Leeds Studies in English

Article:

Janette Dillon, 'John Rastell v. Henry Walton', *Leeds Studies in English*, n.s. 28 (1997), 57-75

Permanent URL:

https://ludos.leeds.ac.uk:443/R/-?func=dbin-jumpfull&object_id=124890&silo_library=GEN01



Leeds Studies in English School of English University of Leeds http://www.leeds.ac.uk/lse

Janette Dillon

A set of legal documents recording a lawsuit between John Rastell and Henry Walton in the Court of Requests has long been known to theatre historians. The documents may be dated, on internal evidence, to 1530-31. (One of the deponents refers to 'the kinges banket . . . at Grenewiche' as 'iii or iiii yeres past', a reference to the visit of the French embassy to London in 1527.) The case concerns some costumes belonging to Rastell, which he left with Walton for safekeeping while on a visit to France. When Rastell returned Walton spent a period of two to three weeks promising and failing to hand over the costumes, during which period he in fact filed a suit for debt against Rastell and retained the costumes against the debt. Putting together Walton's testimony with that of other witnesses on his behalf, the debt seems to represent payment owing to Walton for his construction of a stage 'in Restalles grounde besides fyndesbury [Finsbury]' some years earlier. The documents are therefore very important for theatre history in several respects. They shed light on a period of theatre history about which very little is known, and the detail they contain about the cost and location of one particular London stage, the costs and customs of costume hire and the players who played on that stage are highly suggestive, if not entirely clear in their implications, which I have pursued at more length elsewhere.¹

On consulting these documents in the Public Record Office, long familiar since Alfred W. Pollard anthologised them in 1903, following Henry Plomer's first publication of them in 1898,² I found that not only were there numerous errors in Plomer's transcription, but that he had entirely omitted one document from the set (presumably because it is by far the most difficult to read). Pollard evidently printed from Plomer's published version without going back to the documents himself, as he retains all Plomer's errors and adds new ones of his own. Given the significance of the documents for theatre history, this seemed to offer good reason for publishing a more full and accurate transcription of the documents, printed below.

Plomer's omission of one of the documents, together with his decision to

number two of the documents as one (the parcels confessed by Walton and the interrogatories for Fishpole) and his presumably accidental doubling of the number 'IX' (see note 53 below), has necessitated renumbering the documents, but in each case I have added the Pollard numbering for readers who want to compare the text below with the most accessible printed version to date.³ I have also given the folio numbering added to the documents a later date (several of the documents are written on one side only). The sequence of the documents cannot be absolutely determined, but Plomer's arrangement seems to be broadly correct.

The documents are written in several hands, and I have indicated at the head of each document which hand or hands I think responsible. I have retained original spelling and punctuation throughout, though this results in one or two peculiarities which require explanation. Upper case 'K' and 'W' are virtually indistinguishable from lower case, so I have transcribed in lower case throughout unless upper case is certain. Upper-case 'A' appears in unlikely places, including once in the middle of a word. One scribe (Hand 3) frequently reduces minims to little more than a horizontal line; where not even this indication is present I have supplied missing letters in square brackets.

Expansions are underlined; words written above the line appear within pointed brackets; square brackets are used for occasional emendations; and where there are deletions or erasures I have indicated this in notes. Since the only punctuation mark regularly used is the virgule, I have ignored occasional apparent instances of other forms of punctuation, which are easily confusable in some cases with mere marks of the pen.

[The documents in the case of John Rastell v. Henry Walton]

1 [fol. 15r; Pollard I; Hand 1]

To the kyng our souereyn lord

Humbly complaynyth vnto your gracious highnes your pore oratour & humble subject John Rastell that where your seyd oratour delyuerd to one Henry walton certeyn parcelles of stuff & goodes to the value of xx markes sauely to kepe to the vse of your seyd oratour that is to sey A pleyers garment of grene sarcenet lyned with red Tuke & with RomAn letters styched vpon it of blew & red sarcenett & an other garment paned⁴ with blewe & grene sarcenet lynyd with red bokeram & an other garment paned lykewyse and lyned as the other with A cape furred with white Cattes & an other

garment paned with yelowe grene <blew> & red sarcenet & lyned with red⁵ bokeram / An other garment for A prest to pley in of red Saye & A garment of red & grene Saye panyd⁶ & gardyd with golde skynnes lyned with red bokeram And A shorte garment of gold skynnes & fustyan of Napes⁷ blak And sleved with red grene yelow & blewe sarcenet And An other garment spangled of blew saten of bryges And lyned with grene sarcenet Also ij old shorte garmentes payned of saten of bryges & of sarcenet of Dyuers Colours in the bodyes Also A womans garment of grene & blewe sarcenet chekred & Lyned with red bokeram Also ij cappes of yelowe & red sarcenet & ij curtens of grene & yelowe sarcenet Also ij <long brode> peces of blewe lynen cloth with lyre⁸ in them Also iij peces of oper syluered lynen clothes Also An long brode pece/of⁹ Red bokeram which seyd stuff & goodes the seyd walton promysyd to delyuer Agayn to your said oratour when < so>euer he shuld be by your said oratour berto Requiryd whych said stuff & goodes after the said delyuere to hym made the seyd walton occupyed at his pleasure by the space of halff A yere & more duryng the tyme bat your said oratour was in the partees beyonde the see in fraunce After whose commyng home your seyd oratour Demandyd of the seyd walton relyuere of the said stuff & goodes to whom the said walton Answerd & seyd that he wold bryng hym home the said goodes & stuff yet bat not withstondyng he brought to hym no parte perof but droue hym forth from tyme to tyme by the space of ij or iij wekes duryng which tyme the said walton vnknowyng to your said oratour which was euery day contynually in the said Cyte & conuersant & in company with the said walton craftely falsly by the subtell Aduyse & consell of A clerke of the mayres courte in the Cyte of London & by A seriaunt of the same <court> enteryd A fals feynyd pleynt put in byll in the said courte Agaynst your said oratour supposyng that your said oratour shuld owe to the said walton xls sterling wherin <in>dede your said oratour owyd hym neuer A peny & by the custome of the said Cyte made Attachment of the said goodes & stuff beyng in his own handes & causyd that one John wylkynson playsterer & one Thomas curttees were Assygned to be praysours which wilkynson at the request & desyre of the seyd henry praysyd the seyd goodes & stuff but to the valew of xxxvs ixd which goodes & stuff at that tyme were well worth xx markes & Aboue Appon which praysement the said henry had Jugement to recouer the said goodes & stuff for the which your said oratour can never have remedy by cours of the commyn Lawe & though your said oratour within the yere did put in surete to Answer to the said pleynt & byll & to disproue the said Accion yet your <seid> oratour coude neuer nor shall neuer by the order of the commyn Law there recouer Agayn the said stuff & goodes but shall recouer no more for them but only the somme wherfore they were praysyd which is but xxxvs ixd as is beforesaid & so hath & shall by such falshede subtelte &

dysseyte of the said walton & of the said wylkynson & curteys whych were praysers losse xj or xij^{li 10} or Aboue & is without remedy <u>berfore</u> for euer except your gracious highnes be shewd to hym in this behalff Jn consyderacion wherof it may please your grace & your most honourable consell to command one of your offycers of Armes to goo to the seyd henry walton & to the seyd John wylkynson & thomas curteys & to command them in your name to Appere before your grace and your honourable consell at westminster there to Answere to the premysses & ther to be dyrectyd Accordyng to ryght & good consciens & your said oratour & subject shall dayly pray for the preseruacion of your royall estate Long to endure

[signed] John Rastell

2 [fol. 14r; Pollard II; Hand 2]

The Aunswer of henry walton to the byll of Complaynt of John Rastall Gentilman /

The seid henry walton by protestacion seith that the seid goodes in the byll of Complaynt of the said John Rastall mencyonyd be not of so great value as in his said bill of Complaynt is supposed / And seith that the seid bill of complaynt is incerten and insufficient in the lawe to be answered vnto / and the matter therin conteigned fayned & craftely ymagyned to thentent to put the seid henry walton to great¹¹ costes & expencys / Neuertheles for <answer and> declaracion of the trowthe the seid defendent saieth that it apperith by the byll of the seid Complaynaunt that he hathe no cause of accion in this honorabill Courte / for it apperith by his byll of Complaynt that the said goodes be Recoueryd in the kynges Courte holdyn before the mayre & Aldermen of the Citie of london accordyng to the Custome of the seid Citie / And furder the defendent sayeth that he brought an accion of dett ayenst the said John Rastall of xls in the sevd Courte / And he the seid henry walton havyng in his own kepyng the seyd goodes in the seyd byll of Complaynt supposyd / attachyd theym in his owne handes accordyng to the Custome of the seid Citie / which goodes wer Afterward by the commaundement of the said Courte / by the seyd John wylkynson and Thomas Curtes / wer praysed at xxxvs ixd / And wher the said John Rastall¹² in his said bill of Complaynt seyth that the goodes aforeseid wer praysed mych unde[r their]¹³ value th[e] seid he[nry wa]lton seyth that they wer praysed to as myche as they

at th[e t]yme of the praysment wer worth And wher the said Complaynaunt in his seid bill of Complaynt seyth that he oweth not the seid defendent the said xls / and is without remedye / The seid henry walton wyll averr that the said John Rastall owyth <hym> the seid xls / And also the seyd henry seythe that the seyd John Rastall myght haue come yn to the seyd Courte holdyn byfore the mayre & Aldermen aforeseyd within the the yere and the day accordyng to the Custome and ther to have put in sureties / and so to have dissolvid the attachement / but hath sufferyd and sursesyd¹⁴ his tyme / And the seid henry walton shall averr <that the seyd goodes> wer of no more value then they wer praysed at / for they wer rotten and torne players garmentes / Wythout that the sevid henry walton craftely or falsly and by subtyll avyse of his Counsell commensyd the seyd accion ayenst the seyd John Rastall in maner and forme as he in his said bill vntruly hath alledgyd / And without that that any thyng beyng materiall or^{15} effectuall in the seid byll otherwise then¹⁶ in the said aunswer of the seid henry is alledgyd ys true / And in asmyche as the seid goodes be lawfully Recoueryd in the kynges¹⁷ Courte holdyn byfore the mayre & Aldermen in the Citie of london aforesayd beyng A Court of Record / the which Recorde cannot be vndon without error or attaynt / Therfore the seid henry walton praieth to be dismyssyd owte of this honorabyll Courte with his resonabyll Costis and expensis for his wrongfull vexacion &c

[unsigned]

3 [fol. 13r; Pollard III; Hand 1]

The replycacion of John rastell to the Answere of Henry walton

The Said John Rastell seyth <u>bat</u> his said byll is trewe & <u>cer</u>tayne & suffycyent to be Answerd vnto & matt<u>er</u> det<u>er</u>mynable in this Hono<u>u</u>rable courte & wyll Au<u>er</u> eu<u>ery</u> thyng to be trew <u>con</u>tayned in the said byll off compleynte & that the said Answere is vnc<u>er</u>tayne & insuffycyent to be A<u>n</u>swerd vnto & matt<u>er</u> feynyd & vntrew And forther sayth that the said John rastell as sone as he had knolege <u>bat</u> the said walton had commensyd the said Accion of dett of xls in the said mayres courte in London the said rastell came in to the said court & there put in suretie to the said Accion wheruppon the said walton declared Agaynst the said rastell that the said rastell shuld owe to <u>be</u> said walton xls for <u>bat</u> that the said rastell confessyd hym selff in the Said Cyte of

61

london to owe to the said walton xls to the whyche¹⁸ the Said rastell Answerd & tendyd hys Law Accordyng to the custome of the said Cyte that He owyd no thyng to the said walton in maner & forme as the said walton Agaynst Hym declared wherby the said walton perseyuyd bat uppon the said plee & tender his said Accion shuld be dyssolued / demurryd in law uppon the same plee whych demurrer what for Lake that the recorder of the said Cyte & oper consellours can have no convenyent tyme to Argue the said matter & Also for Lake that the consell for the said walton was not redy when the said matter of Law shuld be Argued the said matter as yet doth depend ther vndyscussyd But yet the said John rastell sayth bat yf the said matter be dyscussyd & Juggyd for the said rastell as vndowtydly it wyll be / yet the said rastell by the custome of the said Cyte shall neuer recouer Agayn hys said Godes nor stuff but only xxxvs ixd for the said stuff so that in the said court he hathe no ober remedy nor recorde to ponysshe the said walton nor the said praysers for theyr said dysseyt & falsehed in praysyng of be said godes & stuff At xxxvs ixd whych were worth at that tyme xx mark & Aboue as in the said byll off compleynte is Alegyd / For the grete parte of be said goodes were garmentes of sylke & oper stuff Freshe & newly made with moche workmanshype done vppon them to the grete cost & charge of your said oratour without bat bat the said goodes were at the tyme of the said praysment¹⁹ of no more value than they were praysyd at / And without that bat they were <pan> rottyn & torn pleyers Garmentes / For the said rastell seyth that the said walton Hath Lettyn them owt to hyre to dyuers stage pleys & interludys & hath reseyuyd & had for the hyre of them syth the said praysement of them the somme of xx nobles or Aboue And without bat bat Any ober thyng materyall or effectuall in this said Answere Aleggyd necessary to be replyed vnto is true All whych matters your said oratour is redy to proue & Auer as this court wyll Award & prayeth as he prayed in his said byll

[unsigned]

4 [fols 9v-10r; Pollard IV; Hands 3, 4]

the parcelles confessyd by walton

Jn primis a pleyers garment of grene lynyd with red tuke & with romayn letres stytchyd vppon hyt²¹ of blew &²² red sarcenet

viij yard<u>es</u> xxijs²³

20

fysshpole	Jt[em] on oper garment ²⁴ paned with blew & grene sarcenet lyned with red bokeram
	vij yard <u>es</u> xxs
fysshpole	Jtem An other garment paned lykewyse & lyned as the other with A cape furred with whyte cattes
	vij yard <u>es</u> xxs
fysshepole	Jtem An other garment $\langle panyd \rangle^{25}$ with yelow grene red & blew sarcenet & lyned with old red bokeram
	viij yarde xxijs
	Jtem An other garment for A preste to pley in of red saye
	xij yard <u>es</u> viijs
	Jtem A garment of red & grene saye paned & garded with gold skynnys lyned with red bokeram
	xij yard <u>es</u> saye & xij ²⁶ yardes bokeram viiis
	Jtem A shorte garment of gold skynnes & fustyan of napes blake $\&^{27}$ sleved with red grene yelowe & blew sarcenett ²⁸
29	Jtem An other garment spangled of blew saten of breges And lyned with grene sarcenet whych cost rastell ³⁰
	xxs
fysshpole ³¹	Jtem ij old shorte garmentes paned of saten of breges & of sarcenet of dyuers colours ³² in the bodyes
	XXS
fysshpole	Jtem A womans garment of grene & blew sarcenet chekked & lyned $with$ red bokeram
	xxiiijs
	Jtem ij cappes of yelowe & red sarcenet
	iijs iiijd

Jtem ij curtayns of grene & yelow sarcenett	xx yard <u>es</u> ls
Jt <u>e</u> m ij pec <u>es</u> of blew lynen cloth w <u>ith</u> lyre in j	oem lxxij yard <u>es</u> xvs
Jtem iij peces of old syluered lynen clothes	xs
Jt <u>em</u> An old remnant of red bokeram ³³ <u>pat</u> cardynall <u>es</u> gret chamber	was about my lord
	xxx yard <u>es</u> vjs viijd

5 [fol. 10r continued; Pollard IV continued; Hand 3]

Intter[o]gatoryes for fyshpole

Jn primis wheper fysshpole know any of be seyd garmentes

Jt<u>em³⁴</u> whyder fysshpole made be ij long gown<u>es</u> of sarcenet down to be grow[n]d / & one grene gown to be fall of the legg with wyde sleuys of sarcenet³⁵ & whyther euery of the<u>m</u> conteynyd not seuen or viij yardes of sarcenet / & wheper euery of the<u>m</u> were not better ban xxs a pese³⁶ & whyper <yf> such garmentes were made of new stuff wold not haue cost almost twys as much money

Jt<u>em</u> wheher <u>bat</u> fysshpole made not a^{37} womans gown of sarcenet of small peses³⁸ & wheh<u>er</u> it was not worth xxs & bett<u>er</u>

Jt<u>em</u> whep<u>er</u> he made not ij op<u>er</u> short garmentes³⁹ with wyde sleues of smalle <pesis> & whyp<u>er</u> they wer not worth xs or a mark a pese

Jt<u>em</u> how long fysshpole was in makyng of the<u>m</u> & whe<u>ber</u> he had not be whyle iiijd a day & mete & drynk / & whe<u>b</u>er⁴⁰ rastells wyf & hath holp hym to sow the<u>m</u>

Jtem wheper walton hath not be same garmentes let to hym by rastell & whyper

walton hath not <u>con</u>tynually this iiij yere sett⁴¹ the<u>m</u> to hyre in stage pleys & interludes⁴² aboue iij or iiij skores tymes & what he vsyd to haue for a stage pley & what for an interlude / & how mych money he hath won therby

Jtem what be short spanglid <garment> of blew saten of bryges was worth & what euery oper garment <& pese> was woorth

6 [fol. 10v; document omitted by Plomer; Hand 3]

Interrogatories for your deponentes

Jn primis whep<u>er</u> thyse deponent k[n]owyth the seyd garmentes expressed in be byll of compleynt / & before wryttyn

Jtem what every of the garmentes were worth

Jtem wheper walton did set out all the seyd garmentes to hyre to stage pleys & interludes this iiij yere <aboue iij or iiij skore tymys> & what he vsyd to take for them at an interlude & what at a stage pley

Jt<u>em</u> whe<u>ber</u> the iiij gown<u>es</u> of sarcenet & be womans gowne & be short garment spanglet wer not worth xxis a pese & bett<u>er</u> that is to sey $[....]^{43}$ those vi garment<u>es</u> at vi^{li} <vis> & iiiiid & why<u>ber</u> they were worth almost as mych more yf they shuld made all of new stuff

Jtem whyper the curteyns of silk were not <worth> better than Is

Jtem wheper all be resydew of be stuff were not worth xls & better or what they exsteme them worth

7 [fol. 8r; Pollard V; Hand 3]

Int<u>er</u>rogatorye ex p<u>ar</u>te rastell

Jtem whe<u>ber</u>⁴⁴ about iij or iiij yer<u>es</u> now past about whych tyme be kyng<u>es</u> grete banket was At grenewych why<u>ber</u> bis deponent saw the seyd garmentes occupyed <u>bat</u> som<u>er</u> in dyu<u>ers</u> stage pleys & int<u>er</u>ludis by be lettyng to hyre by walton <as it was reportyd> / & at that tyme they were fressh & new & semyd lytyll the wors for any weryng of them before⁴⁵

8 [fol. 11r-11v; Pollard VI; Hand 5]

Deposicions for the parte of John Restall against Henry Walton

William Fisshepole of london taillour of the age of lx yeres and aboue sworne and examyned vpon his othe / Sayth that he made ij long gownes downe to the growne / of sarcenet / oon of them of blewe and yelowe sarcenet lyned with rede tuke or rede bokram / whether of thesame lynyng / he now remembreth not / and a nother of grene sarcenet but whether it were lyned or not he remembreth not now / whiche gownes commyng to hym in peces conteigned in euery pece vj elles or theraboutes / And also he sayth that if he shuld have bought out of the mercers shop every ell wold have cost vs / and he sayth that euery gowne was worth xxs and aboue / And also he sayth that he made a garment for A galant with wyde slewes / the oon <side> of rede and yelow sarcenet / and the other side blewe and rede lyned with rede tuke or rede bokeram / which was a $gostly^{46}$ garment better than xxs / And if thay had been bought of new stuff it wold haue cost moche more money / And also he sayth he made a womans gowne of sarcenet blew and yelow as he remembreth / and it was made in quarelles or losenges he remembrith not whethre and was a besy work / and maistres restall did help to sewe that and parte of the galantes gowne also / and it was lyned with rede tuke <or bokram> and garnysshed with golde skynnes as he remembre h and it was better than xxs / and it was worth anoble the making / And how long he was in making of them he remembreth not but he had iiijd by the day mete and drinke / And further he sayth that he hath hard saye that thesaid Restall lent to walton thesaid Garmentes and that he vsed them in stage pleys / And further he sayth that there was a shorte spangeled garment of blew saten of briges and was lyned with grene sarcenet whiche

was better than any of the other garment<u>es</u> / whiche he made not / but eu<u>ery</u> oon of them oon with another were better than xxs / and more he know<u>eth</u> not herin $/^{47}$

[signed] per me Wylliam Fychpolle

9 [fol. 1r; Pollard VII; Hand 5]

George Mayler of london merchauntaillour of the age of xl yeres sworne and examyned vpon his othe Sayth that he knew thesaid Garmentes but how many there be in nombre he remembrith not for he hath occupied and played in tham by the lending of walton / and he sayth thay ware worth xxs a pece and bettre / And he sayth he knoweth well that he lent thaim out about a xx tymes to stage playes in the somer and interludes in the winter / and vsed to take at a stage play for them and other sumtyme xld somtyme ijs as they couth agree / and at an interlude viijd for euery tyme but how many tymes he perfitely knoweth not but by estimacion xx tymes a yere in enterludes And he sayth that he hath seen the curteyns of sarcenet but how many elles thay conteyned he knoweth not but it was worth xld euery ell / and he sayth that he had bokeram and tuke but how many yerdes he knoweth <not> / but it was bettre than ijd a yerde 148 and further he sayth that the somer whan the kinges banket was at grenewiche he sawe the same garmentes occupied in diuerse stage pleyes and occupied parte of them himself by the lending of othre players that walton had lent them to <to hyre> whiche than were freshe and litill worse for the waring / and more he knoweth not

[signed] per me george Mayller

10 [fols 1v-2r; Pollard VIII; Hand 5]

George Birche of london Coriar of the age of xxxij yeres or therabout<u>es</u> sworne and exa<u>mined</u> sayth / that he knewe well a players garment lyned w<u>ith</u> rede Tuke and stiched w<u>ith</u> romayne letres vpon it $\langle of \rangle^{49}$ blew and rede sarcenet / Another garment paned w<u>ith</u> blewe and grene sarcenette lyned w<u>ith</u> rede bokeram / and another garment panid w<u>ith</u> yelowe grene red and blew sarcenet lyned w<u>ith</u> olde rede bokeram / anothre

garment spangeled of blew saten of briges lyned with grene sarcenet / And a womans gowne or garment of grene and blewe sarcenet chekked and lyned with red bokram in whiche garmentes this deponent and his company played in while thay remayned in thandes of thesaid Restall $/^{50}$ / And he sayth that every garment oon with a nother were worth twentie shillinges / And that walton did lette out the same garment to hyre to stage playes and enterludes sundry tymes but how many tymes he knoweth not / And further he sayth that the common custume is att an Entirlude viijd for the garmentes and at a stage play as the parties can agree And he sayth he sawe the curteyns of sarcenet but how many elles they were he knoweth not / but every ell was worth ijs $/^{51}$ / And further he sayth that about iij or iiij yeres past whan the kinges banket was at Grenewiche that somer he sawe thesaid garmentes played in iij or iiij tymes by the lendyng of thesaid walton / and at that tyme they semyd freshe and good garmentes and more he knoweth not /

[signed] per me george byrche

11 [fol. 2v; Pollard IX; Hand 5]

John Redman of london stacioner of the age of xxij yeres sworne and examyned vpon his othe sayth that he knew thesaid garmentes but how many was of them he remembrith not / and this deponent playde in thesame diuerse tymes whan walton had thaim / but what they were worth he knoweth not but thay were litill worse than newe And this deponent sayth that he knoweth that thesaid walton diuerse tymes lent them out / but what hire he had for them he knoweth not neither in Stage play nor in interludes / but asto the vi garmentes that is to saye iiij gownes of sarcenet a womans gown and a spangelet garment ware good fresshe and litill the worse for the occupieng <whan he knew them first in waltons handes> and by estimacion they were worth xxs a pece for they were lyned and garded parte with gilt leder / and the curteyns of silk were freshe and newe / and there were garmentes of dornykes⁵² and saye whiche he well remembrith and more he can not saye /

[signed] per me John Redman

12 [fol. 5r; Pollard X; Hand 6]⁵³

The interrogataries of Henry walton aganste John Rastall wherevpon witnessys to be examined /

First whed<u>er</u> the seid John Rastall dyd awe any suche so<u>m</u>m of money as w<u>ith</u>in the Aunswere of the seid walto<u>n</u> is alleged & surmitted⁵⁴

Jt<u>em</u> whed<u>er</u> the seid walton made lawfull attache<u>men</u>t of suche good<u>es</u> as are comprised within the bill of complaynte of the seid John Rastall

Jt<u>em</u> whed<u>er</u> the said good<u>es</u> where indifferently <u>praysed</u> by indifferent <u>persons</u> electe & chosyn by lawfull officers within the Citie of london to be <u>praysors</u> therein

Jtem what & how moche of valew the seid goodes were praysed vnto

Jtem wheder the seid goodes were lawfully recouered by the Custome & lawe of the seid Citie of london

Jtem wheder the seid goodes were delivered vnto the above named Henry walton by lawful <officers> of the same Citie of london

Jtem wheder the seid goodes were of any more valew or substance than thei were praysed vnto

Jtem wheder the seid goodes were fresshe And new⁵⁵ as is surmised iniustely by the bill of Complaynt of the seid Rastall

[fol. 5v]⁵⁶

The ...⁵⁷ of henry walton

Will<u>ia</u>m Knight

Nicholas Sawyer Roger Taillo<u>u</u>r Thomas Curtes

13 [fol. 3r; Pollard XI; Hand 5]

deposicions for the partie of henry walton against John Restall

Will<u>iam</u> Knight of London Laten founder of the age of lvj yeres sworne or examyned vpon his othe sayth that walton did make of new for stages⁵⁸ and stage players as moche as by estimac<u>i</u>on / estemed by this deponent and will<u>iam</u> Sayer at ls in bourde tymber lath / nayle / Sprigge and daubing whiche thesaid Restall shuld haue payed to thesaid walton by thaire abitrement / which were chosen indiffrently by them bothe / and than restall sayed it was to moche / and aftreward<u>es</u> thesaid Restall arrested thesame walton / and moche besynes was bitwene them / and <as> to the seconde iijde iiijth vth and vith Interrogatories he know<u>eth</u> no thing / And to the viith and last article he sayth that thesaid Restall had certain Garment<u>es</u> for players whiche were made of old Sercenet / but how many he know<u>eth</u> not nor he dothe not externe the value of them nor any of them / and more he know<u>eth</u> not

[signed] per me wylliam knyght

14 [fol. 3v; Pollard XII; Hand 5]

Nicholas Sayer of london Skynner of the age of xlix yeres or theraboutes sworne and examyned vpon his othe sayth that he and william Knight were desired by thesaid Restall and walton being at the mytour in Chepe to vieu suche costes as the said walton had doon in making of stages for player in Restalles grounde besides fyndesbury in tymbre bourde nayle lath sprigge and other thynges whiche they estemed and iuged at ls that Restall shuld paye to⁵⁹ thesaid walton and vpon payment of thesaid Restall / And he sayth he sawe the garmentes / and there were noon of them of new stuf to his knowlege⁶⁰ / nor what the value of tham were / and more he knoweth

not

[signed] per me Nicholam Sayer

15 [fols 3v-4r; Pollard XIII; Hand 5]

Roger Taillo<u>u</u>r of london Laten found<u>e</u>r of the age of xl yeres sworne and examyned / vpon his othe / he saythe / he made <u>parte</u> of thesaid players Garment<u>es</u> and so<u>m</u>me of tham ware made of Saye and so<u>m</u>me of Sercenet / whiche were not at that tyme of new stuf for thay had been occupied in othr<u>e</u> besynes / and thay were occupied iij or iiij yeres / in playeng and dysguysing<u>es</u> or they cam to the hand<u>es</u> of thesaid walton⁶¹ or before any variaunce was bitwene them for the same / and as to the value of them he cannot esteme nor iuge / And more he cannot saye herin /

[signed] per me Roger taylor

16 [fols 4r-4v; Pollard XIV; Hand 5]

Thomas Curteys of London glasyere of the age of liiij yeres sworne and examyned vpon his othe sayth that thesaid walton made attachement of xv playeng garmentes / and therupon this deponent and oon John wylkynson were⁶² commaunded by the Maires clerc called John Edmaye to appreyse thesame garmentes indiffrently whiche thesaid deponent and John wylkynson aftre thair conscience preysed to the vttremost value of them /⁶³ <And the> value or somme⁶⁴ ammounted vnto⁶⁵ <xxxvs ixd And> he and thesaid wilkynson deliuered a bille therof to thesaid Clerc of the Maires⁶⁶ and he thinkith that aftre the custume of the Citie of London thesaid walton Lawfully Recou<u>er</u>ed the same but how they were deliu<u>er</u>ed to thesaid Garmentes were old and Torne so that than thay were not hable to be worne nor occupied / And also he sayth he wold haue be lothe to haue geuen somoche for them as they were praysed at and more he know<u>eth</u> not

[his mark]

17 [fols 4v-6r; Pollard XV; Hand 5]

John wilkinson of london plasterer of the age of xxxiij yeres or therabout<u>es</u> sworne and examyned sayth / that he was commaunded by John Edmay the Mayres officer to appreyse certain olde playeng garment<u>es</u> whiche were broken and Torne som<u>m</u> of them of sarcenet and so<u>m</u>me of Saye and othr<u>e</u> whiche he now remembrith not / And that he and Thomas Curteys appreysed thesaid garment<u>es</u> and stuf at xxxv or xxxvjs ixd whiche in his conscience were no bettre worth nor he wold not haue gladly geuen so moche for them and more he know<u>eth</u> not /

[signed] Jhon wylkynsun

NOTES

I am grateful to Thorlac Turville-Petre for his help with difficulties in transcription. Errors that remain are all my own.

¹ See my forthcoming piece, 'John Rastell's Stage', in *Medieval English Theatre*. I have been unable to trace any record of a judgement on the case.

² Alfred W. Pollard, *Fifteenth-Century Prose and Verse* (London, 1903); Henry Plomer, 'New Documents on English Printers and Publishers of the Sixteenth Century', *Transactions of the Bibliographical Society*, 4 (1898), 153-83.

³ Pollard's numbering is not exactly as Plomer's; see note 53 below.

⁴ 'Paned' garments are made of strips of different coloured cloth stitched together.

⁵ 'red' written twice; first instance partially erased.

⁶ 'panyd' written twice; second instance deleted.

⁷ 'Fustian of Naples' was a kind of cotton velvet. 'Napes' (for 'Naples') was in common use in forms such as 'fustian anapes' and 'fustianapes'.

⁸ 'Lyre', like other kinds of cloth mentioned in the document, is named after a clothmaking town, here Lire, or Liere, in Brabant (cf. 'dornyke' below).

⁹ The oblique line separating 'pece / of' appears to be a clarification of two words written very close together rather than a virgule, marking punctuation.

¹⁰ 'li' (for 'libra', pounds) is not in fact written above the line, but I have transcribed it as superscript in order to avoid confusion with the numerals.

¹¹ '&' deleted after 'great'.

¹² 'of' deleted after 'Rastall'.

¹³ Square brackets here and over the next few lines indicate holes in the paper where I have supplied the missing letters.

¹⁴ The word that Plomer could not read here is a version of 'surceased'. It is here evidently used in the sense listed as number 5 in the *OED*: 'to overpass (the time for doing something)'.

¹⁵ The letters 'ob' appear to be deleted immediately before 'or'. Perhaps the scribe began to write 'ober'.

¹⁶ The beginning of a letter is deleted after 'then'.

¹⁷ 'mayres' deleted before 'kynges'.

¹⁸ erased matter: perhaps two or three words.

¹⁹ 'were' deleted after 'praysment'.

²⁰ 'fyshpole' deleted in the margin.

²¹ 'with' deleted after 'hyt'.

73

²² A couple of unreadable letters deleted after '&'.

²³ This document brackets each item with lines drawn around it and lists the yardage and cost in the right-hand margin.

²⁴ The hand changes with the next word, 'paned' (to Hand 4). The marginal matter on both sides, however, remains in Hand 3 throughout. Expansions are often not visibly supplied in Hand 3 (as in 'Jt[em]' above), but I have not elsewhere retained square brackets to differentiate the writing of each occurrence of this word.

²⁵ 'panyd' added by Hand 3.

²⁶ The number is heavily overwritten, but appears to be 'xij'. Plomer reads it as 'vij'.

²⁷ '&' written above a deleted 'A'.

²⁸ No yardage or cost follows this item.

²⁹ Letters in a different hand are deleted in the margin here.

³⁰ The words 'whych cost rastell' appear to have been inserted by Hand 3. They are aligned with the left-hand margin and linked by a line to the cost in the right-hand margin.

- ³¹ A capital 'J' is written under 'fysshpole'.
- ³² The word 'colours' may have been inserted by Hand 3.
- ³³ Hand 3 takes over again from the next word, '<u>bat</u>'.

 34 A deletion follows 'Jt<u>em</u>', which looks like 'primis', and the 't' of 'Jt<u>em</u>' looks as if it may have been written over an 'n'. Several letters in the heading of this document have been overwritten.

³⁵ 'of' deleted after 'sarcenet'.

- ³⁶ '& better' deleted after 'pese'.
- ³⁷ The scribe seems to have written 'a' twice; the one preceding this is deleted.

³⁸ The spelling 'peses' is altered from 'peces'.

³⁹ 'of' deleted after 'garmentes'.

⁴⁰ 'holp' deleted after 'wheper'.

- ⁴¹ 'lett' altered to 'sett'.
- ⁴² '& how' deleted after 'int<u>erludes</u>'.

⁴³ There is a single short word here which I cannot decipher.

⁴⁴ '<u>pat</u> pis deponent pleyd' deleted after 'whep<u>er</u>'.

⁴⁵ This interrogatory, as Plomer points out, explains why the depositions of Mayler and Birch had to be extended. On the back of the leaf is a note about Rastell unrelated to the lawsuit: 'The cause why <u>bat</u> Rastell made his boke off purgatory without Aleggyng Any texts of holy scripture. I maruell gretely that my broder Fryth doth Hold this.'

⁴⁶ Plomer suggests that 'gostly' is an error for 'costly'.

⁴⁷ The punctuation mark at the end of this document combines a dash and a virgule, thus: -/ It may be compared with the punctuation mark indicating the end of document 17,

which reverses the two thus: /-

⁴⁸ The document must have originally ended at this point, since the words 'and more he know<u>eth</u> not' are deleted after 'yerde', and Mayler's signature appears below, between the words 'and' and 'occupied'.

⁴⁹ 'with' deleted after 'it'.

⁵⁰ 'and oons he played in them / being in the hand<u>es</u> of thesaid walton' deleted between the two virgules.

⁵¹ Like Mayler's, Birch's deposition must have initially concluded at this point. The words 'and more he know<u>eth</u> not' are deleted between the two virgules, and Birch's signature is deleted after 'whan' in the phrase 'whan the king<u>es</u> banket was at Grenewiche' below.

⁵² 'Dornyk' is the Flemish town of Tournay. According to the *OED* it can stand for various kinds of cloth: silk, worsted, woollen or linen.

⁵³ Plomer renumbers IX again here (presumably by mistake). Pollard corrects this, but retains Plomer's sequence, hence producing 15 documents rather than 14 and numbering one higher than Plomer from this point on.

⁵⁴ Plomer transcribed 'submitted', but the verb is clearly 'surmit', which means to charge or allege.

⁵⁵ 'and' deleted after 'new'.

⁵⁶ Pollard does not mention these names on the reverse of the interrogatories above. Plomer puts the text into a note to his document IX (12 above; Pollard X). It is difficult to be certain about the hands, but there appear to be two. The heading looks like Hand 2, but the names are in a different hand, not clearly identifiable with any of the other hands in the documents.

⁵⁷ The top edge of the document is missing. The words 'of london' appear to the right of the names, which are bracketed together.

⁵⁸ 'playe' deleted after 'stages'.

⁵⁹ 'hym' deleted after 'to'.

⁶⁰ Again, Sayer's deposition first ended here. The words 'and more he know<u>eth</u> not' are deleted after 'knowlege'.

⁶¹ 'by attachment' deleted after 'walton'.

⁶² virgule deleted after 'were'.

⁶³ 'but to what' deleted following the virgule.

⁶⁴ An illegible letter or two deleted after 'somme'.

⁶⁵ 'he now remembreth not but' deleted after 'vnto'.

⁶⁶ Plomer prints 'Maires Court'. It is possible that the word 'court' has been omitted, but Curteys uses the phrase 'Maires clerc' a few lines above.