \\ \text { flew. } \\ \text { flowne. }\end{array} \quad\right.\right.$ aw. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { draw. } \\ \text { drew. } \\ \text { drawne. }\end{array} \quad\right.$ ow: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { know. } \\ \text { knew. } \\ \text { knowne. }\end{array}\right.$
Sometymes into $i$ and $o: j\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { present: } & \text { Byte. } \\ \text { past: } & \text { Bitte. } \\ \text { participle: } & \text { Bitten. }\end{array} \quad o:\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Hyght. } \\ \text { Hoght. } \\ \text { Hoght. }\end{array}\right.\right.$
Somtymes into aj, ou. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { present: Lye. } \\ \text { past: Lai. } \\ \text { participle: Lyne, Layne. }\end{array} \quad\right.$ ou $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { fynd. } \\ \text { found. } \\ \text { found. }\end{array}\right.$
$a_{w}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { present: fall. } \\ \text { past: foll. ou }\end{array}\right.$ Howld. $\begin{array}{l}\text { Held of the } 1 \text { Conjugation }\end{array}$
$a w\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { past: } & \text { fell. } \\ \text { parti }\end{array}\right.$ fou $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Held } \\ \text { How }\end{array}\right.$ have $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ forme of $2 \mathrm{~d} \& 3 \mathrm{~d}$ as $\{$ Hew, hewed, hewen \{ Mow, mowed, mowen.

The 4 Conjugation puts the tyme past for $y^{e}$ present, by changing both vowells and Consonants: following the terminations of the first Conjugation ending in $d$ or $t$.
as $\{$ stand. present: Wolle woit, wolle. as $\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { stood. such are past: } \\ & \text { future: }\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned} & \text { would, would'st, would. } \\ & \text { woll \& } \mathrm{c} .\end{aligned}$

The Infinites are of them:
present: can, canst. Past: $\quad$ could.

Present: Sholle, sholt, sholl.
Past: should \&c. The other Tenses are defficient of both.

More of this Conjugation are: Heare: past: Heard: Sel, sola: Tell, Told: Feele, Felt \&c: Dare durst: May, Mayst. May, Might wch 2 want the other Times.
Finally certayne Verbes haue the forme of all 4 Conjugations, as Hang, Reach, Cleaue, sheare, sting \&c.

Participles
Are included in their Verbs.

## Adverbs.

Some be quantitative, as altogether, \&c. Qualitative numeral: once twice \&c. Temporall, Today, yesterday, \&c. Local Heere, yonder, \&c. Affirmative, $I$, yea. Negative, noe, not, nay \&c. Vocal. Ho, hai, \&c. Exhortative, as so, therefore \&c. of similitude, as euen, so, likewise \&c. Some of quality formed of Nownes by adding $1 y$ verry, verily, name, namely.

Conjunctions.
Of Coupling as And, also \&c. Conditionall, if, except, so that, [f.96v] Separating as but, although \&c. Either, or: Reasoning, as because, for \&c. Iliative, as Therefore, wherfore, then \&c.

## Prepositions

Some referr them to the Adverbs: they are seperable as, among, afore, vnder, Against, before, over, beneath, neere \&c. Inseparable $\mathrm{w}^{\text {ch }}$ are euer in Composition as, re, vn: in Re-lease, unlearned \&c. for other wise they are insignificant.

## Interjections.

of Grieving, as ah, alas, oh, $\hat{o}$ \&c.
Marvailing as, strange, oh me \&c.
Disdayne, as pish, faugh! \&c.

Exclaiming, as fie, out vpon it \&c. Cursing as $A$ Mischief $\& C$. Threatning: as Woe, Sirrah \&c. 290 Laughing, as ha, ha, \&c. Forbidding, as goe too \&c. Silence, as, hist, peace \&c.

The second parte of Regiment,<br>or<br>SYNTAX.

Apostrophus.
Rejecteth a vouel in the begining, or ending of a word, its note is (') as Th'ofspring of th'inner man \&c. as aiso before the consonant $h$. as a man to'have vi wives \&c. It is pitty we vse it so seldome in writing: \&c.

## Concord of Nounes.

Is in number and gender: as ESAU could not obteyne his fathers blessing, though $H E$ sought it with teares.
When a Substantiue and an Adjectiue are immediatly joyn'd together th'Adjective must praeceede: as effeminate men.
The possessor hath the place of the thing possessed in 2 substantiues, the former being the genitive case, as mans righteousnesse: but if the thing possess'd goe before, then a preposition interveens as youth is the feild of Repentanc. Bacon.
Sometymes the substantive is lacking: as without smale THINGS, greater cannot stand (i) greater things.
Superfluitys of nownes are much vsed: as It shall not greive me that it perish so it profit him who made vse of it.
Two singulars we sometymes put for plurall. All men, and women must yeald themselues to death. He and it are promiscuously vsed.
$A$ and the are joyned to Common names, not proper: vnlesse by way [f.97] of Metaphor.
When a Substantive is joynd wth an Adjective, thes articles are put before the Adjective, as $A$ good cause, is the best wittnesse. Some few Adjectiues yet will not admitt this rule, as the wolfe hath many a Lamb destroyed.
The agrees to any number, A to the Singular onely, vnless joyned wth Adjectiues woh require the plurall, as Conscienc is a thousand testemonyes.
A praeceeds all wozds beginning wth Consonants, butt before vouells, it is turned into $A n$ : as He $y^{t}$ hath an Army to conduct, giveth
1-2

## Of pronounes.

 pledges to fortune. Except Dipthongs whos first Letter is $y$ or $w$.Also before $H:$ as Want of mony is an hungry sicknesse.
Before a participle present $A$, hath $y^{e}$ force of a gerund: as there is some mischief a devising for us.
The may follow a substantiue proper joyned $w^{\text {th }}$ its adjective, as This is Phillip the fayre.
This, That, demonstratiues, and what Interrogative are as substantivs, as What Cause justifies Rebellion? This and that other are both true. What is sometymes vsed as an Adverb of partition, as what for fear, and what for want, the castle was delivered.
These sometymes signifyeth likenesse, Such as these seldom fayle. His, their, and theirs haue a particular vse, and being possessives serve for primitives, as my desyre is his profits companion. My, thy, our, your, and their, goe before words: but myne, thine, ours, yours, hers \&c. follow like genetives: as this house is hers: His is vsed both wayes.
Adjectives of Quantity be coupled wth pronounes: as He was Learned, wise, stoute \&c: in these non exceeded him.
Comparatives agree wth the particle than; It is more darke than night. It is commonly, but very corruptly written then: by some in such occasions.
The Superlatiue is joyned to the comparatiue $w$ th the preposition of as patienc is of all virtues, the best.
More and Most are added to the Comparative and Superlative elegantly, as: when $I$ saw he neglected me, $I$ was the more readyer to depart \&c: He is of men the most proudest: yet this is seldom vsed, because few know it.

## Of Verbs.

Verbs accord with Nownes in number and person, as $I$ am satisfyed.
Yet $I m y$ selfe, and $y^{r}$ selves agree to the first person, you, thou, it, thy selfe \& your selus to the second. All other nounes and pronouns to the third. $I$, thou, we, he, she, they, who gouerne, except in the verb $A m$. Me, vs, thee, her, them, him, whome are gouerned of $y^{e}$ verb.
Impersonalls are wth vs as the Latine, onely (it) goeth ever before. In $y^{e}$ future we seldome expresse the person. Feare God, honoure $y^{e}$ King.
Some pronouns gouerned of the verbe, are oft repeated, as feare they are brought to distresse, because they wanted what they writt for.
A verb plurall is required, to a singular Noune of Multitude, as where an enemy is fallen, ther looke for spoyle.
[f.97v] Two verbs encountring, as in $y^{e}$ Latine, the Latter shall be Infinitiue, onely the signe (to) interpos'd, as you may and ought to rejoyce when God is glorifyed. Yet may, doe, can, shall, will, dare \&c: being in the Transitiue admitt not the signe, as In Euill I may not follow you.
To, Set before an Infinitive alone without another verbe, changeth it into a noune: as To Loue, and be wise no man may attayne. Exception: haue, and am, require a participle past without any signe; as I am contented, Thou hast enjoyed: but if they import necessity then elegantly they joyne to the Infinitiue wh its signe: as You are to take care of pride as the worst of Sinns.

The tymes of verbes are thus expressed.
The present by the pronounes $I$, as $I$ Loue $\& \mathrm{c}$. or doe loue.
Imperfect, I Loued \&c: or I did loue \&c: The preterit: by Haue: as I haue loued. The pluperfect by had, as I had loved.
The future by shall or will: as I shall Loue, or will Loue \&c.
The Imparative: Loue, or Loue thou, Let him Loue, \&c.
The Optative and Subjunctiue differ onely in signes from the
Indicatiue, as, would god, I pray god, god grant: when, though, should, would \&c.
Verbs Irregular differ onely in the Imperfect, and participle of the preter tence as: To beare: Imperfect: I bare, or bore: participle preterit: borne \&c: but of this enough before in $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{e}}$ rules. Passives are made of the participle past, and $y^{e}$ verbe substantiue, $A m$, as $I$ am Loved \&c.
of Participles.
A participle present to which prepositions are matched cause the prepositions to supply the place of gerunds as In Louing, of Loving.
of Adverbs.
Adverbs of likenesse, and Tyme are promiscuously taken, as As he went to such follyes, it happned Ill for him.
Adverbs in stead of Relatives: Riches are needlesse, where they are not vsed.
Some Aduerbs in the meeting of Substantive and Adjective cause (a)
the Article to follow the Adjective as It is to light a labour to striue for words.
Adjectiue adverbialy vsed being compared may haue th'Article the preceede them as The more constant you are, the Lesse she respects.
of Conjunctions.
Neither, and Either are sometymes placed in the beginning of Sentences, and words: as Neither he that plants, nor he who waters is anything \&c: Either by vertue Seeke renowne, or be satisfyed wth $y^{e}$ condition.
When the comparison is in quantity (SO) is vsed and, as: the one before, the other after: sometymes they are vsed for one another, but in comparatives of quality: on the contrary.
And, sometymes occupieth the beginning of a sentenc: but then it signifies Admiration: as And, what a miracle it was to see him heere.
Conjunctions of divers sorts are taken one for another: as but neither could $y^{u}$ well give it, nor he justly take it. Here but is not a severing, but conditionall Conjunction. The Same is of And. [f.98] For a Cause-Redditive, doth sometymes Sever, as: You may hap to orecome him, for all his confidence.
of Prepositions.
Prepositions are joyned wth Accusative cases of pronouns, as $I$ require you for Gods Loue to heare me.
Ward, wards, \&c: answer versus \&c. in latine: as he turnes his face 430 to the Eastwards like a persian.
The preposition of hath a genitiue, datiue, and Ablatiue force, as For of him, \& by him, and in him, that is God: are all things. Some praepositions are defective, as, Religion, and Riches seldome meete in the same person, for Touching Religion; or the like. But If more then any: as Had I but knowne: for If I had \&c.

Of Interjections.
Interjections may elegantly begin or end an expression: as Alas: for the poore man, for the poore man alas:

For a Canto- Z.flive doth sometymes fever at: you may hap Foxctoonr tim for all Air confidence. onepartions


 w. Fo of him, e, by him, arid whin, thicat is get: ax coll thing'. Some A=wpopthons ax o defective, as the legion, am e triter, teldome


Hod that known for thad 8 c .
of Interjections

The End.


## TEXTUAL NOTES

3-5, 14-18 Written in the left-hand margin. (See Introduction, p. .)

6 "in this" after "haue" crossed out
12 common] comon discourse] "discource" with "s" superimposed
16 learning] learnig
22 Sss] second lower-case letter is a long "s"
29 pictŭring] following Jonson; MS: picturǐng
30 Flatt,] Flatt:
32 is] is is
36 henc,] henc.
$37 \mathrm{vs]} vs.$,
42 latter,] latter.
44 don,] don.
52 ovi;] ovi
53 say,] say.
54 defying.] defying:
57 wheroff] $w$ written over $t$
$61 h, l, r] h ; 1 . r$
66 commeth] cometh
68 church] u written over ie
73 dose.] dose:
76 without] withot
77 \&c.] \&c:
81 follows.] follows:
86 English Saxons] following Jonson; MS: Eng-Saxons (line divided at the hyphen) him.] him:
87 Latine.] Latine:
89 muse.] muse:
90 Faction.] Faction:
93 Ђad] zeta superimposed on $z$
95 aidel $i$ superimposed over $y$ Author \&c:] Author \&c Ea] e written over $A$
96 boy,] boy;
97 Rout, \&c:] Rout; \&c buye \&c.] buye \&c:
99 consonants] consonatnts
112 objéct] MS: accent over "o" crossed out
115 insóciable] following Jonson; MS has no accent mark
118 infuśion] following Jonson; MS: infusîon
122 Nounes,] Nounes.
123 blánket] following Jonson; MS has no accent mark
146 Finite] Finie
147 Nownes] Evelyn appears to have begun to write "Names"
151 marg. "some cali a nown the before verbe." crossed out
154 Foeminine] Foem:
158 [Theefe]: conjecturally supplied from Jonson
159 three] thee
161 Baronet,] Baronet.
181-2 Goose, Louse,] Goose Louse:
182 Gold, Rest,] Gold: Rest; \&c.] \&
186 Women, ] Women
187 childern,] childern.

189

215 singular,] singular.
223 Foure] written over "Three"
230 preterit] preterit: blushed,] blushed.
240 Am,] Am:
244 broke] may be brooke participle] following Jonson; MS "pl:"
245 saw,] saw.
246 seene.] seene,
247 chosen.] chosen.
250 brace points from aj rightwards to the synopsis of slay
251 brace points from "pres./past:/partic" rightwards to synopsis
of byte
253 aw] MS has "aw, ou" at end of previous line; "aw" appended and bracketed here as parallel with ou. MS also nas brace pointing leftward from synopsis of fall to labels
258 are of them:] are: of them. ("of them" seems to be a later addition squeezed into place)
260 defficient] defficient:
261-2 Tell, Told: Feele.] Tell. Told Feele.
264 Verbes] "Conjugations" struck out; present word inserted Conjugations,] Conjugations.
269 altogether,] altogether.
270 Heere, yonder, ] Heere. yonder.
277 Separating] catchword: "Seperating"
282 now illegible form deleted before re
283 insignificant] insignificat
285 Grieving] first "i" written over "e" ô] ô.
286 strange,] strange.
300-1 consonant] consonat.
306 immediatly] iñediatly
307 th'Adjective] th'Ajective
309 case,] case.
312 "gr" deleted after "the" Without] Withot
320 names,] names
321 Metaphor.] Metaphor:
322 Adjective,] Adjective.
324 rule,] rule.
326 onely,] onely.
327 plurall,] plurall.
329 "before" inserted
341 likenesse,] likenesse.
343 primitives,] primitives.
344 thy] they
346 vsed] written over now illegible syllable
350 commonly] com̃only
359 with] wth
365 Latine,] Latine., (it)] (il)
371 Multitude,] Multitude.
372 es ending deleted from "enemy," "an" inserted
374 interpos'd,] interpos'd.
376 signe, ] signe.
I, as] I.: as $I$ Loue] I. Loue "or do loue" inserted
I Loued] I. Loued
shall Louel shall. Loue
second "as" deleted after "as,"
$A m] A$,$m .$
taken,] taken.
"before" deleted before "the one"
another,] another.
Here] Her
Sever,] Sever.
person,] person.

## EXPLANATORY NOTES

All citations from Jonson's English Grammar are taken from the edition of C.H. Herford, Percy and Evelyn Simpson (Oxford, 1947) Vol.VIII, pp.453-553. Page references are given in brackets.

20 Twenty fowre: Follows Jonson, although by 1650 the recognition of $j$ and $v$ as separate letters of the alphabet was well-nigh universal. However, Evelyn himself frequently observes the older convention. On the omission of upper-case $x$, see Evelyn's discussion, 1.91.

27-31 This material on the four ways of sounding vowels is taken directly from Jonson (p.468) who in turn took it from Mulcaster. The only deviations from the examples in Jonson are delāying instead of debāting, and swĕrving instead of sevéring.

32-3 The material on a is a drastic abridgement of Jonson, who describes it as "lesse, then the French à", except when 1 follows (p.471).

34-9 The material on $e$ is drasticaliy abridged from Jonson (pp.471-2). Evelyn foilows Jonson in descriptions and (greatly reduced) examples. The only possible departure is that Jonson describes the sounding of $e$ "with a meane opening the mouth"-note here as well the omission of the preposition of.

40-1 The first sentence of the entry on $i$ has no counterpart in Jonson.

43-5 Repeats part of the material on 0 in Jonson (pp.475-6). However, the pronunciation of the sound in throat ("thróte" in Jonson) is there described as being sounded "sharp, and high", neither don nor bought are examples, and the sound of ou is said to be diphthongal, rather than "like A".

46-8 The first sentence of the entry on $u / v$ has no counterpart in Jonson. In the second sentence, the part regarding consonantal $v$, Evelyn was misled by the omission of a line in Jonson from a section taken from Mulcaster. According to Mulcaster, the letter was a consonant when used initially in a syllable before a "sounding vowel", or finally before a "qualifying" (i.e., the socalled "silent") e. Evelyn, following Jonson (p.479), has run together the description of the former with an example of the latter.

49-51 The note on the derivation of $w$ is not in Jonson. The rest is a drastic abridgement (p.479), the notation "hov" apparently standing for Jonson's extended entry on the aspirated $w$.

51 suppose: "for example".
52-4 A drastic, sometimes confusing abridgement of Jonson's
account of $y$ (pp.479-80), except for the opening statement on pronunciation, which has no counterpart. Evelyn was perhaps influenced here by Jonson's discussion under w; at any rate, he is describing the pronunciation of the letter, not the sound which the letter signals.
$56 \mathrm{w}^{\text {th }} \mathrm{B}$ : Ionson is naturally in our language: "[mickle, pickle, etc.] were better written without the $c$. if that which wee have received for Orthographie, would yet be contented to be altered. But that is an emendation, rather to be wished, then hoped for, after so long a raigne of ill-custome amongst us" (p.487).

57-8 Represents a tiny part of Jonson's account of $c$ (p.480, 483) .

59 Not in Jonson. Again, as with the entry under $y$, Evelyn is describing the pronunciation of the letter.

60 No counterpart in Jonson, except for the mention of the "nether-lip" (p.483). In contradistinction to the previous entry, Evelyn here seems actually to be describing the sound itself.

61-4 Closeiy follows the first part of Jonson's entry on $g$ (p.484, 487), except for the reference to $d$, which presumably refers to the pronunciation of the letter, and for "gud in Scotch". The "more tender" / $\mathrm{d}_{3} /$ pronunciation is called by Jonson "ever weake".

65-73 Generally epitomizes Jonson's account of $h$, ch, gh, ph and $r h, s h$, and th (pp.495-6). The only departures are the "hissing" description of sh and the note appended to th about the difficulties non-native speakers have with the sound. Church is not one of the examples of ch in Jonson (though it is in Mulcaster:). Jonson discusses $h$ and its digraphs last, out of its normal alphabetical sequence.

69-70 B: Ionson would . . . expunge it: "Gh Is only a piece of ill writing with us: if we could obtaine of Custome to mend it, it were not the worse for our Language, or us: for the $g$. sounds just nothing [cf. Evelyn's 'little'] in trough. cough. might. night. \&c. Only, the writer was at leisure, to adde a superfluous Letter, as there are too many in our Pseudographie" (p.496).

74-7 Follows Jonson's entry on $k$ closely (p.487), except for the statement on pronunciation, which again must refer to the pronunciation of the letter itself. Knife is not among Jonson's examples of initial kn.

78-81 Closely follows Jonson's entry on 1 (pp.487-8), except for a misreading of the section on doubling, which reads, "It is seldome doubled, but where the Vowell sounds hard upon it . . .".

85 euer attended $w^{\text {th }} u$ : cf. Jonson (p.491), "For, the EnglishSaxons knew not this halting $Q$. with her waiting-woman $u$. after her . . .".

88-9 sea is not one of Jonson's examples in his much longer discussion of $s$ (pp.491-2).

92-3 "or کad": Not in Jonson; Evelyn again confuses the name of the letter and the sound that it stands for.

94-7 This quick overview of "diphthongs" (actually digraphs) is a digest of Jonson (pp.498-9), with eate as the only new example. However, in Jonson buie is represented as a variant of buye; it is not clear whether this is Evelyn's intention. Evelyn does not discuss oa and ee, which Jonson treats as superfluous combinations.

98-103 A wholly inadequate digest of Jonson, pp.499-501. Aiding is one of several examples of a "diphthong" making up a syllable. To Evelyn's final comment, compare Jonson (p.500): "Here order would require to speake of the Quantitie of Syllabes, their speciall Prerogative among the Latines and Greekes: whereof so much as is constant, and derived from Nature, hath beene handled already. The other which growes by Position, and placing of letters, as yet (not through default of our Tongue, being able enough to receive it, but our owne carelesnesse, being negligent to give it) is ruled by no Art". He then sets forth his own opinion.

104ff The Accent: The first paragraph seems to reflect personal observation on Evelyn's part, plus the following passage on Bacon's Advancement of Learning, book VI, chapter l:

> As for Accents of Words, there is no need, that wee speake of so small a matter; unlesse, perchance, some may think it worth the noting, that there hath bin exact observation made of the Accents of Words, but not of the Accents of Sentences; yet this, for most part, is the generall Custome of all men, that in the close of a Period they let fall their voice, in a demand they raise it, and many such like usages.

The remainder, from line lll, is a drastic abridgement of Jonson (pp.502-4).

106 desiderable: "desirable". The last OED citation is dated 1675.

118-19 the last save one: Jonson states (p.503), "Nounes ending in tion, or sion, are accented in antepenultimâ". This seems to indicate that the assibilation of this ending occurred between the working dates of these writers; i.e., between 1625 and 1650. However, Evelyn does, though probably erroneously, accent his first example as infusíon; this appears in Jonson accented over the $u$.

130-5 A reasonably accurate resumé of Jonson (p.504). However, Jonson's derivative of love is lover; and wise and otherwise, not in Jonson, is a rather poor, not to say etymologically unsound, example of compounding.

137-8 Man is Jonson's example (p.505) of a finite word, "which varieth his number with certaine ends". Good is not one of Jonson's examples of an infinite word, "which varieth not"; his examples are true, strong, and running.

147-8 The Richard of Richards: Jonson's example is "The Henry of Henries" (p.506).

154-9 This classification of the noun into six genders is taken directly from Jonson (pp.507-8). The references to Latin, however, are Evelyn's own, and he seems to be referring to the Latin grammar tradition of Lily and others, which illustrated Latin noun concord with the demonstratives hic or ille by English pronoun concord with the natural or semantic gender of the noun. Earlier Latin grammars usually listed the six genders included here, plus a seventh, "common of two".

155-6 save in the word ship: Jonson's comment reads, "a ship excepted: of whom we say, shee sayles well, though the name be Hercules, or Henry, or the Prince" (p.507).

158-9 The Common of three as the Latine: This cryptic remark can perhaps be clarified with reference to its longer counterpart in Jonson (p.508): "Sixt, is the Common of three Genders, by which a Noune is divided into Substantive and Adjective. For a Substantive is a Noune of one only Gender, or (at the most) of two. And an Adjective is a Noune of three Genders, being alwayes infinite". This passage is taken in essence from Ramus; it demonstrates the impossibility of maintaining for English a classification system in which "substantive" and "adjective" are made subcategories of "noun" on the basis of Latin and Greek grammatical inflections.

163 miniardizing: (also migniardizing) "caressing treatment, affected delicacy of behavior or appearance"; now obsolete: all OED citations are from the seventeenth century. Jonson's parallel comment ( $p .508$ ) reads, "Names, which are spoken in a kind of flatterie, especially among familiar friends and lovers . . .".

163-4 Jake for John \&c. George excepted: examples not in Jonson. John Evelyn had an older brother George, as well as a son of that name who died in infancy. Jake and Jack were interchangeably used, and probably pronounced alike. This is one of Evelyn's rare excursions into personal linguistic experience.

165 divlish: Jonson cites divelish (p.509) as an "adjective of likeness" formed from a substantive with the addition of -ish, as distinct from the "diminution of adjectives", of which whitish is an example (p.508).
l67ff The section on comparatives is a fair approximation of Jonson's (pp.509-10), save that the latter uses wise as his example. The comment "vnlesse first will stand" (172-3) is Evelyn's addition.

174ff An epitome of Jonson's chapters on the declensions
(pp.510-13), except that horse is not among Jonson's examples of the "first declension". The comment "Yet haue they . . . from \&c:" (11.176-7) is appended by Evelyn.

192 "He, she, that": follows Jonson, even to the folio reading which mistook yt as the occasional abbreviation for that.
$206 w^{\text {th }}$ circumlocution for most part: added by Evelyn to his digest of Jonson (p.514).

216 not inelegantly: Jonson (p.515) emphatically believed that the loss of the plural personal endings was "a great blemish to our tongue".

218-19 Impersonal as . . . yrketh: Evelyn has confused things. Jonson (p.516) gives love, lovest, loveth as personal forms, which have three persons, as opposed to the impersonal, "which onely hath the third person". Evelyn's prior example you se is not in Jonson.

244 brake: Jonson's examples for the past of break are brake or broke, with broke or broken for the past participle (p.519).

245 i into ea, as reed, read \&c.: The Folio reading of this passage in Jonson is "long i into $e$ ". Herford and Simpson emend it to "long e. into short e." (p.519). The present form of the verb for Jonson is reede.

250 flowne: Evelyn omits Jonson's first listed participial form flyne, in favor of his alternative (p.521).

251 Hoght: Jonson's preterite form of this archaic verb is Heght. Preterite hoght is listed in OED as an erroneous form attributable to the pseudo-archaisms of Spenser.

257 Wolle, etc.: Evelyn takes this directly from Jonson, though the forms were certainly archaic before the mid-seventeenth century. So too with sholle (1.259) to which Jonson appends a marginal note, "An old English word, for which now we commonly use, shall, or shawll" (p.523).

266-7 This specific remark about participles is not in Jonson. Evelyn is here simply striving for traditional completeness, having already borrowed Jonson's enumeration of the parts of speech.

271-2 yea and hai are not included among Jonson's examples.
272-3 Exhortative . . . likewise \&c.: Evelyn misread or misunderstood Jonson's comments on these points. As adverbs of exhorting, Jonson lists "So, so. There, there" (p.525), emphasizing the element of repetition; and among his examples of adverbs of "similitude, and likeness" is "even so", unseparated by a comma.
does list "True, truly".
276 so that: not among Jonson's examples of a "conditioning" conjunction.

278 Illative: Jonson's entry is considerably more informative (p.527): "Inferring, by which a thing that commeth after, is concluded by the former". Then is not one of Jonson's examples.

283 insignificant: Jonson declares that the "inseparable" prepositions "signifie nothing" (p.526), which is probably Evelyn's meaning.

284ff Evelyn's discussion and classifications of interjections depart significantly from Jonson's. I cannot trace them to any other specific writer, though similar classifications occur in traditional Latin grammars like Lily.

300-1 From this point, Jonson often quotes literary sources as examples, which Evelyn does not necessarily follow. The first illustration here was suggested by Jonson's quoting from II Corinthians iv 16 ( p .528 ), and the second by an inexactly quoted line in Chaucer's Troilus: "A man to'have beene in prosperitie".

301-2 It is pitty . . . \&c.: Jonson (p.529) is considerably more positive: "Yet considering that in our common speech, nothing is more familiar [than the use of contractions], (upon the which all Precepts are grounded, and to the which they ought to be referred) who can justly blame me, if, as neere as I can, I follow Natures call?"

307 effeminate men: suggested by Jonson's "effeminate Writers" (p.530), a portion of a quotation from Gosson's School of Abuse.

309 mans righteousnesse: part of an unidentified longer quotation in Jonson (p.530). The quotation from Bacon (1.311) is not in Jonson, though it is structurally identical with another quotation from an unidentified source.

312-13 Jonson attributes this quotation (p.531) to Sir Thomas More.

313 (i): the early equivalent of "i.e."
314-15 quotation is not in Jonson.
316-17 Evelyn's example does not follow. Jonson's example (p.533) makes it clear that he means two nouns singular in number combine to force a plural agreement of verb and/or pronoun: "All Authority, and Custome of men, exalted against the word of God, must yeeld themselves prisoners".

323 A good . . . wittnesse: suggested by Jonson's "A good
conscience is a continual feast" (p.534).
324-5 The Wolfe . . . destroyed: Jonson illustrates this dubious point with a quotation from Chaucer, ending, "The Wolfe hath many a Sheepe, and Lamb to rent", but he was illustrating his point in the first line: "Under a Shepheard false, and negligent" (p.535).

328 testemonyes: Jonson, "witnesses" (p.535).

330-1 This quotation reminiscent of Bacon is not in Jonson.
332 Want . . . sicknesse: not in Jonson.
333-4 Jonson's quotation (p.536), from Norton's Orations of Arsanes, is "But there is some great tempest a brewing towards us". The use of a here involves not the indefinite article, but a reduction of the particle on.

336 Suggested by Jonson's quotation from Chaucer, "Chaunticleer the faire".

338-41 None of these illustrations is in Jonson.
343 my desyre . . . Companion: suggested by the last part of Jonson's quotation from Chaucer (p.538) : "my will, was his wills instrument".

345 "this . . . hers": Jonson (p.539), "These lands are mine, thine, \&c."

347 At this point Jonson begins a new chapter, "Of the Syntaxe of Adjectives".

347-8 He was . . . him: An echo of Jonson's quotation from Chaucer (p.539): "And he was wise, hardy, secret, and rich, / Of these three points, nas none him lych."

349 Example not in Jonson, for whom the "particle" is then; cf. 1.350.

353 Example not like those in Jonson, but styled after them.

355-6 Examples not in Jonson. One wonders, in fact, how naturally these made-up examples came to Evelyn, for the double comparison does not otherwise occur in his writings.

359 I am satisfyed: Jonson (p.540), "I am content", among others.

360-1 Your selves and it both occur in the original folio of Jonson, although A.V. Waite in her edition of the Jonson Grammar (1909) changes the former to our selves and deletes the latter.

368-70 I feare . . . writt for: not in Jonson.
372 where . . . spoyle: the example is defective. Evelyn was so intent on patterning it after Jonson's that he lost track of what he was illustrating. Jonson's example is taken from Lydgate (p.542): "And wise men rehearsen in sentence, / Where folke be drunken, ther is no resistance". According to Herford and Simpson, this form of concord was very important to Jonson; present evidence makes it less so with Evelyn.

373-7 The examples have no close counterparts in Jonson. Note that the first statement holds true only for ought. The statement of the Latin parallel (l.373) is Evelyn's own. Jonson (p.543) indicates that the to is not used with dare "when it is intransitive".

## 378-83 Examples are not in Jonson.

384ff This segment on the formations of the verb is sufficiently different from Jonson to represent independent observation - or at least what vague independence fidelity to a Latin model can afford. "By the pronounes $I$ " (1.385) is puzzling; Dr C.L. Barber has suggested (in a private communication) that Evelyn intends the present tense to be identified by the preceding pronoun alone, without any other tense marker: the first person singular and all the plural persons are, after all, otherwise indistinguishable from the "infinite" in the present tense.

398-400 Not in Jonson.
402-3 As he . . . for him: not in Jonson, though structurally close to Jonson's example. Jonson's point here is that adverbs of time and of likeness use the same forms, in this case, as.

404-5 Riches . . . vsed: not in Jonson, though patterned after his in the use of the relative where.

408 for words: Jonson (p.546), "for names", quoting from Jewell's Defense of the Apology of the Churche of England.

410 The more constant . . . respects: not in Jonson, though patterned after his examples. At this point, Jonson continues his chapter with a discussion of prepositions, which Evelyn makes the subject of a new heading below.

4llff Evelyn patterns his examples after Jonson's, but does not copy them.

419 The closest usage of and in this manner cited in the OED is that defined, "In expressing surprise at, or asking the truth of, what one has already heard", with earliest citation c.1788. Yet Evelyn has taken this from Jonson (pp.549-50), who began his "Execration upon Vulcan" in precisely this manner.

428-9 Evelyn's example being too narrowly patterned after Jonson's, it is not illustrative of his point. Jonson, quoting Sir Thomas More ( $p .546$ ), varied the expression in a number of ways to illustrate a pronoun object of the preposition.

430-1 he turnes . . . persian: not in Jonson.
432-3 Evelyn seems to have totally misunderstood Jonson, who gives of the force of the genitive case: to, the dative; and from, off, in, by, and others unspecified, the ablative (p.547). Evelyn may have been misled by Jonson's wording and his spelling of off as "of" into thinking that of had a "force" of all three cases. Evelyn's Biblical illustration is not in Jonson.

434-5 Religion . . . person: patterned after Jonson's example from Sir John Cheeke (p.548) and subsequent explanation.

436 Illustration not in Jonson.
437-9 Has no counterpart in Jonson.

Jonson has one additional chapter "Of the Distinction of Sentences" (pp.55l-3). Evelyn has, on the remaining half-page after "The end", added, for his own information, a listing of the Anglo-Saxon alphabet, Gothic capitals, and Latin manuscript abbreviations (see facsimile, p. 134 above).

